



REGIONAL  
DISTRICT  
OF NANAIMO

# Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan

## *Electoral Area H in the RDN*

May 2022

Prepared for Regional District of Nanaimo  
by Diamond Head Consulting



# Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan for Electoral Area H in the RDN

May 2022

**Submitted to:**

Catherine Morrison  
Manager, Emergency Services  
Regional District of Nanaimo  
6300 Hammond Bay Road  
Nanaimo, BC

Phone: 250-390-4111

**Submitted by:**


Diamond Head Consulting Ltd.  
3559 Commercial Street  
Vancouver BC  
V5N-4E8

Phone: 604-733-4886

Website: [www.diamondheadconsulting.com](http://www.diamondheadconsulting.com)




**Professional Seal and Signatures**



Matthew Shields, R.P.F.  
Date signed: April 11, 2022

I certify that the work described herein fulfills the standards expected of a registrant of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals and that I did personally supervise the work.



Conor Corbett, R.P.F.  
Date signed: April 11, 2022

I certify that I have reviewed this document and, while I did not personally supervise the work described, I have determined that this work has been completed to the standards expected of a registrant of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals.

## Contents

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>TABLES AND FIGURES .....</b>   | <b>VI</b>   |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>   | <b>VIII</b> |
| <b>FREQUENTLY USED ACRONYMS .....</b>   | <b>IX</b>   |
| <b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>  | <b>X</b>    |
| <b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>   | <b>1</b>    |
| Overview .....  | 1           |
| Plan Goals .....  | 3           |
| Plan Development Summary .....  | 4           |
| <b>RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANS .....</b>  | <b>5</b>    |
| Linkages to Existing Community Wildfire Plans .....                                 | 5           |
| Linkages to Other Plans .....   | 6           |
| <b>COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION .....</b>  | <b>9</b>    |
| Area of Interest .....  | 9           |
| Wildland-Urban-Interface .....  | 9           |
| Community Information .....   | 12          |
| Values at Risk .....  | 16          |
| Critical Infrastructure .....   | 24          |
| <b>WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT .....</b>   | <b>28</b>   |
| Wildfire Environment .....  | 28          |
| Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis .....  | 44          |
| Wildfire Threat Assessment .....  | 46          |
| Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis .....                                      | 49          |
| <b>INTRODUCTION TO FIRESMART .....</b>  | <b>50</b>   |
| FireSmart – How it Works .....  | 50          |
| FireSmart – Goals and Objectives .....  | 52          |
| Key Aspects of FireSmart for Local Government .....                                 | 53          |
| <b>EDUCATION .....</b>  | <b>58</b>   |
| Factors for Success .....   | 58          |
| Initiatives to Consider .....   | 61          |
| <b>LEGISLATION AND PLANNING .....</b>   | <b>68</b>   |
| Federal Acts and the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan .....                       | 68          |
| Provincial Acts and the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan .....                    | 68          |
| Role of the RDN .....   | 68          |
| Factors for Success .....   | 69          |
| Initiatives to Consider .....   | 70          |
| <b>DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>   | <b>74</b>   |
| Role of the RDN .....   | 74          |
| Zoning and Subdivision Bylaws .....   | 75          |
| Development Information, Development Permits, and the Official Community Plan ..... | 76          |
| Initiatives to Consider .....   | 78          |
| <b>INTERAGENCY COOPERATION .....</b>  | <b>81</b>   |



Factors for Success ..... 81  
Initiatives to Consider..... 82

**CROSS-TRAINING ..... 85**

Factors for Success ..... 85  
Initiatives to Consider..... 86

**EMERGENCY PLANNING ..... 88**

Factors for Success ..... 88  
Initiatives to Consider..... 89

**VEGETATION MANAGEMENT ..... 92**

Managing Vegetation through FireSmart ..... 92  
Fuel Management for Forest Landscapes ..... 93

**ACTION PLAN & IMPLEMENTATION ..... 100**

**APPENDICES..... 108**

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms ..... 108  
Appendix B: Public Engagement..... 110  
Appendix C: Local Wildfire Threat and Risk Process ..... 113  
Appendix D: CRI Map Submissions ..... 123

## List of Tables and Figures

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1. Visual comparison of "interface" and "intermix" conditions.....  | 10  |
| Figure 2. Area of interest for the plan and wildland-urban interface. ....   | 11  |
| Figure 3. Fire Protection Districts within EA H.....   | 15  |
| Figure 4. Structure density within the WUI. ....   | 18  |
| Figure 5. Protected areas and known locations of protected species or habitat.....   | 22  |
| Figure 6. Public facilities considered critical infrastructure.....  | 27  |
| Figure 7. 30-year modelled climate averages for Dunsmuir (meteoblue).....  | 33  |
| Figure 8. Wind rose diagram for Dunsmuir .....   | 34  |
| Figure 9. Windspeeds by month experienced for Dunsmuir .....   | 35  |
| Figure 10. Potential impacts of climate change on wildfire behavior. ....  | 38  |
| Figure 11. Area burned by decade, 1920-2020.....   | 43  |
| Figure 12. Wildfire threat ratings from Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) .....  | 45  |
| Figure 13. Wildfire threat and risk resulting from the local threat assessment. ....   | 47  |
| Figure 14. Pathways to home ignition in the wildland-urban interface. ....   | 51  |
| Figure 15. The FireSmart Zone system.....  | 52  |
| Figure 16. The welcome centre at Horne Lake Regional Park has information signs.....   | 62  |
| Figure 17. Comparison of surface and crown fire behavior. ....   | 95  |
| Figure 18. Map of potential fuel management areas in Electoral Areas A. ....   | 97  |
| Figure 19. Characteristics of the seven conifer fuel types. C-3 and C-5 are prevalent within the AOI. ...                                  | 114 |
| <br>   |     |
| Table 1. Goals of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.....  | 3   |
| Table 2. Linkages to existing community wildfire plans. ....   | 5   |
| Table 3. Relationship of Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan to local government plans. ....  | 6   |
| Table 4. Community Information for Electoral Area H. ....  | 13  |
| Table 5. Major resources for fire response inside Electoral Area H. ....   | 14  |
| Table 6. Species and ecological communities with designated provincial conservation status.....  | 20  |
| Table 7. Public facilities and buildings within Electoral Area H. ....   | 25  |
| Table 8. Summary of fuel types within the Electoral Area. ....   | 31  |
| Table 9. 20-year average weather (2000-2020), from Bowser (56). ....   | 36  |
| Table 10. Climate data for weather stations in the CDF, CWHxm1, CWHxm2 CWHmm2, and MHmm1 zones. Data is unavailable for the CMA zone. .... | 39  |
| Table 11. Summary of wildfire threat from Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis.....  | 44  |
| Table 12. Summary of wildfire threat and risk from the local threat assessment. ....   | 46  |
| Table 13. FireSmart activities eligible for Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) program funding (2022).....                              | 55  |
| Table 14. Potential Roles of the FireSmart Coordinator.....  | 57  |
| Table 15. Potential key messages for a wildfire communications strategy.....   | 61  |
| Table 16. Potential sub-areas for FireSmart planning and neighbourhood initiatives.....  | 65  |
| Table 17. Sample Guide to Wildfire Response Condition Level.....   | 89  |
| Table 18. Areas with the highest potential for fuel management in Electoral Area H.....  | 98  |
| Table 19. Action Plan. ....  | 101 |
| Table 20. Sample tracking and reporting tool. ....   | 107 |



Table 21 Slope percentage and fire behaviour implications. .... 120  
 Table 22 Slope position of value and fire behaviour implications..... 120  
 Table 23 Proximity to the Interface. .... 121

## List of Photos

Photo 1: Smoke can reduce air quality and cause health problems. (Example from outside the RDN) .... 17  
 Photo 2. Forest fuel layers. .... 29  
 Photo 3: A wildfire with active crown fire. (Example from outside the RDN) ..... 30  
 Photo 4. CDF forest burned by wildfire in North Cowichan, BC. .... 42  
 Photo 5. Education is a key part of developing a FireSmart community..... 60  
 Photo 6. Fairwinds (EA E) trails closed during extreme wildfire danger. .... 70  
 Photo 7. The Nanoose Fire Hall uses FireSmart construction techniques..... 72  
 Photo 8. Example of properties within a wildfire DPA. .... 78  
 Photo 9. Example of a forest prior to fuel management. (Example from outside the RDN)..... 94  
 Photo 10. In the same area as in the previous photo, post fuel treatment. (Example from outside the RDN) ..... 94  
 Photo 11. Example of a stand classified as C-3 fuel type..... 115  
 Photo 12. Example of a stand classified as C-5 fuel type..... 115  
 Photo 13. Example of a stand classified as M-2 fuel type ..... 116  
 Photo 14. Example of a stand classified as D-1/2 fuel type..... 117  
 Photo 15. O-1 a/b fuels in foreground. Note the contrast with irrigated, maintained grass in rear. .... 118

## Acknowledgements

The Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) acknowledges that this project takes place on the unceded traditional territories of the Snuneymuxw Nation and Coast Salish peoples.

The RDN would like to thank all those who have contributed to this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan by providing guidance, direction, and feedback. Kaitlin Fader (Emergency Planning Coordinator) served as the local government project manager and worked closely with the project consulting team of:

- Diamond Head Consulting Ltd
  - Matthew Shields, RPF
  - Conor Corbett, RPF
  - Michael Coulthard, RPBio, RPF
  - Marco Sanelli, GIS Analyst
- Geographica Group
  - Nick Zukanovic, GISP
- RDN Emergency Services
  - Catherine Morrison, Manager, Emergency Services
  - Kaitlin Fader, Emergency Planning Coordinator

This document would not have been possible without the contributions and support of staff and representatives from the following organizations:

- RDN Parks Services
- RDN Planning Department
- RDN Information Technology & GIS
- RDN Solid Waste
- RDN Engineering Services
- RDN Water and Wastewater Services
- RDN Board of Directors
- Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department
- Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department
- Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department

Additionally, we want to thank all community members of Electoral Area H for sharing suggestions, information, and questions with us during the planning process.

We would also like to thank staff from the British Columbia Wildfire Service who provided guidance and review:

- Tony Botica – Wildfire Prevention Officer – Coastal Fire Centre
- Dana Hicks – Wildfire Prevention Specialist – Wildfire Threat

Finally, we would like to thank the Community Resiliency Investment Program (CRI) and Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM), whose support was critical to the funding and completion of this project.



## Frequently Used Acronyms

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| AOI      | Area of Interest  |
| BC       | British Columbia  |
| BCWS     | British Columbia Wildfire Service   |
| BHBVFD   | Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department  |
| CFFDRS   | Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System                                     |
| CFBPS    | Canadian Fire Behavior Prediction System                                      |
| CFS      | Community Funding and Supports  |
| CRI      | Community Resiliency Investment   |
| CWPP     | Community Wildfire Protection Plan  |
| CWRP     | Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan  |
| DVFD     | Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department  |
| DBVFD    | Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department  |
| DP       | Development Permit  |
| DPA      | Development Permit Area   |
| EMBC     | Emergency Management British Columbia   |
| EMP      | Emergency Management Plan   |
| FCFS     | FireSmart Community Funding and Supports                                      |
| FRPA     | Forest & Range Practices Act  |
| GIS      | Geographic Information Systems  |
| HRVA     | Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis                                      |
| LRMP     | Land and Resource Management Plan   |
| MOE      | Ministry of Environment   |
| MFLNRORD | Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development |
| OCP      | Official Community Plan   |
| PSTA     | Provincial Strategic Threat Assessment  |
| RDN      | Regional District of Nanaimo  |
| SWPI     | Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative                                      |
| UBCM     | Union of British Columbia Municipalities                                      |
| WRR      | Wildfire Risk Reduction   |
| WUI      | Wildland-urban interface  |

## Executive Summary

The Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) prepared this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan for Electoral Area H (EA H), including the communities of Bowser, Qualicum Bay, Deep Bay, and Horne Lake. This plan examines wildfire risk in the wildland urban interface of EA H and makes recommendations for the RDN to help build towards a FireSmart community.

The type of development and extensive forested areas that characterize EA H also contribute to its vulnerability to wildfire. Most residents live in an area called the wildland-urban interface (WUI), where forests intersect with homes and businesses. In these communities, the line between forests and development is sometimes clear – like the boundary between patches of forest, farms, and conventional suburban development – and sometimes indistinct where houses are set amid the trees. These forms of development are called “interface” and “intermix” respectively and create different kinds of risk to EA H. Much of the infrastructure critical to the functioning of these communities, including water wells and electrical utilities, fire stations, communications, and community buildings, is located within the WUI. Two highways cross EA H which provide ample capacity for transport if wildfire is imminent. However, limited points of access from the WUI to the larger highway may cause bottlenecks in the case of a community-wide evacuation.

In the WUI, wildfire consultants conducted wildfire risk assessments on public land, examining structures and the characteristics of forest vegetation. These assessments and data from the Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) were used to map the wildfire risk in relation to known values throughout the WUI. The analysis shows that much of the public land base is characterized by a moderate wildfire risk. Development in these areas has placed buildings and infrastructure near forests that can sustain fires with moderate wildfire behavior. Moderate wildfire threat means that a forest is expected to readily support wildfire of low to moderate intensity during the peak fire season. Wildfire risk on private land was not modelled however risk on private land is likely moderate, similar to public land. Risk on private land cannot be modelled under the funding terms for this project.

There are proactive measures that can be taken to reduce wildfire risk through education to increase public awareness, improve FireSmart programming for residents and RDN operations, emergency planning and interagency cooperation, and fuel management on public lands. The management of interface areas that are on private land are beyond the jurisdiction of local government. Public education and awareness of wildfire risk and options for mitigation and preparedness is a critical component of this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The FireSmart program and its resources are the foundation for raising public awareness in EA H. Adapting this guidance to local circumstances is the overarching task of initiatives proposed in the Action Plan included in this document.

This Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan has been developed to acknowledge existing wildfire risk in EA H. Risk has likely increased with climate change and will continue to do so, making the cyclical and repeat nature of community wildfire planning necessary and apparent. Recommendations in the Action Plan are framed with suggested priorities and implementation timeframes. The project partners recognize this Plan as a fundamental first step towards improving the resilience of EA H to the impacts of wildfires.



## Introduction

### Overview

To be resilient means to recover from difficulty. In the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) landscape, wildfire can cause great difficulties for people and communities. Emergency preparedness, wildfire response, vegetation management, community planning, and personal readiness are all important elements of building resiliency to wildfire. Being resilient doesn't mean that wildfire will never mark RDN communities; instead, it means that they will emerge from a wildfire disaster with intact and recognizable communities.

This Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan examines wildfire risk in Electoral Area H (EA H) and makes recommendations for managing that risk in support of building resiliency to wildfire. The purpose of the plan is to propose pathways toward a FireSmart future for the community, focusing on actions that are within the RDN mandate and capacity. Wildfire resiliency is not a single destination with a defined roadmap: although the recommendations made by the plan can each improve wildfire resiliency, many involve multiple values or long timeframes which deserve further consideration.

This plan is the result of a partnership between provincial and local governments that has allowed communities to access funding for community wildfire prevention since 2004. Funding for this plan was provided through the Community Resiliency Investment program. The Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan assesses risk within the wildland-urban interface (WUI), an area of land where natural vegetation and urban development are in proximity. The WUI is where wildfire can travel from wildland vegetation into RDN communities and is where the highest concern for potential wildfire activity is. To create the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, professional foresters visited public lands in the WUI to create accurate maps of wildfire risk. Although wildfire risk is not assessed for private land, the recommendations of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan (CWRP) are a resource for all residents of EA H.

The **Community Resiliency Investment Program** is a program funded by the government of British Columbia to reduce the risk of wildfires and mitigate their impacts on BC communities.

**Wildland-urban interface (WUI)** is the area around communities where development is among or abuts forest, and consequently where development faces greater wildfire risk.

Recommendations follow the seven disciplines of FireSmart, the national program for community wildfire preparedness. The plan is shaped by community input from first responders, residents, and RDN or other local government staff. Read this plan to understand wildfire risk in EA H, and what actions the RDN can take to support wildfire preparedness and prevention.

The first three sections of the plan following this introduction consider the context of EA H and present the findings of the wildfire risk assessment. The community's planning context and background for the creation of the CWRP is presented in [Relationship to Other Plans](#) (p.5). A description of the community, including more detail on how the WUI is defined as well as a brief discussion of socioeconomic and

environmental features within the plan area is contained in [Community Description](#) (p.9). The results of wildfire threat assessments and local wildfire risk mapping are presented in [Wildfire Risk Assessment](#) (p.28). This section also contains information on the historic fire regime and climate change factors that may influence future wildfire risk.

The following section bridges between the [Wildfire Risk Assessment](#) (p.28) and the seven FireSmart disciplines, providing an [Introduction to FireSmart](#) (p.50) for readers who may not be familiar with FireSmart programming and concepts. This section also contains advice for the RDN on how to organize FireSmart programming and initiatives under the role of a regional FireSmart Coordinator.

The next seven sections identify community resources and needs in each of the seven FireSmart Disciplines:

- [Education](#) (p.58). This section examines how the RDN can improve or enhance outreach with residents or communities to increase awareness of wildfire risk and support for wildfire management.
- [Legislation and Planning](#) (p.68). This section addresses the major pieces of law and policy that are of relevance to wildfire management and discusses how they could be amended or expanded to support wildfire prevention and preparedness.
- [Development Considerations](#) (p.74). This section looks at the regulation of development by municipal bylaws. Influencing development patterns is a useful way to shape FireSmart communities for the long-term.
- [Interagency Cooperation](#) (p.81). Making recommendations for the ongoing effort to engage multiple stakeholders and partner institutions is the focus of this section.
- [Cross-Training](#) (p.85). This section concerns opportunities and challenges in ensuring more wildfire training for relevant emergency response personnel and neighbourhood FireSmart representatives.
- [Emergency Planning](#) (p.88). This section considers how parallel emergency planning processes and procedures can incorporate wildfire risk and reflect wildfire preparedness.
- [Vegetation Management](#) (p.92). This section discusses the costs and benefits of fuel management at the site, neighbourhood, and landscape scale to reduce wildfire risk. Opportunities of high priority for FireSmart landscaping treatments are identified for critical infrastructure and neighbourhoods, or for the development of a fuel management prescription by a Registered Professional Forester.

These sections are followed by the [Action Plan & Implementation](#) (p.100), which provides notes about potential actions discussed in the preceding sections and prioritizes recommendations for implementation. The Action Plan can stand alone with the Executive Summary as a guide to improving wildfire resiliency in EA H. 32 recommendations to improve wildfire resiliency in the community are provided, organized by the appropriate FireSmart discipline and suggested priority.

[Appendices](#) (p.108) to the plan provide additional details, including a glossary of terms and a description of how public input was received and incorporated.

## Plan Goals

Wildfire is a feature of the landscape. The patchwork of forests surrounding homes and communities are vulnerable to fire whether it is caused by nature or by human ignitions. The goals of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan respond to this reality in several ways and set an agenda that influences each following section of the plan. They represent *what the plan sets out to do*. Table 1 sets out the goals of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

**Table 1. Goals of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan**

| Goals                                    |   |
|--|---|
| Public Health and Safety                 | Public safety is enhanced through all activities to prevent, prepare for, or manage wildfire.   |
| Protection of infrastructure             | Community infrastructure, including private property, public structures, and facilities, is protected from wildfire.  |
| Interagency Co-operation and Policy      | Wildfire management planning, preparedness, prevention, suppression, and education occurs in co-operation with all relevant agencies and neighbouring local governments and First Nations.          |
| Public Awareness, Education and Advocacy | Public understanding, support and awareness of wildfire risk management is increased through effective education, advocacy, and communication.  |
| Sustainable Planning                     | Growth and development planning considers wildfire risk and mitigation as best practice.  |
| Environmental Protection and Enhancement | Ecosystems that support biodiversity and environmentally sensitive features are protected and enhanced by wildfire management activities.   |
| Adaptive Management                      | The effectiveness of wildfire management initiatives is monitored and continuously improved by reviewing actions and decision-making processes.   |
| Financial Responsibility                 | Wildfire resiliency initiatives are pursued within sustainable budgets. Where appropriate, the RDN will seek external partnerships to expand funding available for wildfire resiliency initiatives. |

## Plan Objectives

The following objectives provide context on *how the plan will achieve its goals*. The primary objectives are to:

- Provide an updated understanding of wildfire risk within the WUI based on the provincial data available and site assessments.
- Identify high and extreme risk areas where the RDN should prioritize action to reduce wildfire risk and/or protect homes and infrastructure.
- Examine opportunities to adjust RDN bylaws, policies, or programs to support improved wildfire preparedness and prevention.

- Help build capacity in fire suppression and response through identifying potential supports for local fire departments.
- Engage community members with information on wildfire risk management and respond to community concerns.
- Consider where partnerships with residents, communities, organizations, or other governments may be needed to improve wildfire preparedness and/or address wildfire hazard.

### Plan Development Summary

This Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan is one in a series of seven, one for each electoral area, funded by the 2021 Union of British Columbia Municipalities Community Resiliency Investment Program Grant. The previous community wildfire plans for EA H were prepared over ten years ago for the Bow Horn Bay, Dashwood, and Deep Bay Fire Protection Districts as part of the first generation of plans under the province's Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative. The Community Resiliency Investment Program has since superseded this initiative and has created updated guidance for preparing a Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The RDN issued a request for proposals in spring of 2021 for the development of a new plan meeting the provincial government's updated standards. The contract for preparing the plan was awarded to Diamond Head Consulting Ltd. in April 2021. Field assessments took place in the Summer of 2021, along with meetings with local fire response personnel and community stakeholders. Public information sessions were held on August 26<sup>th</sup> and November 19<sup>th</sup>, 2021, and incorporated question and answer sessions during which members of the project team responded to public concerns. These meetings were held online, as adapted programming during the COVID-19 pandemic, and recordings have been made available on the RDN's Get Involved website. During the plan development phase, the Get Involved website for the project encouraged visitors to submit questions for the project team or explore additional resources about wildfire preparedness. A draft plan was submitted for review by community stakeholders in March 2022. With revisions from the community, the final plan was submitted for consideration by the RDN Board.



## Relationship to Other Plans

The Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan (CWRP) is a strategic document, that informs the Regional District of Nanaimo's (RDN) priorities for emergency services, operations, and community planning. The plan recommendations are not mandates, but suggestions can be worked into the RDN's policies, programs, and activities. Implementation of the plan depends on changes to informal procedures, stated policy, new planning processes, and in some cases amendments to local government bylaws. The RDN's plans for government operations, emergency management and evacuation, corporate strategies, climate action, parks and urban forestry are all relevant to this plan. The plans of other governments, such as provincial or indigenous resource management plans, may also be of relevance to aspects of wildfire management.

### Linkages to Existing Community Wildfire Plans

Community Wildfire Protection Plans were prepared by the Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department in 2006, Bow Horn Fire Department in 2007, and Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department in 2010. Additionally, this plan has been developed in tandem with six other CWRPs, one for each electoral area in the RDN

**Table 2. Linkages to existing community wildfire plans.**

| Plan   | Description  | Relationship to CWRP  |
|--|--|---|
| Community Wildfire Protection Plan for the Deep Bay Waterworks District (2006) | This is the community wildfire protection plan currently in place for the Deep Bay Waterworks District. The plan was formulated under the previous Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative standards. The plan provides 22 recommendations to the Waterworks District, including developing an education strategy for FireSmart, working with the RDN to encourage FireSmart development, and investigating fuel management opportunities with landowners.  | The plan provides context for the present CWRP and informs current recommendations. This plan may remain relevant for actions/goals internal to the Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department.     |
| Community Wildfire Protection Plan – Bow Horn Bay (2007)                       | This is the community wildfire plan currently in place for the Bow Horn Bay Fire Protection District. Like the plan for Deep Bay, this document was prepared under the previous Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative Standards. The plan provides 28 recommendations, including communicating with owners of the E&N Railway about the transportation of hazardous materials through the area, and cooperating with the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (then Ministry of Transportation and Highways) on the installation of a siren-activated light at the intersection of Inland Highway (Hwy 19A) and Horne Lake Road. | The plan provides context for the present CWRP and informs current recommendations. This plan may remain relevant for actions/goals internal to the Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department. |
| Community Wildfire Protection Plan – Horne Lake Community (2006)               | This is the community wildfire plan currently in place for the Horne Lake community. Like the plans for Deep Bay and Bow Horn Bay, this document was prepared under the previous Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative Standards. The plan provides 24 recommendations for the Community, including encouraging cottage owners  | The plan provides context for the present CWRP and informs current recommendations. This plan may remain relevant for actions/goals internal  |

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
|   | to equip their homes with personal firefighting equipment and removing Scotch broom along strata roads.   | to the Horne Lake community.  |
| Community Wildfire Protection Plan – Dashwood Fire Protection Area (2010) | This is the community wildfire plan currently in place for the Dashwood Fire Protection Area. Like other plans, this document was prepared under the previous Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative Standards. The plan provides 28 recommendations for the fire protection area, including holding yearly public awareness seminars, including FireSmart guidelines in bylaws for new developments, and developing a recovery plan for wildland-urban interfaces after wildfire events. | The plan provides context for the present CWRP and informs current recommendations. This plan may remain relevant for actions/goals internal to the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department. |

### Linkages to Other Plans

The RDN acts as the primary local government for its unincorporated areas. Regional districts operate on a special service basis, providing only the services that are approved by their communities and using taxes/levies only to support this spending. Communities in unincorporated areas can also form Improvement Districts for specific taxation purposes, such as running the volunteer fire department. This arrangement is quite typical, with both local fire departments being managed by Improvement Districts separate from the RDN. The RDN retains responsibility for most local government services, including parks operations, waste removal, and bylaw enforcement. Local government plans for these services can influence the wildfire risk environment and reflect preparedness.

**Table 3. Relationship of Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan to local government plans.**

| Plan  | Description  | Relationship to CWRP  |
|---|--|---|
| Electoral Area H Official Community Plan (Bylaw No. 1335, 2017) | This plan is prepared by the RDN and develops a vision for land use within the community. Under the <i>Local Government Act</i> , Official Community Plans must address how a local government area’s land is to be allocated by land use. These documents can also set local government policy for a variety of social and economic issues. Bylaws adopted by the local government must be consistent with the adopted Official Community Plan (OCP). | The plan addresses planning and land use in Electoral Area H (EA H), containing policies regarding growth and development that influence wildfire risk. The plan recognizes that wildfire was identified as a significant natural hazard in the Deep Bay Improvement District, Bow Horn Bay Fire Service Area, and Horne Lake area but does not designate wildfire as a natural hazard on Map No. 8. The OCP also recommends that FireSmart recommendations for building and landscaping are encouraged to minimize the loss of life, property, and the environment as a result of wildfire. The plan designates all of EA H as a “development approval information area” for the purposes of the RDN’s Impact Assessment Bylaw (No. 1165, 1999). |

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Emergency Management Plan</p>                    | <p>The RDN has maintained a region-wide emergency plan since 1995. The plan is updated periodically and considers the organization and hierarchy within the RDN during an emergency event, as well as the setup of the Emergency Operations Centre. The related Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis initiative established wildfire as an event of high likelihood and consequence in the RDN. The Emergency Management Plan identifies strategic wildfire response goals and objectives.</p>   | <p>The Emergency Plan identifies processes and procedures the RDN will follow to secure infrastructure and provide emergency services to residents during a wildfire. The CWRP can inform emergency planning about wildfire risk, helping the RDN to make resource allocation and response decisions.</p> |
| <p>RDN Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis</p> | <p>The Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis (HRVA) is a document prepared by the RDN to contextualize the likelihood and consequence of a variety of different emergency scenarios, including earthquake, flooding, and wildfire. The HRVA for the RDN indicates wildfire is an event of high likelihood and consequence in the region – ranking wildfire as the primary risk among several other potential natural disasters. This rating reflects the extent of the forest interface in the community and the frequency of fire ignitions.</p> | <p>The HRVA established wildfire as a high-risk event within the RDN and supports the Wildfire Resiliency Initiative. The CWRP responds to the HRVA by providing more detail on the nature of wildfire risk in the community and potential actions to manage risk.</p>                                    |
| <p>Parks &amp; Trails Strategy</p>                  | <p>The RDN is currently renewing its Parks &amp; Trails Strategy, which provides a vision for Regional parks and trails. The strategy identifies priorities for land acquisition, park and trail improvements, and guide core park services by the Recreation and Park Department.</p>   | <p>Parks management is important for community wildfire planning because of its connections to vegetation management and ignitions caused by recreational activities.</p>   |
| <p>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</p>                     | <p>The RDN’s corporate strategic plan is updated every 3 years and is the highest-level planning document for the Board of Directors. The Strategic Plan sets a vision for the RDN and identifies key actions for local government regarding the RDN land base and authorities.</p>  | <p>The CWRP will reflect core principles of local government established in the Strategic Plan. In the future, wildfire resiliency can inform provisions for social well-being, environmental stewardship, and climate change within the Strategic Plan.</p>  |

In addition to local government plans, higher government land use plans can apply to all or specific portions of Electoral Area H (EA H). The Vancouver Island Summary Land Use Plan (2000), established by order, guides forest practices in several “special management zones”. EA H includes portions of one such zone centred on the unique karst landscape west of Horne Lake. This area is not within the wildland-urban interface (WUI).

Besides provincial land use planning, orders and notices established through the *Land Act*, *Forest and Range Practices Act*, *Oil and Gas Activities Act*, *Environment and Land Use Act*, and *Wildlife Act* can also influence the priorities and recommendations of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan due to constraints they may place on the crown land base. An order under the *Land Act* affects several hundred ha in the WUI between Deep Bay and the Big Qualicum River. The British Columbia Wildfire Service may also create landscape level “tactical fuel management plans” or risk management plans for specific provincial landscape units. No such plans are currently in place for EA H.



## Community Description

### Area of Interest

The Area of Interest defines the community boundaries for the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The Area of Interest represents how the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) is organized around its communities and where recommendations from the plan apply. For this plan, the Area of Interest is Electoral Area H (EA H) of the RDN. EA H includes the lands between Deep Bay in the north, to Dashwood in the east, and the northeast slope of the Beaufort Ranges until the edge of Port Alberni in the south. Electoral Area F of the RDN borders the community in the southeast, and Electoral Area G in the northeast. Several distinct communities are found in EA H, including Qualicum Bay, Bowser, Deep Bay, and rural developments near Horne and Spider lakes. EA H also contains the Qualicum First Nation's reserve at the mouth of the Big Qualicum River. These areas are administered by the Nation and not by the RDN.

EA H contains a diverse landscape of mountains, foothills, lakes, and rivers. The coastal plain is where most residents live, in the communities of Qualicum Bay, Bowser, Deep Bay connected by Island Highway (Hwy 19A). The Spider and Horne Lake communities occupy the uplands between the coast and the mountains of the Beaufort Range. Forests across EA H are mostly composed of Douglas-fir, with some mountain hemlock and western red-cedar scattered at higher elevations and in riparian areas. Dry, rocky sites feature forests of Douglas-fir and shore pine.

### Wildland-Urban-Interface

This plan pays special attention to a zone called the wildland-urban interface (WUI). The WUI is the area where combustible forest fuels are found adjacent to homes, businesses, farm structures, or other buildings and infrastructure. Most of the built infrastructure in the region is concentrated on the coast or in the community surrounding Horne Lake, and the WUI occupies approximately one-third of EA H. This plan defines the WUI as the area within one km of a density of six buildings (or "structures") per square km. This creates a ring around the most populated areas of EA H, where most buildings and people would be at risk if a wildfire were to occur. The distance of one km has been selected to align this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan with guidance from the Province and BC Wildfire Service (BCWS), which use structure density classes to help plan wildfire response and prevention.

The shape and appearance of the WUI depends on the form of development that characterizes EA H. The WUI can be thought of as having two broad types that influence wildfire response. The first is simply called "interface" and refers to landscapes where the boundary between forests and developed areas can be seen at the scale of a neighbourhood or a community. Interface conditions imply a distinct boundary between homes and forests, and often result where development includes multiple-lot subdivision and land clearing, or where forests are separated from communities by farmland. The second type of WUI is called "intermix". As the name suggests, it refers to landscapes where the boundary between forests and urbanized areas is indistinct and may only be apparent at the scale of an individual property. In these landscapes, homes and infrastructure are set among forest vegetation. This kind of development is common in rural areas where subdivision or farming haven't resulted in land clearing. EA H contains both conditions. Interface development describes conventional residential subdivisions from Deep Bay to Qualicum Bay as well as the boundary between farmland and forests,

while intermix conditions are more typical near Oakdowne Community Park, Spider Lake, and Horne Lake where development is set within continuous forests (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Visual comparison of "interface" and "intermix" conditions.

The shape of the boundary between homes and forests influences the pathways to home ignition and appropriate mitigation strategies. Forests and vegetation provide fuel to wildfires, although not all forests are equally hazardous. Homes in the intermix are surrounded by forest vegetation and are at risk of ignition through direct contact with flame, radiant heat from nearby fire, and wind-borne embers or firebrands. Homes in the interface which directly abut the forest boundary also face these three ignition sources, while homes toward the interior of a neighbourhood or subdivision remain at risk of wind-borne embers alighting on building surfaces or landscaping. Managing the landscape around buildings and using fire-resistant construction can help people living in the interface to avoid damage to property during an oncoming wildfire. Since a significant number of wildfires are of human origin, managing the fuels between humans and forests also helps to contain human-caused fires before they spread into the surrounding landscape and become catastrophic events.






Figure 2. Area of interest for the plan and wildland-urban interface.

## Community Information

### Demographics and Housing

The growth of EA H can have a large impact on the resilience to wildfire. This is because patterns of development influence wildfire risk, and a rising population puts additional demands on local emergency responders. If carefully planned, growth can help communities become resilient to wildfire by replacing outdated construction with modern building standards, introducing FireSmart development patterns, increasing the tax base, and adding new neighbours to shoulder the effort of community organizing and planning.

EA H constitutes a single census subdivision for Statistics Canada. The population of EA H at the 2016 Census was 4,291<sup>1</sup>. Only population figures from the 2021 Census were available at time of writing. All other statistics presented in this section are from the previous census in 2016<sup>2</sup>. The number of private dwellings was 2,436, with 1,828 of these reported as being permanently occupied. The proportion of seasonal residences (25%) is significantly higher than in other parts of the RDN and reflects EA H's strong tourism sector.

The RDN completed a Housing Needs Report in 2020 as part of its mandate from the provincial government to plan for housing affordability. The Housing Needs Report contains information about the observed rate of growth across the RDN's municipalities and EAs and uses this information to forecast the growth in housing need until 2041. Across the RDN an additional 3,500 housing units are needed to meet forecast demand by 2026. The Report does not break down forecast need by EA.

The population of EA H is characterized by a larger proportion of retirees and working couples than the RDN as a whole, with smaller average household sizes, lower labour force participation rate, and higher median age. As in other unincorporated areas, homeowners greatly outnumber other residents in EA H (81% of the population), partly due to a lack of suitable housing for renters or other forms of occupancy (19%). One- or two-person households make up 80% of all households, with over one in four residents living alone. This is a higher proportion than in nearby areas. Average household income is just under \$71,000 per year.

Demographics will influence risk and appropriate emergency planning and response. On average, residents are older and belong to smaller households. A significant proportion of households are people living alone (27%). In EA H, these households are likely to be older retirees, some of whom are aging in place after losing a partner or loved one. Residents may need different supports to connect with emergency planning processes or receive direction from emergency responders during a wildfire.

Table 4 compares key demographic attributes of EA H with the RDN and the wider province.

<sup>1</sup> Statistics Canada. 2022. (table). Census Profile. 2021 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2021001. Ottawa. Released February 9, 2022. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed April 10, 2022).

<sup>2</sup> Statistics Canada. 2017. Nanaimo H, RDA [Census subdivision], British Columbia and Nanaimo, RD [Census division], British Columbia (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released November 29, 2017. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed February 1, 2022).



Table 4. Community Information for Electoral Area H.

| Community Information   | Electoral Area H   | RDN            | Province of British Columbia |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------------|
| Total Population (2021)   | <b>4,291</b>   | 170,367        | 5,000,879                    |
| Land area (km <sup>2</sup> )  | <b>277.41</b>  | 2,038.04       | 922,503.01                   |
| Population density (persons/km <sup>2</sup> )   | <b>14.0</b>  | 76.4           | 5.0                          |
| Number of private dwellings   | <b>2,436</b>   | 73,622         | 2,063,417                    |
| Number of dwellings occupied by usual residents   | <b>1,828 (75.0%)</b>   | 68,904 (93.6%) | 1,881,969 (91.2%)            |
| Average household income (\$)   | <b>70,894</b>  | 77,868         | 90,354                       |
| Average household size (persons)  | <b>2.1</b>   | 2.2            | 2.4                          |
| Households by tenure – owner  | <b>1,485 (81%)</b>   | 50,930 (74%)   | 1,279,020 (68%)              |
| Households by tenure – renter   | <b>345 (19%)</b>   | 17,900 (26%)   | 599,360 (32%)                |
| Prevalence of low-income, after tax (LICO-AT) (%)   | <b>7.2</b>   | 8.6            | 11.0                         |
| Labour force participation rate (%)   | <b>47.4</b>  | 55.2           | 63.9                         |
| Unemployment rate (%)   | <b>11.3</b>  | 7.7            | 6.7                          |
| Median age (years)  | <b>58.8</b>  | 51.1           | 43.0                         |
| <i>Data Sources:</i>  | <i>Statistics Canada. 2022. (table). Census Profile. 2021 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2021001. Ottawa. Released February 9, 2022. <a href="https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E">https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</a> (accessed April 10, 2022).</i>   |                |                              |
| <i>Reported total population is from the 2021 Census.</i>   |  |                |                              |
| <i>All other figures are from the previous 2016 Census, which was the most recent available information at time of writing.</i> | <i>Statistics Canada. 2017. Nanaimo H, RDA [Census subdivision], British Columbia and Nanaimo, RD [Census division], British Columbia (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released November 29, 2017. <a href="https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E">https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</a> (accessed January 20, 2022)</i> |                |                              |

### Fire and Emergency Response

Fire response is provided in EA H by the Dashwood, Bow Horn Bay, and Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Departments. EA H is also home to the Qualicum Bay – Horne Lake Waterworks, Bowser Waterworks, and Deep Bay Improvement Districts. Improvement and Waterwork Districts are a form of local government that are used to raise fees from a community for a specific service without taking on all the responsibilities of a municipality or regional district under the *Local Government Act*. The Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department provides fire suppression services to the Dashwood area, which is split between EA H and G, while The Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department (BHBVFD) provides service south and east of Bowser, including Qualicum Bay and south along Spider Lake Road. The Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department (DBVFD) provides service to the communities of Bowser and Deep Bay. Currently, residences at Horne Lake are outside of any fire protection area.

The DBVFD, BHBVFD, and DVFD are registered with the Office of the Fire Commissioner, meaning they must meet standards for training set in the BC Fire Service [Playbook](#). This means that a department can respond to the variety of exterior and structural fires to their declared service level, that occur in the community, including wildfires.

**Table 5. Major resources for fire response inside Electoral Area H.**

| Organization                           | Major Resources for Fire Response   |
|--|---|
| Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 19 members trained in accordance with NFPA 1001 practice requirements and playbook guidance as full-service firefighters.</li> <li>- 5 response vehicles (one engine, two tenders, one rescue, one command vehicle)</li> <li>- Small number of hand tools and general wildland firefighting equipment.</li> <li>- Some members with minimum WSPP-FF-1 or equivalent wildland firefighting training.</li> </ul>   |
| Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 3.5 full-time staff trained in accordance with NFPA 1001 practice requirements, fire service instructor 1, and other supplemental training based on rank.</li> <li>- 34 volunteers trained in accordance with NFPA 1001 practice requirements and playbook guidance as full-service firefighters.</li> <li>- 4 response vehicles, including one engine on a modified four-wheel drive wheelbase.</li> <li>- Several thousand feet of supply hose for drafting and attack line, generally loaded on separate vehicles and cached at the fire halls.</li> <li>- Small number of hand tools and general wildland firefighting equipment.</li> </ul> |
| Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 22 members trained in accordance with NFPA 1001 practice requirements and playbook guidance as full-service firefighters.</li> <li>- 3 response vehicles (one engine, one rescue, and one command vehicle).</li> <li>- Small number of hand tools and general wildland firefighting equipment.</li> </ul>  |



Figure 3. Fire Protection Districts within EA H.

## Values at Risk

### Human Life and Safety

Protection of human life is the top priority in the event of wildfire in the urban interface. While an imperfect measure, wildfire planning in British Columbia uses the density of “structures”, typically buildings with civic addresses, as a proxy for population density. Areas with an average density of more than six structures per square km form the core of the WUI.

The relationship between structure density and wildfire risk can be complicated by local geography. Intermix areas tend to have lower density of structures, leaving room for native forest vegetation between homes and buildings. This is often associated with higher risk for this form of development where relatively high-threat forest fuels predominate. Interface developments may have low or high density of structures, depending on the landscape design and other land uses accompanying the construction of homes. At low structure densities, the layout of private property in intermix and interface areas may be quite similar: the difference is the yards of homes in intermix areas are treed while the yards of homes in interface areas will be substantially clear of native forest vegetation. While high structure densities are typically found toward the centre of towns and settlements, recent decades have seen more homes be located on the outskirts of communities adjacent to forests. This has occurred for several reasons, including rising land prices and the opposition of existing homeowners to new housing in their communities. This places more people at a higher risk of wildfire than building new homes in central locations.

Many residents of EA H are attracted to these communities because of the rural feel and relatively low cost of land and housing. This has resulted in intermix areas of rural estates, with homes set within and among the forest, as well as interface areas where conventional subdivision and land development has created suburban communities on the edge of forests and farms.

Development conditions and structure density affect all aspects of fire management response and can strongly influence fire behavior. The connection between how communities are built and fire risk is discussed in greater detail in [Introduction to FireSmart](#) (p.50) and [Development Considerations](#) (p.74).

### Human Health

In addition to the direct risks to life and safety, large uncontrolled wildfires can cause other human health impacts over a wide area. Residents of EA H are familiar with the negative impact of poor air quality from wildfire smoke, which has been experienced on BC’s South Coast during several recent fire seasons. Heavy smoke disproportionately affects vulnerable populations of the elderly, people with pre-existing medical conditions like asthma, and people with low incomes<sup>3</sup>. Smoke can also worsen the outcome of acute respiratory diseases like COVID-19.

By focusing on the wildfire or smoke event as a discrete emergency, the long-term negative effects of wildfire on physical and mental health may be underrated. People who have been evacuated, lost property, been injured, or seen loved-ones struggle with health issues during an emergency may have significant and lasting trauma that inhibits their day-to-day routine and makes ordinary tasks and

---

<sup>3</sup> BC Centre for Disease Control. 2021 (October). “Wildfire Smoke” [webpage]. <http://www.bccdc.ca/health-info/prevention-public-health/wildfire-smoke>. Accessed October 21, 2021.



experiences difficult. Mental health issues such as depression and anxiety can linger in a community that has experienced wildfire long after the original emergency<sup>4</sup>.



**Photo 1: Smoke can reduce air quality and cause health problems. (Example from outside the RDN)**

---

<sup>4</sup> Belleville, G., M.-C. Ouellet, & C.M. Morin. 2019. Post-traumatic stress among evacuees from the 2016 Fort McMurray Wildfires: Exploration of psychological and sleep symptoms three months after the evacuation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2019(16):1604 (14pp).




Figure 4. Structure density within the WUI. The wildland-urban interface represents a buffer of one km around areas of six structures per km<sup>2</sup> or higher.

### Environment and Protected Areas

The low-elevation, more densely populated areas of EA H are part of a unique ecosystem in Canada: the Coastal Douglas-fir biogeoclimatic zone. The mild climate in this region allows animals and plants to thrive that are not found elsewhere in Canada. In addition, the region's location on the Salish Sea means EA H also host travelling and resident marine wildlife whose habitats can be impacted by what happens on shore. Birds like the federally protected Marbled Murrelet, dependent on coniferous forests, cliffs, and marine fishing grounds, bridge the divide between land and sea each day.

Just over 1% of EA H is within parks and protected areas which is low relative to neighbouring EAs. Other public lands and suitable private lands increase the proportion of the area that offers natural and semi-natural habitats.

Forested environments, regardless of their conservation status, contain vegetation that can fuel a wildfire. Performing wildfire threat assessments for forested public land is a key piece of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan development. Public land often comprises the few remaining large, contiguous forests in a community's wildland-urban interface. The RDN has acquired a variety of community and regional parks to provide people places to recreate and enjoy nature. The Province has also established two provincial parks, Horne Lake Caves Provincial Park and Spider Lake Provincial Park, and an ecological reserve: Bowser Ecological Reserve contains highly productive stands of Douglas-fir and the endangered ecological communities of grand fir – dull Oregon grape and western red-cedar – vanilla leaf.

### Wildlife, Species at Risk, and Protected Ecosystems

The BC Conservation Data Centre (CDC) records BC's most vulnerable vertebrate animals and vascular plants, each of which is assigned to a provincial red or blue list according to their provincial conservation status rank. Species or populations at high risk of extinction are placed on the red list and are candidates for formal endangered species status. Blue-listed species are considered vulnerable to human activity and natural events. Five blue-listed species and two red-listed species are known within the project area. An additional one blue-listed and six red-listed ecological communities are known. See Table 6 for a summary of these species and communities. Figure 5 depicts publicly available locations of these species and ecological communities.

Table 6. Species and ecological communities with designated provincial conservation status.

| Occurrence ID #                              | Name  | Type                 | Conservation Status |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1574<br>14092<br>14093<br>14094<br>14095     | <i>Abies grandis</i> / <i>Mahonia nervosa</i><br>Grand fir / dull Oregon-grape  | Ecological community | Red                 |
| 5330   | <i>Thuja plicata</i> / <i>Achlys triphylla</i><br>Western redcedar / vanilla-leaf                                       | Ecological community | Red                 |
| 8380<br>8383<br>8384<br>8392<br>8407<br>8629 | <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> / <i>Mahonia nervosa</i><br>Douglas-fir / dull Oregon-grape                                | Ecological community | Red                 |
| 10818  | <i>Thuja plicata</i> / <i>Symphoricarpos albus</i><br>Western redcedar / common snowberry                               | Ecological community | Red                 |
| 11094  | <i>Alnus rubra</i> / <i>Carex obnupta</i> [ <i>Populus trichocarpa</i> ]<br>Red alder / slough sedge [black cottonwood] | Ecological community | Red                 |
| 15705<br>15709<br>15717<br>15718<br>15722    | <i>Thuja plicata</i> / <i>rubus spectabilis</i><br>Western redcedar / salmonberry                                       | Ecological community | Blue                |
| 5405   | <i>Gulo gulo</i> ssp. <i>vancouverensis</i><br>Wolverine, vancouverensis subspecies                                     | Vertebrate animal    | Red                 |
| 13959  | <i>Accipiter gentilis</i> ssp. <i>laingi</i><br>Northern goshawk  | Vertebrate animal    | Red                 |
| 10778<br>10781                               | <i>Populus trichocarpa</i> – <i>alnus rubra</i> / salmonberry<br>Black cottonwood – red alder / salmonberry             | Ecological community | Blue                |
| 9908   | <i>Sorex navigator</i> ssp. <i>brooksi</i><br>Western water shrew, brooksi subspecies                                   | Vertebrate animal    | Blue                |
| 5166<br>9931                                 | <i>Githopsis specularioides</i><br>Common bluecup   | Vascular plant       | Blue                |
| 12736  | <i>Ardea herodias</i> ssp. <i>fannini</i><br>Great blue heron, fannini subspecies                                       | Vertebrate animal    | Blue                |
| 12884  | <i>Nearctula</i> sp.<br>Threaded vertigo  | Invertebrate animal  | Blue                |
| 15248  | <i>Plananthera ephemerantha</i><br>White-lip rein orchid  | Vascular plant       | Blue                |

In addition to provincial conservation status, several specific wildlife species with federal protections under Canada's *Species at Risk Act (SARA)* are known or believed to inhabit the project area. These include the Little Brown Myotis, Northern Goshawk, Marbled Murrelet, Red-legged Frog, and Western Painted Turtle, Dun Skipper (*vestris* subspecies).

Wildfire can have positive and negative relationships with biodiversity, depending on the scale of time and space. This landscape developed in the context of sporadic wildfire, with plants and animals that have adapted to wildfire disturbance<sup>5,6</sup>. In the Salish Sea lowlands, Indigenous people used prescribed wildfire pre-colonization to maintain desirable meadow forage and food plants like camas<sup>7,8</sup>. Wildfires can support biodiversity by re-establishing a diversity of forest ages and tree sizes, the infrastructure of diverse ecosystems, within an area. Conversely, large, high intensity fires can reduce biodiversity by destroying ecosystems and habitat features over large areas. Despite this, large fires can also play an important role in preserving the long-term health of an ecosystem by acting as a check on the proliferation of forest pathogens and other diseases of animals and trees. Because of urban development, climate change, and extensive modification of ecosystems, it is important to protect remaining reserves of high-biodiversity habitat or ecological communities from loss to wildfire when possible, or ensure wildfire affecting these areas is of a scale and intensity that supports the ecological community as a whole.

---

<sup>5</sup> Murphy, S. F., M. G. Pellatt, & K. E. Kohfeld. (2019). A 5,000-year fire history in the Strait of Georgia Lowlands, British Columbia, Canada. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. 10 April 2019.

<sup>6</sup> Lucas, J. D. & T. Lacourse. (2017). Holocene vegetation history and fire regimes of *Pseudotsuga menziesii* forests in the Gulf Islands National Park Reserve, southwestern British Columbia, Canada. *Quaternary Research*, 79 (3)-366-376.

<sup>7</sup> Beckwith, B.R. (2004). The Queen Root of this Clime: Ethnoecological Investigations of Blue Camas (*Camassia quamash*, *C. leichtlinii*; Liliaceae) Landscapes on Southern Vancouver Island, British Columbia. PhD dissertation, University of Victoria.

<sup>8</sup> Turner, N. J., D. Duer, & D. Lepofsky. (2013). Plant management systems of British Columbia's First Peoples. *BC Studies*, no. 179 (Autumn 2013), 107-133






Figure 5. Protected areas and known locations of protected species or habitat.

### Cultural and Archaeological Values

The landscape of EA H contains thousands of years of indigenous history and culture. This lengthy history between Indigenous peoples and the land has fostered a relationship that continues up to the present day. The area now within EA H includes lands within the traditional territories claimed by the Qualicum, We Wai Kai, K'ómoks, and Homalco First Nations. Indigenous people have lived in this landscape since time immemorial and continue to advocate for the return of unceded lands and settlement of modern treaties which would restore parts of their territories. Actions to advance wildfire management in indigenous traditional territory must involve local nations to ensure land management is in line with indigenous values and stewardship priorities.

The protection of pre-colonization cultural sites is supported by the Province through the *Heritage Conservation Act*. This *Act* allows the Archaeology Branch of the Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development to maintain a spatial database of archaeological and historical (post-colonization) sites related to indigenous culture and history. These can include cache pits, trails, fishing sites, cooking features, lithics (stone tools), grave sites, and human remains. Because of the sensitive nature of many of these sites, their locations cannot be published. Within EA H, 30 archaeological sites are known. Activities with physical impacts on the land, such as fuel management, within 50 m of these sites must engage a qualified archaeologist to ensure sites are adequately protected under the *Act*.

Wildfire can cause loss of cultural values by causing damage to ecosystems and soils as well as historic and archaeological remains. While physical damage to cultural sites as the result of wildfire management is unacceptable, activities like fuel modification can help preserve cultural values by reducing the likelihood of high intensity, damaging wildfire. In other cases, wildfire management activities like prescribed burning or clean-up of fine fuels can be planned to align with or even enhance cultural values and practices.

### Hazardous Values

During a wildfire, some land-uses or economic activities are associated with higher risk because they involve flammable materials, high temperature machinery, or chemicals hazardous to human health if improperly handled but are nonetheless important to the economic and social function of the community. In larger communities, hazardous values include railways, industrial facilities, gas stations, military installations, and landfills. In EA H, the most significant stores of hazardous values are likely to be fuel or other supplies located within commercial fuel stations or industrial properties. As the risks associated with storing flammable materials can never be fully eliminated, any properties with a propane tank or stores of fuel are the location of potentially hazardous values. These include auto, trade, lumber, and other industrial development, as well as gas stations. During a wildfire, any known or suspected location of hazardous values presents a danger to emergency responders and the public.

### Other Resource Values

Wildfires can be large, landscape-scale events that create long-term impacts on sections of the economy which rely on forest resources or access to land, including eco-tourism, forest harvesting, fish and game, and mineral extraction.

Forest harvesting is a significant component of land use in EA H. Privately managed forest lands make up 56% of the land base in EA H, and there are large tracts of provincial forestry lands between Qualicum Bay and Deep Bay. Loss of forests to wildfire in the area would be felt economically and emotionally by residents and visitors and may be measurable in reduced property values or tourism and overnight stays. Wildfire is challenging particularly for holders of small private land parcels or forest tenures, who are less resilient to landscape-scale disturbance.

Mineral extraction is limited to a few gravel and aggregates properties around Horne Lake, Spider Lake, and Nile Creek. Gravel pits are less vulnerable to wildfire than other resource activities because they are typically fuel free environments with few pieces of permanent infrastructure.

### Critical Infrastructure

Critical infrastructure are the publicly owned assets, whether by the RDN, provincial government, local Improvement District, or First Nation, that underpin the health and safety of the community and allow governance to take place. Critical infrastructure also includes public assets identified in a Hazard, Risk & Vulnerability Assessment undertaken by a local government. In developing the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, RDN-identified facilities deemed critical to the delivery of emergency services (like evacuation planning or fire protection) were considered, regardless of whether these facilities are owned by the RDN. The nature of government in unincorporated communities means that the RDN is directly responsible for only a few pieces of this critical infrastructure. Additional sites and facilities have been included in consideration of field review by the consulting team and input from community members.

#### Electrical Power

Electrical power is provided to most of EA H by overhead transmission lines. The electrical distribution network relies almost exclusively on above-ground transmission lines fastened to wood utility poles. Wooden poles are vulnerable to fire, and in many locations these lines are within a few metres of forests. Power lines are also a source of ignition because branches and foliage that fall onto charged electrical lines can readily catch on fire. Electrical networks can be compromised in the event of a large wildfire. Not only can wildfire ignite electrical infrastructure but burned trees can fall on lines and disrupt service. Ensuring emergency operations have access to a sufficient supply of back-up power is an important part of wildfire preparedness.

Several high-voltage lines cross EA H which culminate at the Dunsmuir Substation about four km from Qualicum Bay. Two high-voltage lines come from the east near Dashwood, one from the south which crosses over Horne Lake, and another which parallels HWY 19. The Dunsmuir substation is a major point of interconnection between the power grids of Vancouver Island and the BC mainland via an undersea cable. High-voltage lines are somewhat less likely to become sources of ignition because wider rights-of-way reduce the chance of vegetation contacting charged lines. BC Hydro inspects and manages vegetation along its utility corridors.

#### Communications Infrastructure

Primary connections to telephone and internet service in most of EA H are provided via the same overhead connections as are used for electrical power. Some rural residences are served by satellite telecommunications providers.

Cellular telephone service and text-based messaging services are frequently residents' primary means of communication. Cell service is provided by the three major Canadian telecommunications companies to all parts of EA H. Smaller providers may have incomplete network coverage in the area. Cellular towers for the different carriers are found in Bowser and near Horne Lake.

Radio communication is an important part of emergency response in EA H. The Deep Bay Fire Hall has a radio broadcast tower for maintaining land-mobile communications between the fire department and crews responding to an emergency. The Bow Horn Bay Fire Hall incorporates a small radio transmitter. A repeater in the provincial government's long-distance radio network is located on Mount Joan, outside of the WUI.

#### Public Buildings and Facilities

A small number of institutions and public buildings are crucial for maintaining the function of government and community services. These buildings can include municipal halls or offices, physical emergency operations centres, fire halls, schools, hospitals, and transportation facilities. Ensuring the safety of public buildings and institutions during a wildfire is important for community recovery after an event. During an emergency, these facilities may also be used as muster locations, evacuation reception centres, or relief and supply points. Table 7 lists the facilities of critical importance to the RDN's emergency plans.

**Table 7. Public facilities and buildings within Electoral Area H.**

| Facility or Building Name   | Location                        | Description  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Bow-Horn Fire Hall<br><i>Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department</i> | 220 Lions Way (Qualicum Bay)    | Fire Hall in Qualicum Bay.   |
| Deep Bay Fire Hall<br><i>Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department</i>     | 5031 Mountain View Rd. (Bowser) | Fire Hall and Waterworks building for Deep Bay Improvement District. |
| Bowser Elementary School (S.D. 69)                                  | 4830 Faye Rd. (Bowser)          | Community school enrolling students grades K-7.                      |
| British Columbia Ambulance Service (Sec. 173)                       | #10 – 6994 Island Hwy. (Bowser) | Regional ambulance service.  |

#### Water Supply & Waste Treatment

EA H contains many streams, watercourses, wetlands, and aquifers. Across three water Improvement Districts, 64% of dwellings are serviced by 1,540 connections through a suburban distribution network. Some residents use groundwater for both domestic and agricultural uses, though subsurface conditions can affect their reliability. In the central and eastern portions of EA H, thin soils and fractured bedrock are common which make viable groundwater difficult to come by. In contrast, the Deep Bay – Bowser area has well-drained soils which provide ample groundwater resources, though these reserves are vulnerable to surface contamination. The Big Qualicum River watershed is the largest in EA H, containing Horne Lake and several other smaller watercourses. Spider and Illusion Lakes are part of an enclosed drainage system with no surface outflow streams. This makes these lakes susceptible to negative impacts from contaminated runoff and increased erosion. The Official Community Plan recommends exercising best practice rainwater management to ensure that runoff does not impact the environmental integrity and recreational appeal of these lakes.



Liquid waste is handled for most properties by septic systems. Some subdivisions may have combined sewerage services relying on collective septic fields.

Wildfire has a complex relationship with water supply. Fires tend to increase surface runoff by removing insulating, absorbent organic matter at the soil surface and increasing so-called “splash impact” of raindrops on newly exposed mineral soils<sup>9</sup>. This impacts the rate of groundwater recharge, as well as affecting surface water sources with sedimentation and excess mineral nutrients downslope of burned areas.<sup>10</sup> There have been cases where debris flows have damaged homes and infrastructure were attributed to wildfire damage to soils<sup>11</sup>. The temperature and duration of heat in the soil can also impact the rate of groundwater recharge. At low to moderate temperatures, fire can create a water repellent layer in the subsoil that restricts infiltration, while at higher temperatures this layer may form but then be weakened or removed<sup>12,13</sup>. In EA H, protecting drinking water supply and existing septic systems means protecting local hydrology from the potential impacts of wildfire and erosion.

---

<sup>9</sup> Paige, G., & Zygmunt, J. (2013). The Science Behind Wildfire Effects on Water Quality, Erosion. *Living with Wildfire in Wyoming*. (p. 31-34). University of Wyoming: Laramie, WY.

<sup>10</sup> Emelko, M., & Sham, C. (2014). Wildfire Impacts on Water Supplies and Potential for Mitigation: Workshop Report. (p. 36). Waterloo, ON: Canadian Water Network and Water Research Foundation.

<sup>11</sup> Jordan, P., K. Turner, D. Nicol, & D. Boyer. (2006). Developing a risk analysis procedure for post-wildfire mass movement and flooding in British Columbia. *1<sup>st</sup> Specialty Conference on Disaster Mitigation, 23-26 May 2006, Calgary, AB, DM-013* (pp. 1-10). Montreal, QC: Canadian Society for Civil Engineering.

<sup>12</sup> Robichaud, P. R., J.W. Wagenbrenner, F.B. Pierson, K.E. Spaeth, L.E. Ashmun, & C.A. Moffet. (2016). Infiltration and interrill erosion rates after a wildfire in western Montana, USA. *Catena* 142 (2016) 77-88.

<sup>13</sup> Wieting, C., B.A. Ebel, & K. Singha. (2017). Quantifying the effects of wildfire on changes in soil properties by surface burning of soils from the Boulder Creek Critical Zone Observatory. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*. 13 (2017) 43-57.






Figure 6. Public facilities considered critical infrastructure.

## Wildfire Risk Assessment

Crucial to building resiliency in EA H is understanding where wildfire risk is the highest, so that investments made by the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) are effective, sensible, and balanced with other community values and interests.

In this plan, the terms **wildfire threat** and **wildfire risk** refer to different components of the community's vulnerability to wildfire. Both threat and risk have been modelled using data collected from forests in Electoral Area H (EA H). Wildfire threat refers to the potential fire behavior that a natural area could sustain. Rating wildfire threat means looking at the factors of fuel loading, type, and distribution, slope and aspect, and weather conditions. Wildfire threat has no relationship to how close a forest is to populated areas or other values. Wildfire risk builds on wildfire threat by considering the proximity of forests to populated areas and other values.

### Wildfire Environment

This section describes the components of wildfire threat in EA H. These components are topography, forest fuels (vegetation, debris and organic soil), and weather.

#### Topography

Topography influences wildfire behavior in several ways. In hills or mountains in the northern hemisphere, fuel loading is often less on south and west aspects because these are the "warm" aspects with higher amounts of solar exposure and consequently drier microclimates less supportive of vegetation. Higher fuel loading is found on cooler north and east aspects, which at this latitude are more protected from direct solar exposure. Therefore, while warmer aspects burn more frequently on average, during the most extreme fire weather cooler aspects can often support more severe fire behavior. On any considerable slope, wildfire spread is faster in the uphill direction. Hot air from a fire below will rise uphill, preheating forests above the head of the fire and drying fuels in advance of the flame. On steep slopes, flames also bathe the nearest upslope fuels to accelerate combustion. For these reasons, areas of steeper slopes are expected to have higher potential wildfire behavior.

The terrain of EA H is diverse. The western half of EA H is mountainous terrain reaching up to 1,535 m above sea level, with mountain ranges running parallel to the coast (NW-SE). The Big Qualicum River is a significant topographical feature in this area and flows from the mountains, through Horne Lake, and northeast through a steep-sided valley to its delta at Qualicum Bay. East of the mountains, the land transitions to a gentle coastal plain where most residences are located. An upland area between Horne Lake and the coast is hilly and rocky terrain with several small lakes. Steep slopes are common in the mountains and generally limited in area east of Illusion Lakes.

**Wildfire threat** is a ranking of potential fire behavior based on fuel conditions, weather conditions, slope, aspect, and other biophysical factors. This can also be referred to as wildfire behavior potential.

**Wildfire risk** is a measure of the probability of a wildfire occurring combined with the consequences or impacts it would cause.



### Fuels (vegetation)

Forest fuels are the dead and living vegetation, and organic soil matter within and surrounding EA H. Fuel conditions vary with the composition of tree species, live and dead proportions, density of understory shrubs and other plants, and other ecosystem characteristics. Fuels dominated by coniferous trees and shrubs are typically more flammable than deciduous forests due to their relatively low moisture content. Some plants produce volatile chemicals that readily burn, such as oils produced by scotch broom or gorse. Grasses burn quickly due to the large ratio of surface area to volume in their leaves, and often dieback during dry seasons in a process called curing. Deciduous broadleaved fuels, conversely, are more resistant to ignition due to their higher moisture content. Deciduous fuels may be left unburned during a wildfire that torches surrounding coniferous forests.

Forest fuels belong to one of four layers. First are ground fuels – this is the organic matter in the soil (soil carbon). Next are surface fuels, which includes all the dead branches, leaf litter, and low plants on or just above the surface of the ground. Above this, ladder fuels are the large shrubs, branches, and small trees that extend between the surface fuels and the overall height of the tree canopy. Lastly, crown fuels are the foliage, branches, and other vegetation lodged within the tree canopy.

Forest fuels belong to one of four layers. First are ground fuels – this is the organic matter in the soil (soil carbon). Next are surface fuels, which includes all the dead branches, leaf litter, and low plants on or just above the surface of the ground. Above this, ladder fuels are the large shrubs, branches, and small trees that extend between the surface fuels and the overall height of the tree canopy. Lastly, crown fuels are the foliage, branches, and other vegetation lodged within the tree canopy.




Photo 2. Forest fuel layers.

The combination of fuels from each of these layers is a strong influence on how quickly wildfire can grow and build intensity. The difference in behavior between high intensity and low intensity fires is significant for preparedness planning and response. For example, BC Wildfire Service (BCWS) ground crews will action a fire burning with an intensity of more than 2,000 kilowatts per meter (kW/m). This is a measure of energy being put out by head of an advancing fire. In forested environments, fires with high intensities can climb into tree crowns via ladder fuels. If conditions are right, ignition in the tree canopy will become an active ***crown fire*** – one where fire is spreading along the soil and through the crown simultaneously. These fires consume whole forests, from the ground to the tops of the trees, send embers far ahead on the wind to light new blazes, and are too dangerous to fight directly.

***Crown fire*** is a wildfire that involves fuels in the tree canopy. It can be “active”, meaning fire is advancing through tree crowns simultaneously with surface fire spread, or “passive”, meaning surface fire intensity is great enough to cause torching of single trees or small tree patches.



Photo 3: A wildfire with active crown fire. (Example from outside the RDN)




Crown fire becomes more likely where hazardous coniferous fuels have low **vertical** and **horizontal separation**. Amid the amazing variety of forests, some combinations of species, sites, and climates naturally produce ecosystems that have less separation between the fuel layers (vertical separation) or neighbouring tree crowns (horizontal separation). The fuel characteristics of forests also change drastically over time as the ecosystem develops. In Canada, a standardized system of assigning real forests to 16 simplified fuel types is used to help model wildfire threat and risk. These are the fuel types of the Canadian Fire Behavior Prediction System. Although the fuel types were developed with the rest of Canada in mind, practice in British Columbia and applied research by the Canadian Forest Service and BCWS has resulted in several standard rules for assigning forests fuel types.

**Vertical and horizontal separation** refer to fuel distribution within a forest and are used to help classify forests into standardized fuel types.

On the coast of the Salish Sea, most native coniferous forests are represented by the C-5 fuel type. This represents a coniferous forest with relatively high horizontal and vertical separation of fuels, where a high intensity of surface fire would be required under normal weather conditions to create an active crown fire. As a result, C-5 forests on flat ground are typically rated to have moderate wildfire threat (potential wildfire behavior). Young forests are typically denser and may have less separation between fuel layers and neighbouring tree crowns. In these areas the forests are assigned the C-3 fuel type, which is associated with high wildfire threat. Other common fuel types in the area are M-2 (mixed wood) and D-1 (deciduous). Stands with a high proportion of deciduous trees are expected to have reduced wildfire threat. The wildfire threat in M-2 stands is significantly affected by the proportion of conifers found in them. Table 8 provides a breakdown of fuel types by total area.

**Table 8. Summary of fuel types within the Electoral Area.**

| Fuel Type Name | Area (ha) | General description   |
|----------------|-----------|---|
| C-3            | 387.4     | Immature conifer, moderate to high density stands of mostly Douglas-fir, up to 40 years in age and under 15 m in height.                  |
| C-5            | 4337.7    | Mature, low to moderate density stands, mostly of the native conifer Douglas-fir, generally over 40 years in age and over 15 m in height. |
| C-6            | 119.6     | Conifer plantation with closed canopy.  |
| D-1/2          | 1083.5    | Deciduous stands with fewer than 25% coniferous composition.  |
| M-1/2          | 1290.9    | Mixedwood stands having between 25 and 75% coniferous and deciduous composition.  |
| N              | 104.7     | Non-fuel areas – pavement, rock, extensive sand.  |
| O-1a/b         | 535.8     | Grass fuel types, also used to represent agricultural fields and large lawns.   |
| W              | 2710.8    | Bodies of water, including freshwater and the ocean.  |



Weather

Weather in EA H is strongly influenced by the surrounding ocean. Sea breezes cool the air during the summer and increase local humidity. In this section, weather data for Dunsmuir are shown because of its central location in EA H. Average daily highs for Dunsmuir have ranged between 7°C (December & January) and 25°C (July & August). Most precipitation arrives in fall, winter, and spring, with sharply reduced precipitation in July, August, and September. Snow is rare and may fall only once or twice per year. High elevation ecosystems in the Beaufort Range receive appreciable moisture from snowmelt, but this does not extend to the populated lowland parts of EA H.




Figure 7. 30-year modelled climate averages for Dunsmuir (meteoblue).

The Salish Sea directs winds throughout the year. Winter is the windiest time of year when the North Pacific Storm Track sends high winds and moisture to the area from the south. These events are often experienced here as strong east-southeasterly winds. Storms also come from the northwest, though these tend to be weaker. Occasionally, the region receives cold easterly outflow winds that channel through the valleys of the Lower Mainland and across the Strait of Georgia. While fire risk is very low during the wet winter months, wind events are notable because they contribute to surface fuel loading by bringing small branches and needles to the forest floor. These fine fuels then dry out to become tinder for the next fire season.

Winds are subdued in the summer months when the area experiences high air pressure and mostly stable skies. Peak fire season is characterized by many warm, clear days. Typically, average temperatures are exceeded when high pressure over the BC interior forces warm, dry air down to the coast. These events lower the relative humidity, raise temperatures, and increase the potential for fire ignitions. During severe fire seasons, they can also bring smoke to the region. Further south in Washington and Oregon similar east-to-west summer airflows have been linked historically to catastrophic fire seasons with hundreds of thousands of hectares burned<sup>14</sup>.




Figure 8. Wind rose diagram for Dunsmuir (meteoblue). The diagram shows cumulative hours (the wind rose radius) at an average windspeed from each cardinal direction during the average year (1990-2020).

<sup>14</sup> Abatzoglou, J.T., D.E. Rupp, L.W. O’Neill, & M. Sadegh. (2021). Compound extremes drive the western Oregon wildfires of September 2020. *Geophysical Research Letters* 48(8):






Figure 9. Windspeeds by month experienced for Dunsmuir (meteoblue).

### Fire Weather Rating

Fire Weather Rating is the use of weather measurements to assess likely fire behavior for a defined forecast period. The BCWS monitors weather throughout the province. Fire weather is an essential component in most fire prediction models and is used to help determine a community’s landscape level wildfire threat. In Canada, temperature, relative humidity, wind, and 24-hour precipitation are tracked daily and recombined to calculate several index components of fire weather. While these variables are tracked annually, during most of the rainy season weather measurements fail to meet thresholds for the publication of calculated fire weather indices. The Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System carries rules about when in the year fire weather ratings need to be updated daily so the public and emergency responders can plan activities to mitigate fire risk. This is an estimate of the fire season, which is the period in the year during which wildfire activity is reasonably foreseeable. Historically wildfire season begins May 1 and lasts until September 30.

Table 9 shows weather averages during the core fire season (May-September) for the nearest BC Wildfire Management Branch weather station, Bowser (Station # 56). Data shown cover the twenty-year period between 2000 and 2020, inclusive. Comparison statistics from 2018 are presented separately to illustrate weather during recent years with elevated fire danger. The statistics show fire season weather is characterized by an extended period of hot, dry conditions in the area. In 2018, a year that featured highly active wildfire seasons in the rest of the province, prolonged drought occurred throughout July and August alongside higher-than-average temperatures. Although weather data for the 2021 fire season from the Bowser Station was not available at time of writing, similar patterns were likely initiated by June’s record-breaking “Heat Dome”.

Table 9. 20-year average weather (2000-2020), from Bowser (56).

|           | Weather Attribute             | May  | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sep   |
|-----------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 2000-2020 | Maximum Daily High (°C)       | 31.7 | 33.9 | 36.1 | 34.0 | 32.7  |
|           | Daily Average High (°C)       | 17.9 | 20.7 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 19.7  |
|           | Monthly Average Rainfall (mm) | 49.2 | 43.4 | 23.3 | 31.7 | 82.4  |
| 2018      | Maximum Daily High (°C)       | 28.0 | 33.9 | 34.2 | 32.5 | 25.9  |
|           | Daily Average High (°C)       | 20.9 | 20.2 | 26.7 | 25.6 | 17.9  |
|           | Monthly Rainfall (mm)         | 12.4 | 58.8 | 7.6  | 2.8  | 182.2 |

#### Climate Change and Wildfire Behavior

Climate change is causing changes to temperatures and precipitation patterns that impact forest health and wildfire risk. In 2021, the community faced a late-June heatwave that brought temperatures rarely recorded and touched off a long summer of drought. The 2021 “Heat Dome” was assessed by climate scientists to have been made 150 times more likely by human caused climate change<sup>15</sup>. The Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium (PCIC) has modelled anticipated climate impacts for each RDN in British Columbia using the RCP 8.5 (high emissions) greenhouse gas emissions scenario<sup>16</sup>. The estimates show what the climate could soon look like if little action is taken to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. By the 2050s, the RDN could see annual average temperatures increase by 2.7°C. Rainfall is expected to increase by 7% annually and by 3.9% in summer. Despite this, PCIC projects the dry season in the region will lengthen. This is because as temperatures warm, more rainfall is needed to counteract the effect of increased evaporation and transpiration from soil and plants. The average figures for temperature and precipitation conceal an expected increase in the frequency of extreme events, such as the June 2021 heatwave. A shift to more intense rainfall events could counterintuitively contribute to predicted

<sup>15</sup> Philip, S.Y., S.F. Kew, G.J. van Oldenborgh, W. Yang, G.A. Vecchi, F.S. Anslow, S. Li, S.I. Seneviratne, L.N. Luu, J. Arrighi, R. Singh, M. van Aalst, M. Hauser, D.I. Schumacher, C.P. Marghidan, K.I. Ebi, R. Bonnet, R. Vautard, J. Tradosky, D. Courmou, F. Lehner, M. Wehner, C. Rodell, R. Stull, R. Howard, N. Gillett, & F.E.L. Otto. (2021). Rapid attribution analysis of the extraordinary heatwave on the Pacific Coast of the US and Canada June 2021. World Weather Attribution. [Unpublished]. Accessed October 21, 2021. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/western-north-american-extreme-heat-virtually-impossible-without-human-caused-climate-change/>

<sup>16</sup> Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium. 2021. Plan2Adapt [Online tool]. Accessed October 21, 2021. <https://services.pacificclimate.org/plan2adapt/app/>

dryness, because intense precipitation events more often exceed the water infiltration capacity of soils and cause increased surface runoff rather than downward recharge of soil moisture.

Predictions for warmer, drier summers are ingredients for a longer wildfire season. Patterns observed in other parts of BC and North America suggest that hotter, drier conditions are likely to result in an overall increase in wildfire frequency<sup>17,18</sup>.

Warmer temperatures in spring and fall will extend the duration of the fire season, extending periods of high wildfire hazard<sup>19</sup>.

Climate change affects forest fuels as well as fire weather ratings. Climate change affects forest health by creating mismatch between trees and the physical environment, which creates the conditions for outbreaks of insects and tree diseases<sup>20</sup>. More frequent or prolonged droughts reduce tree health and vigor, also increasing susceptibility to pathogens and pests<sup>21</sup>. Declining forest health tends to increase forest fuel loads because it increases tree mortality and morbidity. Forest health damage agents can be biotic, like mountain pine beetle, or abiotic, like an unusual windstorm or frost. For example, the Coastal Douglas-fir biogeoclimatic zone has seen the widespread decline of western redcedar due to drought stress. This has increased fuel loads in some cedar forests, particularly the fine fuel load of small branches and dropped foliage that contribute to increased surface fire intensity. At the same time, longer growing seasons may increase fuel production by allowing more photosynthesis.

Despite uncertainty about the pace of climate change and the drive toward mitigation, longer fire seasons have been observed in recent years. The moist winter climate creates high volumes of foliage and woody material which are potential fuels during summer droughts. It is reasonable to expect that climate change impacts on forest health and weather patterns will result in an increase in wildfire intensity and frequency in EA H.

---


<sup>17</sup>Kirchmeier-Young, M.C., N.P. Gillett, F.W. Zwiers, A.J. Cannon, & F. Anslow. (2019). Attribution of the influence of human-induced climate change on an extreme fire season. *Earth's Future*, 7: 2-10.

<sup>18</sup> Taylor, S., Régnière, J., St-Amant, R., Spears, J., & Thandi, G. (2010). High resolution simulations of fire weather indices and wildfire risk in British Columbia with climate scenarios. Victoria: Canadian Forest Service.

<sup>19</sup> Abatzoglou, J., & Williams, A. (2016). Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113(42):11770–11775.

<sup>20</sup> Woods, A. J., Heppner, D., Kope, H. H., Burleigh, J., & Maclauchlan, L. (2010). Forest health and climate change: A British Columbia perspective. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 86(4), 412-422.

<sup>21</sup> Sturrock, R., Frankel, S., Brown, A., Hennon, P., Kliejunas, J., Lewis, K., Woods, A. (2011). Climate change and forest diseases. *Plant Pathology*, 60(1), 133-149.



**...MAY CAUSE:**



Figure 10. Potential impacts of climate change on wildfire behavior.

## Fire History

**Climate and Ecosystems**

Electoral Area H (EA H) is within a zone of near-Mediterranean climate characterized by cool, wet winters and warm summers with long dry periods. This climate helps shape the region's **biogeoclimatic ecosystem classification (BEC)** and **fire regime**. The BEC system is used in British Columbia to describe ecosystems by vegetation, soil, and climate. The entire province is divided into regional, or landscape-scale classifications called "zones", which each present a dominant vegetation community as the result of interactions between soils, climate, and ecology. When looking at the landscape, it is apparent that wildfire is a disturbance that is directly related to the kind of ecosystems found here. The pattern of returning fire in the landscape is called the fire regime. Understanding fire regime helps contextualize risk in communities and appropriate responses for management.

**Biogeoclimatic ecosystem classification (BEC)** is the province-wide system used to relate climate, physical geography, and plant communities.

**Fire regime** is the pattern of returning fire in a landscape, dependent on climate, ecological, and anthropological factors.

EA H lies within four BEC zones, the Coastal Douglas-fir (CDF), Coastal Western Hemlock (CWH), Mountain Hemlock (MH) and Coastal Mountain-Heather Alpine (CMA) Zones. The CDF BEC zone occurs in the rainshadow of the Insular (Vancouver Island) mountains and is the driest, mildest area of British Columbia's temperate coastal rainforest. The CDF is characterized by warm, dry summers with an extended fire season. The CWH zone is the largest BEC zone in BC, stretching from Sooke at the southern tip of Vancouver Island to the province's northernmost coastal border with Alaska. Because of this range, the CWH zone has many units (subcategories) that define the climate of more localized climate types across the coast. The CWH BEC units xm1, xm2, and mm2 are present in the Electoral Area. In general, the CWH the province's wettest BEC zone, and has cool summers and mild winters. The MH zone is the coast's subalpine BEC zone, occurring at high elevations (above 1100 m above sea level), and characterized by short growing seasons and high precipitation. Wildfires are rare in this zone. Finally, the CMA is one of three alpine BEC zones. The CMA is restricted to high mountain elevations (1600 m above sea level), and the harsh environment only allows for the growth of dwarf shrubs and wildflowers. Table 10 compares climate averages for the BEC zones present in EA H. Because the MH and CMA zones are unpopulated and outside of the wildland-urban interface (WUI), they are not discussed further.

**Table 10.** Climate data for weather stations in the CDF, CWHxm1, CWHxm2 CWHmm2, and MHmm1 zones. Data is unavailable for the CMA zone.

| Biogeoclimatic Classification | Avg. Annual Precipitation (mm) | Avg. Summer Precipitation (mm) | Avg. Annual Temperature (°C) | Summer Heat to Moisture Index* |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| CDFmm                         | 1038                           | 198                            | 9.8                          | 89                             |
| CWHxm1                        | 1427                           | 285                            | 9.3                          | 62                             |
| CWHxm2                        | 2087                           | 355                            | 8.3                          | 49                             |
| CWHmm2                        | 3096                           | 447                            | 6.9                          | 36                             |
| MHmm1                         | 3657                           | 819                            | 3.5                          | 18                             |



\* Summer heat to moisture index is the mean warmest month temperature divided by the mean summer precipitation, multiplied by one thousand.

The boundary between the CDF and CWH roughly follows the foot of the mountains in EA H. Most of the WUI is within the CDF zone, with a smaller number of residences in the similar CWHxm1 near Horne Lake and the Illusion Lakes. Forests in the CDF are frequently composed of nearly pure Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), mixed with shore pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *contorta*) in particularly dry locations. Accumulation of precipitation increases with elevation, resulting in forests of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) broken up by patches of western red-cedar (*Thuja plicata*), and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) in the CWH zone. In wetter areas, the forests can be mixed with red alder (*Alnus rubra*), black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera* var. *trichocarpa*), bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), and grand fir (*Abies grandis*).

### ***Disturbance Regime***

All ecosystems are influenced by periodic disturbances that vary in size, severity, and frequency. Examples of common disturbances include wildfire, windthrow, ice and freeze damage, water, landslides, insect, and disease outbreaks as well as human caused events such as logging. Historically, agents of disturbance were viewed as unhealthy and a threat to the integrity of the forest as a timber resource. Today, foresters and ecologists alike recognize the role of periodic disturbance in maintaining healthy and diverse forests and ecosystems.

All BEC subzones have been separated into natural disturbance types (NDT) according to the Forest Practices Code Biodiversity Guidebook. These natural disturbance types are classified into five categories based on the size and frequency of natural disturbances that occur in those ecosystems:

- NDT 1 Ecosystems with rare stand-initiating events
- NDT 2 Ecosystems with infrequent stand-initiating events
- NDT 3 Ecosystems with frequent stand-initiating events
- NDT 4 Ecosystems with frequent stand-maintaining fires
- NDT 5 Alpine Tundra and Sub-alpine Parkland ecosystems

The Coastal Douglas-fir and Coastal Western Hemlock Zones are considered to belong to NDT 2 – ecosystems with infrequent stand-initiating events. This means that, before colonization, most new forests in EA H would have sprouted after fires of moderate to severe intensity. “Stand-initiating” refers to the act of destruction that removes the existing forest and frees up space and resources for a new forest stand to grow in its place. Species like Douglas-fir are relatively shade intolerant, meaning they cannot grow competitively under the shade of other trees. For this reason, the landscape of the CDF needs stand-initiating fire disturbance to reset ecosystems and allow new trees to grow. Pre-colonization fire return intervals in Coastal Douglas-fir forests are estimated to be 200 years. Fires would have been of moderate size (20 to 1000 ha) with unburned areas resulting from local geography and chance. Forests would have taken the appearance of a mosaic of even-aged stands with scattered

veteran, fire-scarred trees<sup>22</sup>. Site-specific studies have shown through charcoal analysis that the fire interval was more frequent than 200 years in some coastal Douglas-fir forests<sup>23, 24</sup>.

### *Changes in fire return interval*

Ecologists believe the present landscape of Douglas-fir forests and other mixed tree species took shape in the past 4500 years, following a period of pronounced warmer climate<sup>25</sup>. The influence of indigenous land management is evident in charcoal and pollen records from the Coastal Douglas-fir zone, showing a practice of cultural burning with low severity fire that caused meadows to persist despite a cooling of the climate, more favourable to closed-canopy Douglas-fir forest<sup>26</sup>. These cultural burns were small fires set in the spring and fall to reduce the build up of debris in forests, clear productive meadows of new conifer trees, and enhance valuable food crops and game forage among other purposes. Indigenous burning was seen as threatening by settlers, despite settlers using fire to clear land for farming. Cultural burning was restricted by the colonial government's Bush Fire Act of 1874. This ushered in a period of increasing government control over the land base and oppression of indigenous people and cultural practices. The 20<sup>th</sup> century was dominated by a "put-it-out" philosophy that emphasized the suppression of all fires in a bid to protect the timber resource and forest communities. The effectiveness of this approach is now being questioned as British Columbia's worst fire seasons accumulate in recent decades.

---

<sup>22</sup> Province of British Columbia. (1995). Biodiversity Guidebook. *Forest Practices Code of British Columbia*, p. 22.

<sup>23</sup> Murphy, S.F., M.G. Pellatt, & K.E. Kohfeld. (2019). A 5,000-year fire history in the Strait of Georgia lowlands, British Columbia, Canada. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7(90).

<sup>24</sup> Lucas, J.D. & T. Lacourse. (2013). Holocene vegetation history and fire regimes of *Pseudotsuga menziesii* forests in the Gulf Islands National Park Reserve, southwestern British Columbia, Canada. *Quaternary Research* 79(2013): 366-376.

<sup>25</sup> Hebda, R.J. (1995). British Columbia Vegetation and Climate History with Focus on 6 ka BP. *Geographie physique et Quaternaire*, 49(1), 55-79.

<sup>26</sup> Brown, K.J., N.J.R. Hebda, G. Shoups, N. Conder, K.A.P. Smith, J.A. Trofymow. (2019). Long-term climate, vegetation and fire regime change in a managed municipal water supply area, British Columbia, Canada. *The Holocene* 29():1411-1424.



Photo 4. CDF forest burned by wildfire in North Cowichan, BC.

### *Recorded fires in the project area*

On the coast, large fires in recent history have been caused by human activities. Forest stands in many areas of coastal British Columbia originate after several hot, dry years between the 1880s and 1920s, during which land clearing, lumbering, railways, camping, and mining activities provided many sources of ignition<sup>27</sup>.

The BC Wildfire Service (BCWS) provides information on historic fires throughout British Columbia. Since 1950, there have been 319 wildfires recorded by the Wildfire Service in EA H. 110 of these were classified as “nuisance fires”, which are fires that did not spread to surrounding vegetation but were nevertheless called in to the BCWS. 186 fires did spread to vegetation and required fire suppression. The

---

<sup>27</sup>Parminter, J.V. (1978). An Historical Review of Forest Fire Management in British Columbia. [Thesis]. Vancouver: University of British Columbia.

remaining 22 reported fires were not located. The largest escaped fire during this period occurred in 1963 and burned over 280 ha in the subalpine of Mount Joan.

The BCWS also keeps longer reaching information on large fires. Between 1920 and 2020, 48 large fires (> one ha) occurred in the electoral area (including larger fires in 2013 and 2018). Other than these more recent fires, all larger (>10 ha) fires occurred before 1964. In the last hundred years, fires have burned an estimated 20,992 ha in EA H. However, significant portions of this burned area are in other jurisdictions (where a single fire burned across an EA boundary). Much of the land burned in EA H remains as forestry lands.




Figure 11. Area burned by decade, 1920-2020.

Since 1950, the average size of fires is just under 14 ha, but this is distorted by a large (77 ha) fire in 2013, which burned in the subalpine of Mount Schofield in 2013. The median size of fires during this period has been 2.3 ha. Other than a few fires in the isolated regions of EA H, about half the fires since 1950 are under one ha which illustrates the success of modern fire suppression techniques.

Human intervention in the forest, both deliberate and unintentional, has impacted the fire regime in this area. Every fire recorded in the BCWS data since 1919 has been caused by humans except for 15 ignitions by lightning. Prohibition of indigenous cultural practices, growing opposition to slash-burning in expanding communities, and effective fire suppression have all limited fire behavior since the major fires of the early 20<sup>th</sup> century. While there are still many ignitions in the interface owing to campfires,

recreation, and other human causes, most are immediately extinguished by firefighters, community members, or unsuitable weather conditions.

Urban development in the forest interface has impacted forest stands by compacting soils, changing how water flows through the landscape, and opening stands to new wind and sun exposure. While these physical impacts can decrease forest health<sup>28</sup> and contribute to forest fuel conditions, the larger impact of urban development is to increase the potential sources of ignition within the forest by placing people, machines, and buildings -- and the activities that involve sources of heat or energy – close to forest fuels. Warmer, drier conditions caused by climate change in combination with more sources of ignition are increasing the risk associated with the interface of these temperate rainforests.

### Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis

The Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) is a wildfire risk mapping exercise conducted at a provincial scale. This analysis is intended to be used as a starting point for assessments of local wildfire threat, which can then be refined and focused through a Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The PSTA includes several spatial layers, including wildfire threat and fuel typing. The Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan involves updating these components of the PSTA by integrating local weather and making field corrections to fuel typing for public land in the Area of Interest.

The analysis includes information and maps that describe fuel types, historical fire density, and the potential for embers to land in an area (spotting impact), head fire intensity, and a final calculated wildfire threat score. Scores are then used to assign locations within the province into one of ten Fire Threat Classes. Threat Class 7 is a threshold used to describe where the most severe wildfire behavior is expected. Areas of the province that fall into these higher classes are most in need of wildfire planning and mitigation. Areas rated as Class 7 or higher are where fire intensity, frequency and spotting can potentially cause catastrophic losses in any given wildfire season wherever ratings overlap with values at risk. Class 6 areas are also considered prone to dangerous crown fires at lower frequencies.

This analysis was completed at a coarse scale to allow efficient processing of large areas. The PSTA identified the majority of the public land area as moderate threat (75% of public land). Overall, 74% of EA H's land area is privately owned and cannot be rated by this analysis.

**Table 11. Summary of wildfire threat from Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis**

| PSTA Threat Rating (class) | Area (ha)* | % of land area |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Extreme (9-10)             | 114        | 0.4%           |
| High (7-8)                 | 390        | 1.4%           |
| Moderate (4-6)             | 5,440      | 19.6%          |
| Low (1-3)                  | 1,281      | 4.6%           |
| No Data (Private Land)     | 20,270     | 74%            |
| Water                      | 9,448      | N/A            |

<sup>28</sup> Zipperer, W.C. & R.V. Pouyet. (1995). Urban and suburban woodlands: a changing forest system. Syracuse, NY: United States Forest Service, US Department of Agriculture.



\*Minor differences in area totals between PSTA data and other tables result from different data resolutions.




Figure 12. Wildfire threat ratings from Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA)

The PSTA is completed as a province-wide spatial layer with a 50 m pixel size. This tool is useful for higher-level wildfire analysis and strategic emergency planning but lacks detail to support a local analysis of wildfire risk. Often errors or mismatches are identified when focusing on a specific area within this dataset. A key component of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan process is refining provincial data into maps of local wildfire threat based on local topography and validation of provincial fuel type information.

### Wildfire Threat Assessment

Field checks of provincial data on public land were completed in July and August of 2021. These site visits were focused on parcels owned, leased, or otherwise maintained by the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) within the wildland urban interface (WUI), though other public lands were also visited. The goal of these site visits was to assess the wildfire threat, ground truth the provincial data, and identify feasible potential fuel treatment areas. Sites were identified for assessment in advance using desktop analysis which considered the following:

1. Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis – High and Extreme wildfire threat areas
2. Structure Density – areas near high structure densities
3. Critical infrastructure – sites identified in collaboration with the RDN as critical for emergency response and governance.
4. Crown and municipal land – only public land was visited. Privately owned land was not visited.
5. Locally identified areas – areas recommended for field checks by RDN staff and fire department personnel.

A total of 8 wildfire threat plots and 7 walkthrough assessments were conducted within the area of interest. Figure 13 shows the wildfire threat and risk results from the local threat assessment. [Appendix C](#) (p.113) provides a detailed summary of the technical process for determining this local wildfire threat score.

**Table 12. Summary of wildfire threat and risk from the local threat assessment.**

| Wildfire Threat        | Area (ha) | % of WUI area | Wildfire Risk | Area (ha) | % of WUI area |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Extreme                | 4.5       | <1%           | Extreme       | 8.7       | <1%           |
| High                   | 209.0     | 2.0%          | High          | 121.1     | 1.2%          |
| Moderate               | 1511.8    | 14.8%         |               |           |               |
| Low                    | 209.9     | 2.0%          |               |           |               |
| Very Low               | 2726.9    | 26.8%         |               |           |               |
| No Data (Private Land) | 5515.9    | 54.2%         | No Data       | 5515.9    | 97.9%         |






Figure 13. Wildfire threat and risk resulting from the local threat assessment.

### Local Wildfire Risk Summary

Wildfire risk expresses where it is expected that wildfire behavior will threaten homes and other values. Risk is estimated based on the local wildfire threat assessments and conduct a geospatial analysis to intersect areas with high and extreme wildfire threat with proximity of structures and critical infrastructure. Areas of higher wildfire threat within 500 m of identified values are assigned high or extreme risk.

Overall, wildfire risk in EA H is moderate. The potential wildfire behavior in the landscape is generally moderate, with small amounts forests posing an equally high and low threat of wildfire behavior. The areas of elevated wildfire threat in the wildland-urban interface are characterized by intermix conditions and a lack of clear boundaries between forests and homes. There is a high potential for severe wildfire to occur in this wildland-urban interface, posing a risk to property and life.

Moderate threat characterizes Electoral Area H (EA H) wherever mature to old-aged coniferous forests are found on gentle ground. Moderate threat also characterizes most mixedwood areas, where stands have between 25-75% deciduous composition in the overstorey or main forest canopy. Areas associated with moderate wildfire threat are capable of supporting fire during a typical fire season but have reduced likelihood of supporting a fire of high intensity. This means that ignitions in these areas may develop into fires that can affect neighbouring homes, even though the most aggressive crown fire behavior is somewhat unlikely under historic fire weather patterns. These forests require extreme fire weather and ignition conditions before they are expected to support active crown fire. Climate change is increasing the likelihood of severe fire behavior in these areas by raising fire weather indices above historic averages. The wildfire threat in moderate rated areas is real and should not be discounted.

High threat is driven by different factors depending on location. In the few areas characterized by high threat, forests are likely to support intermittent crown fire in the hot, dry conditions brought by a typical fire season. Areas of high wildfire threat reflect locations with a C-3 or C-6 fuel type. This fuel type has higher density, crown continuity, and ladder fuels contributing to a higher potential wildfire behaviour. A significant area of these conditions is found southwest of Bowser near Cowland Road.

Other, smaller areas of high wildfire threat are associated with mature coniferous fuel types on steep slopes. This affect increases with slope steepness and is typically minor on slopes of 20% gradient or less. In steeper areas, slope contributes to anticipated fire behavior by preheating uphill fuels and causing more “bathing” of uphill fuels in flame due to slope geometry. However, most of the values within EA H are located near the shore, where slope has less of an impact of wildfire behaviour.

High threat areas may be found in forests on private land that have not been included in the risk modelling.

### *Neighbourhoods of Higher Relative Risk*

A complete understanding of risk requires us to consider the other factors affecting the trajectory of a potential wildfire. These include the availability of access routes to and from affected areas for the public and emergency responders, as well as the availability of water supply for firefighting and level of training or response within communities that are geographically isolated from each other.

Much of the WUI in EA H lies along Island Highway (Hwy 19A), a secondary highway along the coastline that connects the communities of Deep Bay, Bowser, and Qualicum Bay. The Deep Bay, Bow Horn Bay,



and Dashwood Volunteer Fire Departments all lie along this thoroughfare. Inland, the Inland Island Highway (Hwy 19) corridor is wide enough to act as a potential fuel break, though this use could be limited due to the prevailing ESE winds that match the highway's orientation.

The transportation network through EA H is limited. Inland Island Highway (Hwy 19) sits approximately three km inland and is the primary route for north-south travel across the island. There are few access points to Inland Island Highway (Hwy 19) from the adjacent coastal communities, with only one point of access within EA H. This access, Horne Lake Road, connects Island Highway (Hwy 19A) and Inland Island Highway (Hwy 19) via the community of Dunsmuir. Access to the highway from Deep Bay/Bowser is located just inside the border of the Comox Valley Regional District to the north. Similarly, the communities surrounding Horne Lake and Spider Lake are limited to one access point to Inland Island Highway (Hwy 19) via Horne Lake Road. Development in all communities takes the form of small subdivisions connected to the regional highways at a single point.

Development in the Deep Bay, Bowser, and Dunsmuir areas are more characteristic of interface conditions, where there are distinct boundaries between homes and forests. Development in the Cowland Road, Spider Lake, and Horne Lake areas are more typical of intermix conditions, where individual homes are surrounded by forests. Intermix development presents intrinsically higher risk by offering more pathways for wildfire between forest vegetation and homes.

Water supply varies throughout the electoral area. The Deep Bay, Bowser, and Qualicum Bay Improvement Districts are responsible for the provision of potable water within their respective areas. However, not all properties are serviced through these improvement districts, and instead rely on well or trucked water. Hydrant coverage is limited to the highest density areas and generally does not serve outlying rural properties.

### Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Analysis

Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Analysis is undertaken by local governments in British Columbia as part of their efforts to develop an Emergency Management Plan. This process results in a report which rates different kinds of disasters and emergencies by their likelihood and consequence and deals with similar concepts to wildfire threat (the potential for a disaster to occur) and wildfire risk (the consequences of that potential disaster). The RDN completed its most recent Hazard Risk and Vulnerability Analysis in 2019. This analysis found that wildfire was the natural hazard of top concern in the RDN, being an event of both high likelihood and significant anticipated consequences. Wildfire had the highest combined risk score of all hazards assessed, ahead of overland flooding, drought, severe winds, earthquake, and many other categories. The results of the Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Analysis are one of the primary reasons the RDN has undertaken to study wildfire risk in this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

## Introduction to FireSmart

FireSmart is a nation-wide program for wildfire preparedness and prevention. Each province has established a committee to prepare FireSmart guidance for landowners, residents, developers, local government, and emergency responders to help them understand wildfire risk and preparedness concerns and to support implementing actions to manage wildfire risk. FireSmart is a system of knowledge shared throughout Canada’s wildland-urban interface (WUI) – training is available for individuals to become ambassadors for wildfire preparedness in their own communities.

FireSmart is organized into seven “disciplines” or topic areas which address different aspects of wildfire preparedness:

- [Education](#) (p.58)
- [Legislation and Planning](#) (p.68)
- [Development Considerations](#) (p.74)
- [Interagency Cooperation](#) (p.81)
- [Cross-Training](#) (p.85)
- [Emergency Planning](#) (p.88)
- [Vegetation Management](#) (p.92)

The following sections of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan discuss each of these disciplines and consider recommendations the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) may pursue to improve wildfire resilience. Where available, examples of programs, initiatives, policies, or actions that fit within each discipline are provided along with further sources of information. See the [Action Plan & Implementation](#) (p.100) for a summary of recommendations and suggested priorities.

The Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan uses FireSmart terminology to discuss risk and recommendations in EA H. If you are unfamiliar with FireSmart, this section provides an overview of FireSmart concepts and ideas. For additional detail, you may wish to review the resources of BC’s FireSmart Committee to better understand the program’s focus and language. You can find this information online at [firesmartbc.ca](http://firesmartbc.ca)

### FireSmart – How it Works

FireSmart programming and materials make recommendations for building and landscaping based on wildfire science. The focus of this program is on homes, but recommendations are generally applicable to any building in the WUI. During a wildfire, homes are ignited by:

- Sparks or embers landing and accumulating on vulnerable surfaces such as roofs, verandas, eaves, and openings. Embers can also land on or in nearby flammable materials such as bushes, trees or woodpiles causing a fire close to a structure.
- Extreme radiant heat from flames up to 30 m away from a structure that melts or ignites siding or breaks windows.
- Direct flame from nearby forest vegetation.



Figure 14. Pathways to home ignition in the wildland-urban interface.

FireSmart assessments divide the area around the home into three “priority zones”, which radiate out from the structure and reflect the different ignition pathways.

The Non-Combustible Zone is the area immediately adjacent to a structure, out to 1.5 m. A non-combustible surface should extend around the entire structure and any attachments, such as decks. Creating a non-combustible surface can be as easy as clearing vegetation and combustible material down to mineral soil.

Zone 1 is the area within 1.5 and 10 m of the home or building. In this area life and property are at higher risk from radiant heat. It has been shown through analysis of recent large-scale wildfires that the most important factors in protecting structures are the exterior construction materials and immediate landscaping next to homes<sup>29</sup>. FireSmart guidance emphasizes the use of non-combustible or fire-resistant building materials for decks and outbuildings along with landscaping plans that reduce the potential for direct exposure of the home to radiant heat or flame in this area. Cleaning up debris, garbage, or storage from around the home is also of primary importance in this area.

Zone 2 includes the area from 10 m to 30 m from a structure. Wildfire in forests within this zone can subject the building to radiant heat and may produce an ember shower onto the building. Forest fuels

<sup>29</sup> Westhaver, A. 2017. Why some homes survived: Learning from the Fort McMurray wildland/urban interface fire disaster. *Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction (ICLR) research paper series – number 56*. (March 2017).

are generally treated aggressively in this area to prevent a crown fire from establishing and reduce the intensity of radiant heat and ember production. Treatments may include removal of ground fuel, thinning of trees, and lift pruning of retained trees.

Zone 3 includes the area from 30 m out to 100 m. People and structures are at risk from ember transport associated with a wildfire in this area. FireSmart guidance in this area can recommend forest stand thinning, fuel management, and the designation of access and egress. The goal in this area is to prevent a crown fire, but the distance from the home means fuel management is generally not as aggressive as treatments in Zone 2.




Figure 15. The FireSmart Zone system.

The fire resistance of homes in the interface can be improved by achieving FireSmart standards for building materials, ignition sources and combustible fuels within each of these zones. If a wildfire does threaten the area, suppression capability is improved with good access to the interface area, defensible spaces around values, and a good water supply.

### FireSmart – Goals and Objectives

The overall goal of FireSmart is to encourage private landowners to adopt and conduct FireSmart practices to minimize potential wildfire damage to life and property. Specific objectives include:

1. Reduce the potential for an active crown fire to move through private land.
2. Reduce the potential for ember transport through private land and structures.
3. Create landscape conditions around properties where fire suppression efforts can be effective and safe for responders and resources.
4. Treat fuels adjacent to structures to reduce the probability of ignition from radiant heat, direct flame contact, and/or ember transport.



5. Implement measures that reduce the probability of ignition of structures, infrastructure, and other assets.

### Key Aspects of FireSmart for Local Government

FireSmart is the chosen frame for the provincial government’s support of community wildfire planning at a local government scale. The Province supports local governments to prepare Community Wildfire Resiliency Plans and conduct other FireSmart initiatives via the Community Resiliency Investment Program. The Community Resiliency Investment Program is administered by the Union of British Columbia Municipalities. This program allows local governments to access additional funding for wildfire risk management. The program encourages local government to:

- Update or develop a Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.
- Develop policies and practices for FireSmart design in public projects.
- Conduct FireSmart risk assessments on public buildings and critical infrastructure.
- Amend high-level strategic community plans to accommodate wildfire risk analysis.
- Train employees in fire management and emergency response.
- Collaborate across jurisdictions on wildfire matters.

Table 13. FireSmart activities eligible for Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) program funding (2022).

| FireSmart Discipline or Program Area | Activity   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Education                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotion of another eligible activity.</li> <li>• Organize and host public information meetings relating to another eligible activity.</li> <li>• Promote and distribute FireSmart educational materials and resources.</li> <li>• Support the organization of a Wildfire Community Preparedness Day.</li> <li>• Support the organization of a Neighbourhood Champion Workshop, community FireSmart day, or other related events, workshops and open houses.</li> <li>• Support neighbourhoods to apply for the FireSmart Canada Neighbourhood Recognition Program.</li> </ul> |
| 2. Community Planning                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop or amend a Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.</li> <li>• Develop FireSmart policies and practices for the design and maintenance of public assets and lands.</li> <li>• Complete FireSmart assessments for publicly owned critical infrastructure.</li> </ul>  |
| 3. Development Considerations        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend Official Community Plans or land use, engineering, subdivision, and public works bylaws to incorporate FireSmart principles</li> <li>• Revise landscaping requirements in zoning and development permit documents.</li> <li>• Establish Development Permit Area for Wildfire Hazard.</li> <li>• Include wildfire prevention and suppression considerations in the design of subdivisions</li> <li>• Amend referral processes for new developments to ensure multiple departments, including fire services and/or emergency management personnel, are included.</li> </ul> |
| 4. Interagency Co-operation          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop, coordinate, and/or participate in a Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee</li> <li>• Participate in multi-agency planning tables for fire and/or fuel management.</li> </ul>  |

| FireSmart Discipline or Program Area                                  | Activity  |
|---|---|
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide Indigenous cultural safety and humility training to emergency management personnel in order to more effectively partner with and assist Indigenous communities.</li> <li>• Attend the annual FireSmart BC conference.</li> </ul>   |
| 5. Emergency Planning   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop and/or participate in cross-jurisdictional meetings and tabletop exercises focused on wildfire preparedness and suppression, such as seasonal wildfire readiness meetings.</li> <li>• Assess community water delivery ability as required for suppression activities.</li> <li>• Assess structure protection inventory.</li> <li>• Use and/or promote Emergency Management BC Wildfire Preparedness Guide for community emergency preparedness events focused on wildfire.</li> </ul>  |
| 6. FireSmart Training and Cross-Training                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support neighbourhood representatives or local government staff to become certified as Local FireSmart Representatives and support certified staff to become facilitators.</li> <li>• Wildfire Mitigation Specialist training for the FireSmart Home Partners Program.</li> <li>• Cross-train fire department members (registered departments) to include structural fire and interface fire training (subject to course/credential eligibility).</li> <li>• Cross-train emergency management personnel to provide ICS-100 (Incident Command System and WRR Basics Course)</li> </ul>  |
| 7. FireSmart Projects for Critical Infrastructure                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modify landscapes &amp; construction in line with the recommendations identified in a FireSmart assessment and document hazard score reduction following completion.</li> </ul>  |
| 8. FireSmart Activities for Residential Areas                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct Home Ignition Zone Assessments for individual residential properties or homes.</li> <li>• Develop a rebate program to residential property owners that complete eligible FireSmart activities (limited to 50% cost sharing up to \$500 per property).</li> <li>• Undertake Neighbourhood Wildfire Hazard Assessments and support the development of FireSmart Neighbourhood Plans for specific areas.</li> <li>• Provide off-site green waste debris disposal for residential property owners who have undertaken FireSmart activities.</li> </ul>   |
| 9. Fuel Management  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undertake planning and development for fuel management on public land, consistent with the BC Wildfire Service Fuel Management Prescription Guidance Document.</li> <li>• Undertake required professional assessments to support plan development.</li> <li>• Implement new fuel management treatments on publicly owned land, or fuel management maintenance activities supported by a prescription.</li> <li>• Off-site debris disposal for approved fuel management or maintenance activities.</li> <li>• Additional money available for one “demonstration” fuel management project of no more than 5.0 ha in a public and accessible location with an educational component.</li> </ul> |
| 10. Additional Items (as they directly relate to eligible activities) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incremental staff and administration costs, including term contracts for a FireSmart Coordinator, Community FireSmart Resiliency Committee Coordinator, Qualified Local FireSmart Representative or Wildfire Mitigation Specialist, or summer/co-op students.</li> <li>• Consultant/contractor costs, including professional planners and foresters.</li> <li>• Public information costs.</li> </ul>   |

summarizes FireSmart activities that are eligible for Community Resiliency Investment Program funding, as of the 2021 program year. Eligible activities are reviewed annually. The RDN should ensure its

proposals consider the applicable program year and guide, and requirements may vary by application year.

**Table 13. FireSmart activities eligible for Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) program funding (2022).**

| FireSmart Discipline or Program Area              | Activity   |
|---|--|
| 1. Education                                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Promotion of another eligible activity.</li> <li>Organize and host public information meetings relating to another eligible activity.</li> <li>Promote and distribute FireSmart educational materials and resources.</li> <li>Support the organization of a Wildfire Community Preparedness Day.</li> <li>Support the organization of a Neighbourhood Champion Workshop, community FireSmart day, or other related events, workshops and open houses.</li> <li>Support neighbourhoods to apply for the FireSmart Canada Neighbourhood Recognition Program.</li> </ul>           |
| 2. Community Planning                             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop or amend a Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.</li> <li>Develop FireSmart policies and practices for the design and maintenance of public assets and lands.</li> <li>Complete FireSmart assessments for publicly owned critical infrastructure.</li> </ul>  |
| 3. Development Considerations                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Official Community Plans or land use, engineering, subdivision, and public works bylaws to incorporate FireSmart principles</li> <li>Revise landscaping requirements in zoning and development permit documents.</li> <li>Establish Development Permit Area for Wildfire Hazard.</li> <li>Include wildfire prevention and suppression considerations in the design of subdivisions</li> <li>Amend referral processes for new developments to ensure multiple departments, including fire services and/or emergency management personnel, are included.</li> </ul>         |
| 4. Interagency Co-operation                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop, coordinate, and/or participate in a Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee</li> <li>Participate in multi-agency planning tables for fire and/or fuel management.</li> <li>Provide Indigenous cultural safety and humility training to emergency management personnel in order to more effectively partner with and assist Indigenous communities.</li> <li>Attend the annual FireSmart BC conference.</li> </ul>   |
| 5. Emergency Planning                             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop and/or participate in cross-jurisdictional meetings and tabletop exercises focused on wildfire preparedness and suppression, such as seasonal wildfire readiness meetings.</li> <li>Assess community water delivery ability as required for suppression activities.</li> <li>Assess structure protection inventory.</li> <li>Use and/or promote Emergency Management BC Wildfire Preparedness Guide for community emergency preparedness events focused on wildfire.</li> </ul>   |
| 6. FireSmart Training and Cross-Training          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support neighbourhood representatives or local government staff to become certified as Local FireSmart Representatives and support certified staff to become facilitators.</li> <li>Wildfire Mitigation Specialist training for the FireSmart Home Partners Program.</li> <li>Cross-train fire department members (registered departments) to include structural fire and interface fire training (subject to course/credential eligibility).</li> <li>Cross-train emergency management personnel to provide ICS-100 (Incident Command System and WRR Basics Course)</li> </ul> |
| 7. FireSmart Projects for Critical Infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Modify landscapes &amp; construction in line with the recommendations identified in a FireSmart assessment and document hazard score reduction following completion.</li> </ul>   |

| FireSmart Discipline or Program Area                                  | Activity  |
|---|---|
| 8. FireSmart Activities for Residential Areas                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct Home Ignition Zone Assessments for individual residential properties or homes.</li> <li>• Develop a rebate program to residential property owners that complete eligible FireSmart activities (limited to 50% cost sharing up to \$500 per property).</li> <li>• Undertake Neighbourhood Wildfire Hazard Assessments and support the development of FireSmart Neighbourhood Plans for specific areas.</li> <li>• Provide off-site green waste debris disposal for residential property owners who have undertaken FireSmart activities.</li> </ul>   |
| 9. Fuel Management  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undertake planning and development for fuel management on public land, consistent with the BC Wildfire Service Fuel Management Prescription Guidance Document.</li> <li>• Undertake required professional assessments to support plan development.</li> <li>• Implement new fuel management treatments on publicly owned land, or fuel management maintenance activities supported by a prescription.</li> <li>• Off-site debris disposal for approved fuel management or maintenance activities.</li> <li>• Additional money available for one “demonstration” fuel management project of no more than 5.0 ha in a public and accessible location with an educational component.</li> </ul> |
| 10. Additional Items (as they directly relate to eligible activities) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incremental staff and administration costs, including term contracts for a FireSmart Coordinator, Community FireSmart Resiliency Committee Coordinator, Qualified Local FireSmart Representative or Wildfire Mitigation Specialist, or summer/co-op students.</li> <li>• Consultant/contractor costs, including professional planners and foresters.</li> <li>• Public information costs.</li> </ul>   |

### *The Role of a FireSmart Coordinator*

Navigating all the aspects of FireSmart can be challenging for local government and private landowners. Local governments often lack the capacity to implement the FireSmart programming in addition to existing departmental responsibilities. To help with this issue, the Community Resiliency Investment Program allows local government to apply for term contract funding for a FireSmart Coordinator. Given the size and population of the RDN, and the diverse responsibilities of the Emergency Services Department, having a dedicated FireSmart Coordinator for the Region is desirable. This position could be housed within the Emergency Services department, working with the Emergency Planning Coordinator and ultimately reporting to the Manager, Emergency Services. This position would create new capacity for FireSmart programs and enable the RDN to have more contacts with members of the public. The primary responsibilities that could be assigned to this coordinator are summarised in Table 14.



Table 14. Potential Roles of the FireSmart Coordinator

| FireSmart Activity Category | Role of FireSmart Coordinator   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Education                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support the development of a detailed communications strategy for FireSmart</li> <li>• Develop and conduct a public education program, including meetings or information sessions, public signage, and social media.</li> <li>• Distribute FireSmart materials through community partners and online.</li> </ul>   |
| Community Planning          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support neighbourhoods to apply for FireSmart Canada Neighbourhood Recognition, including by supporting facilitation and FireSmart events and demonstration projects.</li> <li>• Complete FireSmart assessments for critical infrastructure.</li> <li>• Conduct education for the RDN’s planning staff on wildfire risk and FireSmart principles.</li> </ul>   |
| Development considerations  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comment on wildfire issues within a development permit process on behalf of the Emergency Services department, when referrals received from the Islands Trust.</li> </ul>  |
| Interagency co-operation    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinate FireSmart initiatives between electoral areas and external partners as applicable, such as by representing the RDN in working groups or committees.</li> </ul>  |
| Emergency planning          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide comment on wildfire issues during emergency plan and response preparation.</li> </ul>  |
| FireSmart Implementation    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinate retrofits and vegetation management for critical infrastructure.</li> <li>• With homeowners’ consent: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Conduct Home Ignition Zone Assessments for residential properties or homes.</li> <li>○ Develop FireSmart Neighbourhood Plans.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Coordinate chipping days or bin programs to facilitate vegetative debris disposal.</li> </ul> |
| Other                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report on program implementation, progress, and community feedback regarding FireSmart to the Emergency Planning Coordinator and Manager, Emergency Services.</li> <li>• Prepare grant applications</li> </ul>   |

Having a FireSmart Coordinator working alongside the Emergency Services department is particularly important in translating FireSmart language and principles into the context of the RDN’s communities. This arrangement provides program continuity and long-term oversight of the RDN’s FireSmart messaging. Previously, attempts at establishing FireSmart neighbourhood committees have lost momentum when homeowners became discouraged by the strict appearance of the FireSmart Home Ignition Zone assessments. Ensuring that permanent staff continue to carry primary responsibility for RDN’s FireSmart vision and message is one way to guard against this happening again. Ideally, permanent staff will be able to provide an incoming hire for this position with a clear understanding of the RDN’s program priorities, key messages, and approach to FireSmart. Some of these elements have begun to be developed by this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

## Education

Education is first among the seven FireSmart disciplines. Education is enhanced awareness of wildfire risk and prevention, which encourages individuals to act on private property while also building public support for initiatives by government. An education component is currently mandatory for applications to Community Resiliency Investment Program grants for wildfire preparedness.

Recommendations attached to the Education discipline are meant to promote a sense of understanding, empowerment, and eventually shared responsibility. The goal of initiatives in this discipline is to create citizens, emergency responders, and government officials who can explain and act on wildfire risk in their communities. Because over 80% of the land base in EA H is privately owned, education is also the primary tool available to local government for influencing wildfire risk outside of core authorities. By sharing the knowledge and techniques of FireSmart building and landscaping design, the RDN encourages residents to assess and address risk factors on their own properties. Education is not just about emergency management personnel sharing information with residents, however. It's also about representing a vision of a FireSmart community that can inspire the RDN's own departmental operations and feature in its communications with other public authorities and First Nations.

The RDN should plan its education activities to complement existing outreach in EA H. There is a wealth of knowledge among dedicated individuals who are already acting to manage wildfire risk. All three Fire Departments serving EA H maintain outreach with their communities through social media and community events, and each currently have a FireSmart Coordinator for administering their outreach programs. The RDN can support local organizations by coordinating joint grant applications for FireSmart activities that further the interest of community wildfire resiliency.

### Factors for Success

#### Vision of a FireSmart community

Public engagement is one of the most challenging aspects of community wildfire planning. For public engagement to be effective, the RDN needs to reframe managing wildfire risk as a collective undertaking with community and individual benefits. During public engagement, residents expressed that interest in FireSmart declines when people are confronted with what seems like an expensive and impossible task – the task of making a forested community FireSmart. The RDN needs to present a vision of FireSmart that works for EA H and still adequately represents the local forest character. To do this, public messaging and materials should emphasize that any action to reduce fire hazard can have value. Building a FireSmart community takes time, and a focus should be made on promoting the FireSmart process rather than a scoring outcome.

### Audience for communications

A second factor in the effectiveness of education initiatives is appropriate targeting of different audiences. In a diverse community like EA H, there are several ways for the RDN to consider targeting its FireSmart messaging and activities. Appropriate audiences for communications development depend on:

- **Different community areas.** Although this plan found similar levels of threat around the community, risk depends on further factors like population density, social vulnerability, and demographics. Additionally, some areas share a common point of access, reliance on a particular water source, distance to fire suppression resources, or other qualitative characteristics of risk that make it sensible to consider them as a group for the purposes of outreach. The two basic audiences within an area-based approach could be intermix and interface areas. Conventional suburban developments on lots of less than 0.1 ha tend to have interface characteristics, such as clear boundaries between forests and urban areas. Areas with larger lots tend to have more forest vegetation surrounding homes. There is more risk in intermix areas where more ignition pathways exist between forest vegetation and homes.
- **Age and household size.** EA H communities contain many families with young children while also remaining an attractive place for one- or two-person households of older retirees. Both young families and older people may face cost pressures that keep them from engaging in emergency preparedness or FireSmart initiatives. Ensuring that different groups are informed about wildfire risk is important to building resilience. Some members of these households may have difficulty with the manual labour involved in FireSmarting a property or may not have the time to participate in community activities outside of their other commitments. Finding ways to reach people where they are is an important consideration of recognizing diversity and building resilience.
- **RDN staff.** RDN staff in various departments work to provide services for the residents of EA H. Promoting FireSmart awareness among staff is an important part of improving policy within other departments and achieving cross-department support for initiatives of the Emergency Services department. The RDN is fortunate to have deep knowledge of wildfire risk and prevention within its parks department, where several staff members have backgrounds in forestry or with the BC Wildfire Service (BCWS). The RDN can work to ensure its departmental managers share the same understanding of FireSmart. The Emergency Services department can lead internal training and information sharing to ensure core personnel are familiar with the FireSmart program and principles.
- **Other jurisdictions and agencies.** The RDN is part of a network of local governments, improvement districts, and First Nations that provide administration to residents of EA H. There may be value in continuing to liaise with other governments serving the community to develop a shared understanding of wildfire threat and FireSmart. This can involve ensuring other government organizations like the Deep Bay Water & Fire Improvement District, Bowser Water Improvement District, Qualicum Bay – Horne Lake Water Improvement District and Qualicum First Nation have been provided with the plan. These governments are also eligible to receive funding for community wildfire planning through provincial programs.

### Information placement

A third factor for effective education is information placement. Both the timing and location of information provided to the public can influence the size of the audience for outreach and the imprint of the information presented. Timing of active outreach should respond to the cycle of the year in EA H, with in-person contacts and community events timed to correspond and respect the calendar of festivals, school, and summer holidays that make EA H unique. Informational contacts regarding RDN projects, such as fuel management, should take place during the shoulder season, when both important stakeholders like the BCWS and members of the public have more time to dedicate to their review. Education can also be undertaken through passive outreach, through initiatives such as updating RDN webpages and informational signage in key parks. This factor also includes targeting the use of existing RDN resources wherever possible. Today, most people expect resources to be presented in digital and physical formats on request, so resources of both types should be considered. To leverage existing resources means to incorporate FireSmart information into places that are already being managed and maintained by the RDN, and can include physical locations like popular parks, or digital property like the RDN's website and social media identities. To increase the reach of emergency information during a wildfire, the RDN can continue to promote Mass emergency notification system, Voyent Alert! For information on how to register for Voyent Alert!, visit [rdn.bc.ca/emergency-alerts](http://rdn.bc.ca/emergency-alerts)



Photo 5. Education is a key part of developing a FireSmart community.



## Strategic communications

The final factor in successful public engagement around wildfire issues is the careful planning and documentation of a Communications Strategy for each FireSmart initiative undertaken. A strategy may be one or more documents comprising the RDN's plan to engage with specific populations or groups. The strategy can be available to the public or intended for internal use only. The purpose of such a strategy is to identify goals for an education initiative, several key messages for increasing public awareness of wildfire and FireSmart, and expected outcomes of the proposed activity. Planning should be used to focus and correctly scope all RDN communications on wildfire within EA H, including printed and digital media and during in-person or online events.

**Table 15. Potential key messages for a wildfire communications strategy**

| Potential Key Messages for Public Communications  |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The communities of Electoral Area H are set beside and among forests, making them vulnerable to wildfires.</li> <li>• The wildfire risk in coastal forests during the wildfire season is real, and most forests will support wildfire of moderate intensity.</li> <li>• Predicted and observed climate change in the region are consistent with more aggressive wildfire behavior.</li> <li>• Private landholders have a large role to play in protecting life and property by adopting FireSmart practices for building and landscape maintenance and by being knowledgeable about local emergency plans.</li> <li>• There are resources to support private landholders and neighbourhoods in becoming more FireSmart.</li> <li>• The RDN can help reduce wildfire risk by strategically managing forest fuels on its parkland and supporting FireSmart community development.</li> </ul> |

## Initiatives to Consider

### Creating opportunities for passive outreach

In developing initiatives within the Education discipline, the RDN should seek to leverage its existing resources and programs, where residents already come into contact with local government. Passive outreach means presenting and providing information about wildfire risk in a variety of formats that are received by residents in a self-directed fashion. The resources the RDN can use to create these opportunities include its digital and physical properties, human resources, and community liaisons.

Today, digital resources may be preferred and/or seen by more people. At a minimum, the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan should be made public on the RDN's website. Currently, the RDN has a dedicated public engagement platform, Get Involved, where it is anticipated this plan and related resources developed during community engagement will be provided. Additional material can include videos of the recorded community presentations, slide decks, or interactive maps dedicated to summarizing the planning process and results. These resources can be advertised using the RDN's social media, or by appending a temporary completion announcement and link to outgoing RDN emails. Physical copies of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan are unlikely to be desired by most residents. However, some people prefer to review physical documents and may wish to obtain a copy. The RDN should consider printing the plans on request for socially vulnerable individuals or people with low digital literacy or accessibility concerns. To visit the RDN Wildfire Resiliency Webpage, visit [getinvolved.rdn.ca/rdn-wildfire-resiliency](http://getinvolved.rdn.ca/rdn-wildfire-resiliency).

The RDN's major resource in EA H is its network of community and regional parks. The largest regional park in EA H is Horne Lake Regional Park, a popular recreation and tourism site with a significant public campground. Community parks typically have little developed infrastructure and see lower use, though some like Oakdowne Community Park are larger and have a sizeable trail network. The Lighthouse Country Regional Trail and Big Qualicum River Regional Trail are popular recreational locations where the RDN manages a narrow corridor around the trail facility. The RDN can initiate passive education by installing wildfire awareness signage and educational material in high-traffic locations, including trailheads, such as at the regional trails or at Horne Lake Regional Park. Popular recreational areas are high-visibility locations where people are already thinking about forests—this is a good opportunity to make connections between forests and fire in familiar environments.

Provincial funding requirements specifically support education initiatives aimed at increasing public awareness of fire risk management activities within the other FireSmart disciplines. Planned fuel management or FireSmart landscaping could be used as a focus of education initiatives, with signage or self-guided tour routes developed to engage visitors to these park properties. This component of provincial funding is focused on proposed activities, so any activity-related education materials should be planned in advance and included as a part of an application for implementation funding.



Figure 16. The welcome centre at Horne Lake Regional Park has information signs.

### Expanding access to FireSmart information and services

Currently all local fire departments have a dedicated FireSmart Coordinator who can liaise with community members about prevention and preparedness and can conduct home assessments to help residents understand where the risk to their home comes from. But ability to provide this service across the Electoral Area is limited by staff capacity in the local fire departments and in the RDN. The RDN should investigate ways to provide this service for residents and properties outside of the fire protection districts. The need for this service may be diminished if fire protection services are extended to Horne Lake. If no regional FireSmart Coordinator is hired, the RDN's Emergency Services department currently has certified Local FireSmart Representatives who could potentially undertake a limited number of assessments, perhaps in a targeted neighbourhood or on a specific day. Hiring a dedicated RDN FireSmart Coordinator drastically improves the capacity for these engagement initiatives and administrative work related to future grant applications. This position can be funded through grants and shared among other EAs that are not currently served by a fire department FireSmart coordinator position.

### Hosting a community wildfire preparedness or clean-up day

Some initiatives that promote practical demonstrations of FireSmart are also eligible for provincial funding within the education discipline. The RDN can promote wildfire awareness while achieving FireSmart benefits simultaneously by organizing a community clean up or "Wildfire Community Preparedness Day" event. These are public events where members of the public are invited to come and conduct light work around a community park or facility while receiving information about FireSmart. Activities can include removing debris from the vicinity of buildings or pathways, pruning shrubs and bushes, removing invasive species, and raking leaves or needles. The RDN would be responsible for identifying target vegetation for the clean up and bagging and chipping or removing waste. The events can include an educational component, such as a presentation about FireSmart landscaping. Delivering these events could be part of the role of a new regional FireSmart Coordinator. The RDN's role will also be to provide chipping or waste removal services as well as grant support and/or locations for the event as required. Community clean ups can be a good way to create regular engagement with locals and may be a pipeline for future engagement by RDN parks managers on other initiatives related to broader stewardship of park properties.

Suitable locations for such an event depend on community interest as much as with identified fuel hazard concerns. In many cases, these areas are of marginal impact to landscape wildfire risk and should therefore be combined with a program of more comprehensive fuel management. Because clean up days are focused primarily on education and demonstration of FireSmart principles in a small area, they do not follow the stricter guidance for locating areas for fuel management. High-use areas are often ideal locations because they allow for corresponding opportunities for passive outreach related to the project completion. Linear corridors, such as the Lighthouse Country Regional Trail, are also often good locations for community clean up events because they contain easy-access areas and are frequently near homes.

Undeveloped park lands are often less suitable for these activities due to access, safety, and environmental concerns. One exception to this is areas where fuel management is planned or has occurred.



### Encouraging neighbourhood FireSmart recognition

Through FireSmart Canada, groups of neighbours who follow the Community Recognition Program can access grant funding independently of the RDN for FireSmart activities. The RDN can support these initiatives by helping connect interested residents and providing information on the recognition process, its requirements, and benefits. This process is meant to be led by interested neighbours and not by government. The process starts when a neighbourhood representative contacts FireSmart Canada to organize a site visit by a Local FireSmart Representative. The Local FireSmart Representative is someone who has completed FireSmart Canada training certification to assess wildfire hazard in the WUI and can support the neighbourhood FireSmart planning process. Prior to and concurrent with hazard assessments, leading neighbours should be organizing in their community to increase participation and the number of home assessments. The end goal of this organization is the formation of a neighbourhood committee to receive the hazard assessments and steward the creation of a FireSmart Neighbourhood Plan on the advice of the Local FireSmart Representative. The Neighbourhood Plan will assess wildfire hazard on participating properties and identify actions specific to the area for improvement. Participating residents schedule the implementation of the plan and apply for and maintain Community Recognition with the help of their Local FireSmart Representative.

The RDN can assist some parts of this process, such as by advertising a new neighbourhood initiative through its social media and online channels. There is also funding available to offer half-day FireSmart Neighbourhood Champion training for interested residents and/or distributing FireSmart material to households facing barriers to access this information. In areas where neighbourhood organization is underway, the RDN may be able to help facilitate a start up event by including costs on its own application to the province for grant money. Criteria for providing this support should be developed so that serious initiatives with widespread interest in the community are prioritized for resources.



[firesmartcanada.ca/programs/neighbourhood-recognition-program/](https://firesmartcanada.ca/programs/neighbourhood-recognition-program/)



Identifying potential neighbourhoods for FireSmart planning

FireSmart planning and outreach to communities should reflect neighbourhoods of similar levels of risk and access. This plan did not reveal major differences in the risk profile throughout EA H. Most neighbourhoods are exposed to forests with a moderate threat of wildfire. Neighbourhoods that may make suitable sub-areas on the basis of shared access, identity, and geographic profile are listed in Table 16.

**Table 16. Potential sub-areas for FireSmart planning and neighbourhood initiatives.**

| Name                  | Wildfire Risk Rating* | Area Description  | Fire Protection  | Recommended FireSmart Activities   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Bowser – Intermix     | H/M                   | Intermix conditions dominate the rural residential subdivisions southwest of Bowser accessed via Cowland Rd. This area is surrounded by a mix of high- and moderate-threat forests. Area is contiguous with long-term forest lands, though northeast of the HWY 19.                 | Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department   | Creation of FireSmart neighbourhood plans is recommended for these areas. The RDN should liaise with representatives of the fire departments to assess current progress with outreach in these areas and how RDN can complement existing efforts. The plans would include communications and engagement goals and a strategy for FireSmart assessments of private property and community park lands. The plan would be led by neighbourhood committees with the RDN acting as a local government representative and providing supports such as coordination with the fire departments, BC Wildfire Service (BCWS), and other actors as applicable. The RDN may also include eligible neighbourhood FireSmart activities in its CRI grant applications. |
| Horne Lake – Intermix | M/H                   | Intermix area of recreational and residential properties surrounding Horne Lake outside of existing fire protection boundaries with single paved point of access (Horne Lake Road). The area is located in a steep sided valley surrounded mostly by private long-term forest land. | No local fire protection (BCWS)<br>Feasibility study to extend fire protection underway (2022) |  |

| Name                                | Wildfire Risk Rating* | Area Description  | Fire Protection  | Recommended FireSmart Activities  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Spider Lake – Intermix              | M/H                   | Rural residential properties located within the Bow Horn Bay fire protection area in the vicinity of Spider Lake. This community achieved Neighbourhood Recognition in 2019, working with the Bow Horn Bay Fire Dept.'s LFR. The community has developed a FireSmart Neighbourhood Plan and is pursuing implementation. | Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department                                       | The RDN should liaise with the FireSmart coordinator of the Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department to learn about this community's experience. In the future, there may be opportunities to collaborate on FireSmart activities in this area.   |
| Deep Bay – Interface                | M                     | Interface conditions dominate small-lot residential development with single paved access via Gainsberg Road.  | Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department   | These neighbourhoods are of secondary priority for developing FireSmart neighbourhood plans because they border smaller forest areas than higher priority communities or contain agricultural land that can help buffer development from forest areas. The plan would be led by neighbourhood committees with the RDN acting as a local government representative and providing supports such as coordination with the fire departments, BC Wildfire Service, and other actors as applicable. The RDN may also include eligible neighbourhood FireSmart activities in its CRI grant applications. |
| Bowser – Interface                  | M                     | Interface conditions dominate small-lot residential development with single paved access via Jamieson Road  | Deep Bay Volunteer Fire Department<br>Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department |   |
| Qualicum Bay / Dunsmuir – Interface | M                     | Interface conditions dominate in area with mix of small- and large-lot development. Small farms separate some homes from forest vegetation.   | Bow Horn Bay Volunteer Fire Department<br>Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department |   |
| Oakdowne – Intermix                 | M                     | Intermix conditions dominate in area with mix of small and large-lot development. Agricultural land in the vicinity fragments forest cover in this area.  | Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department   |   |
|                                     |                       |   |  |   |

\*Ratings reflect modelled wildfire threat on public land in each area. Ratings are L(ow), M(oderate), H(igh), E(xtreme).

Expanding participation in Voyent Alert!

During a wildfire emergency, the RDN has several means by which it will publish an evacuation alert or order. The most direct of these is the free, sign-up based multi-platform Voyent Alert! system. Voyent Alert! lets registrants receive emergency notifications via app, SMS (text message), voice call, or email

and opt-in to notifications for day-to-day announcements by the RDN concerning service notices, such as solid waste rescheduling or park closures. Because it allows messages directly to user devices or emails, Voyent Alert! is a crucial piece of technology for advising residents about wildfire. Because it is an opt-in service, Voyent Alert! is advertised by the RDN to improve participation. Placing invitations to join Voyent Alert! should be part of any communications between the RDN and the public regarding this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. For more information on how to register for the Voyent-Alert! system, visit [rdn.bc.ca/emergency-alerts](https://rdn.bc.ca/emergency-alerts).

Collecting feedback from the community on an ongoing basis

The RDN can strive to match FireSmart initiatives with the level of interest shown by its communities. The Get Involved page for Wildfire Resiliency currently allows residents to contact a project representative to ask questions and provide feedback. In some cases, more structured feedback can be helpful, such as when evaluating the success of a FireSmart plan or community event such as a chipping day. It should be a clear part of any education initiative or communications that feedback on wildfire prevention and preparedness is welcome. Short surveys related to specific community activities or a generic survey with guides for response topic and content can be created using the *Get Involved* platform to collect and organize public feedback. The *Get Involved* platform allows a variety of survey tools, from simple to complex, and can also incorporate visual media into survey presentations. Advertising opportunities for feedback and identifying “who is listening” should be incorporated into the strategy for any education initiative. To visit the RDN Wildfire Resiliency Webpage, visit [getinvolved.rdn.ca/rdn-wildfire-resiliency](https://getinvolved.rdn.ca/rdn-wildfire-resiliency).



**Get Involved RDN!**

[rdn.bc.ca/get-involved-rdn](https://rdn.bc.ca/get-involved-rdn)

## Legislation and Planning

This discipline considers the bylaws, regulations, and policies or acts of higher levels of government that create the legal environment around wildfire risk. Idea development in this section aims to address how the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) conducts its own business, including management of parks, waste, and infrastructure, to mitigate wildfire risk. In this section of the plan, higher-level acts and policies providing important scope to the RDN's authority and ability to regulate are discussed, as are regulatory levers at the RDN's disposal.

### Federal Acts and the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan

The Government of Canada makes laws concerning matters of national interest. Natural resources, land management, and emergency response are generally the authority of the provinces, which means relatively few federal acts and policies are directly relevant to the recommendations of this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The Government of Canada is not a significant forest landowner in Electoral Area H (EA H).

The Federal legislation with the greatest implications on wildfire management are the acts that protect animals, plants, and ecosystems, including the *Fisheries Act* and *Species at Risk Act*. These acts confer protection by prohibiting damage and assessing penalties. Activities which remove vegetation, such as fuel management, may trigger reviews under either act if a protected species or habitat is compromised. Any fuel management prescription must consider whether federally protected species or habitats will be impacted and how these impacts can be avoided. These Acts also affect how local governments apply bylaws and development permit requirements.

### Provincial Acts and the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan

The Province of British Columbia has core authority over lands, natural resources, and municipalities, making it the source of local government jurisdiction and a primary influence on forest and land management, including wildfire. Provincial legislation that affects the other FireSmart disciplines includes the *Building Act* and *Building Code*, *Emergency Program Act*, *Environmental Management Act*, *Forest and Range Practices Act*, *Local Government Act*, and *Wildfire Act*. Regulations under each of these enactments, such as the Open Burning Smoke Control Regulation, provide legal guidance and objectives for aspects of community development, land management, wildfire prevention, and emergency response.

### Role of the RDN

The RDN's role is to manage wildfire within its jurisdiction in unincorporated areas. Areas of authority in EA H include the provision of services for waste management, including green waste or vegetation debris, community planning functions and the regulation of development, the maintenance and management of community and regional parks, the administration of bylaw enforcement, and other key areas identified by the *Local Government Act*. The RDN works mainly under a special service model and provides only those services that the elected representatives of the unincorporated areas have determined are needed and can be funded by their communities. For this reason, delivering new bylaws or additional programming may require levies or taxes to be sustainable.



In EA H, some local government services are provided by Improvement Districts, namely fire protection and community water service in the Deep Bay Improvement District and community water service in the Bowser and Qualicum Bay / Horne Lake Waterworks Districts. The RDN works to coordinate its planning with the capacity of the improvement districts to provide these services.

### Factors for Success

#### Ensuring public support and social equity

Changes in law or policy properly result from changes in public expectations over how the community governs itself. Therefore, education around wildfire risk and the benefits of FireSmart often precedes initiatives in other disciplines. Without public support, a change to policy or by-law may not only fail but be unjust. Ideally new by-laws or public policies will have widespread public support, so that policy changes reflect the whole community. It is important to consider the equity implications of new policies before they are enacted, including whether all groups impacted by a new policy have been adequately heard by the decision-making process.

#### Recognizing the RDN's jurisdiction

Another factor in the success of a policy initiative is recognizing the RDN's scope of authority. As discussed, the RDN operates on a special service basis within the unincorporated areas and some services are provided separately by the improvement districts. While promoting FireSmart design is a key principle of any Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, the RDN's role will also rely on supporting neighbourhood and community involvement in FireSmart and leveraging RDN assets like the public park system. Policy changes within the scope of the RDN's authority include adjusting policies for community planning and development review, managing the use of RDN-owned park land, and developing the capacity for bylaw or policy enforcement.

#### Considering the need to enforce new bylaws or policies

The enforceability of a policy or bylaw is a major part of its effectiveness. While the average person follows the rules, many may not know of policy changes or mistakenly believe policy does not apply to them. Some may break rules intentionally if it is convenient or financially rewarding. New bylaws or policies can also result in a rise in the number of nuisance calls which do not have merit but drain the resources of local government. Conducting analysis prior to a policy change can help decision makers think about whether contacts about non-compliance will be both manageable in terms of volume and feasible in terms of staff training and expertise. Policies that require additional investments in training or equipment to allow enforcement should be carefully considered to ensure they fit within the community's vision and goals.

## Initiatives to Consider

Adopt restrictions on problematic activities or uses of regional park lands

The RDN may be able to reduce ignition likelihood on its public lands by adopting restrictions on use. Sources of ignition include cigarette butts, hot vehicles and motors, cooking fires, bonfires, firecrackers and fireworks, discarded items, and deliberate arson. It is important to collect information about problematic uses of park land to ensure appropriate targeting of prohibitions. The RDN’s parks department should begin to identify in its service records where problematic uses occur within areas of higher wildfire threat. Improving reporting on social issues as they relate to wildfire risk is a key part of improving decision making.

Restrictions could be developed to be:

- Time dependent – in place only during periods of high or extreme fire danger
- Space-dependent – applying to locations with identified high or extreme fire risk
- Both

When designing a prohibition or ban it should be noted that fire threat shown in this plan represents the potential fire behaviour during 90<sup>th</sup> percentile fire season weather conditions. These are the days that represent periods of high or extreme fire danger, typically at the peak of summer. While worrisome, ignitions during the cool and wet parts of the year are unlikely to result in spreading wildfires. The impacts of climate change have and will affect the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile weather values used to estimate potential fire behavior, which is a reason to support cyclical review of this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan and consider any growth in problematic uses.



Photo 6. Fairwinds (EA E) trails closed during extreme wildfire danger.

#### Assess wildfire hazard on RDN-owned properties

The RDN can adopt internal policies to make sure parks and RDN-owned property and facilities receive wildfire hazard assessment at an appropriate level of service.

The assessment tools for bare (forested) land and RDN facilities vary. For forested land and parks, the appropriate assessment tool is the most recent provincial standards for Wildfire Threat Assessment. These assessments constitute the practice of professional forestry and should be completed by a registered forest professional with the appropriate expertise. For facilities and infrastructure, the appropriate standard is likely the FireSmart Critical Infrastructure Assessment, which provides a hazard score to reflect vulnerability (risk) of the capital asset in the context of the immediately surrounding fuels. Critical infrastructure and permanent structures in parks should receive this assessment alongside stand-level wildfire threat assessment. While there is no legal requirement that FireSmart assessments be completed by accredited professionals, a Local FireSmart Representative or Wildfire Mitigation Specialist is recommended for these assessments.

An appropriate level of service for both kinds of assessment is the same cycle recommended for review and update of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan – once every five years. New assessments exceeding this schedule are advisable if changes in forest health or the environment are believed to have adversely affected the forest fuel components of wildfire threat and FireSmart.

The RDN is currently working towards an update of the Parks and Trails Strategy that will act as an overarching strategy for land acquisition in the ten-year timeframe. Regarding potential expansions of facilities or the park system, the RDN can adopt a policy that the wildfire hazard associated with new properties is assessed as part of the process to acquire or lease new land and facilities.

#### Conduct FireSmart assessments for publicly owned critical infrastructure

The RDN can complete FireSmart Home Ignition Zone or Critical Infrastructure assessments as appropriate for publicly owned buildings and pieces of critical infrastructure. This is supported by the Community Resiliency Investment program to allow local governments to develop a FireSmart program for their capital assets and emergency infrastructure. With assessments in place, the RDN could apply for implementation funding to complete re-landscaping or even exterior renovations of its facilities up to a per structure maximum of \$50,000.

#### Update the building policies to incorporate FireSmart design principles in RDN facilities

As part of a FireSmart program for its properties and critical infrastructure, the RDN should require within its major departments that all projects involving building or landscaping be carried out in consideration of FireSmart design principles. Completed facilities are opportunities to educate members of the public about FireSmart building and landscaping technique. FireSmart design principles are sometimes seen as in conflict with policies which support wood construction, such as the RDN's Wood First policy. However, FireSmart design need not restrict the use of wood as a structural member or architectural flourish in designs. FireSmart design focuses on reducing the use of small-piece wood in finishing applications, such as shakes, shingles, and facing boards. Wood products that have been permanently treated to achieve a certain level of fire performance can typically be used as facing materials with a minor impact on FireSmart hazard scoring. Similarly, large diameter wood elements such as heavy timbers or modern innovations like glued laminated lumber (glulam) can also typically be

used with minor impacts on hazard scoring. FireSmart design avoids the use of unrated wood products or construction assemblies in the interface. In nearby Electoral Area E, the Nanoose Bay Fire Hall is an example of how FireSmart construction can be both beautiful and functional, while maintaining a connection to the landscape through the use of some wood elements.



**Photo 7. The Nanoose Fire Hall uses FireSmart construction techniques.**

The RDN’s Green Building Policy establishes the seven step Integrated Design Process for designated capital projects. This policy lays out how the largest projects in the RDN should address the multiple perspectives and concerns of the RDN and its communities throughout the life of the facility. The RDN’s Hazard Risk and Vulnerability Analysis and this CWRP establish wildfire resiliency as one of these concerns. The RDN should consider whether the Green Building Policy should be updated so that wildfire hazard mitigation professionals are part of the core project team for facilities investments in the wildland-urban interface.

Create a program to reduce or eliminate green waste tipping fees for FireSmart projects

Many local residents have informed the team behind the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan that the biggest impediment for them to FireSmart their home’s landscaping is the difficulty and expense of removing green waste. The RDN has supported “Red Bin” days in the past that bring green-waste bins to central community locations where disposal of debris is provided free of charge for eligible materials such as small conifers and dead branches. This kind of initiative is supported by the Community Resiliency Investment Program.

The RDN maintains the Church Road Transfer Station (EA F) and regional landfill (located in the City of Nanaimo), which receive green waste for a tipping fee. It is within the RDN’s regulatory authority to facilitate access to regional solid waste facilities for the purpose of disposing green waste from FireSmart activities. The Community Resiliency Investment Program supports this kind of application, which may involve rebating, reducing, or eliminating tipping fees for green waste. It is recommended



that the RDN investigate this option to assess whether these facilities have the capacity to receive additional green waste. A further consideration is that the reduced tipping rate should be available only for waste generated by FireSmart activities. One way to promote this connection would be to require residents to present a FireSmart assessment for their property when dropping off waste. Another means could be to register residents for reduced tipping fees at the time of the FireSmart Assessment and allow free loads of green waste up to a weight maximum or until a predetermined date. In either case, the departments would need to collaborate to ensure attendants at the landfill are given clear instructions on the eligibility and quantity of green waste for reduced fees.

## Development Considerations

### Role of the RDN

The RDN has authority of the use of land, zoning, and official community planning in Electoral Area H (EA H). Community planning tools are important for building fire resiliency because they can be used to influence the design of interface and intermix areas on private property. This section discusses the community planning tools available to the RDN and describes how they could be employed to further improve wildfire resiliency in EA H. Actions discussed in this section range from small procedural changes that the RDN can implement relatively quickly to large public processes nested within the Official Community Plan.

Bylaws that affect zoning, land use, subdivision, and construction have large impacts on the future fire safety of communities. This is why there have long been building codes in North America to ensure buildings are constructed to acceptable standards. In recent decades, attention has been placed on the design of development, buildings, and landscaping as they influence the risk of wildfire in the wildland-urban interface. The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), a US-based international organization, has developed codes and standards for recommended design of subdivisions and buildings in the wildland-urban interface, NFPA 1141 and NFPA 1144. NFPA 1141 addresses the design of subdivisions and fire protection infrastructure at the neighbourhood scale, while NFPA 1144 considers the appropriate materials and requirements for building and landscaping on individual properties. These standards are updated from time-to-time and reissued in new editions. Several municipalities in British Columbia have used these standards to help bring FireSmart into planning review and enforce compliance. The provincial government may in the future develop regulations within the BC Building Code that address wildfire hazard in the wildland-urban interface.

FireSmart principles in the Non-Combustible Zone (0-1.5 m) and Zone 1 (1.5-10m) are often the focus of regulations in municipalities which consider wildfire hazard in their subdivision (or relevant zoning and development) bylaws. This is because in many suburban municipalities, development in the interface is characterized by small-lot residential development in which the future management of off-site areas is impractical, unfeasible, or undesirable. Guidelines for subdivision in the interface often support the creation of a “fuel-free zone” within these zones, meaning vegetation and landscaping in this area are intended to be of low flammability and non-combustible building materials are preferred.

The Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure is the authority responsible for subdivision approvals, referring applications for subdivision to local authorities like the RDN and Improvement Districts as part of its process. The RDN is empowered to place additional requirements on the subdivision of land through its bylaws, to better suit community circumstances. Subdivision and development control in rural residential areas can support FireSmart by ensuring developments have a buffer of 10 m between homes and coniferous vegetation, adequate fire design (including turnarounds, cisterns, or local hydrant networks where warranted), and lot layout that either provides or plans for future secondary access to neighbourhoods depending on size. In more rural areas with larger lot sizes, where portions of Zones 2 (30 m) and 3 (100 m) are also located on-site, there are benefits to maintaining FireSmart guidance for landscaping and development in these areas in addition to Zone 1. NFPA 1141 contains industry-accepted guidance on the design of subdivisions in the wildland urban-interface.

## Zoning and Subdivision Bylaws

In Electoral Area H the RDN regulates land use and parcel size through the Land Use and Subdivision Bylaw<sup>30</sup>. The Bylaw is in force in Electoral Areas A, C, E, G, and H, acting as the zoning code (Part 3 – Land Use Regulations) for these areas, containing subdivision regulations (Part 4 – Subdivision Regulations) and also describing Development Permit Area guidelines enabled by the Official Community Plan (Part 5).

### Zoning

Zoning provisions relate to allowable land uses and buildable space on each parcel in the RDN. Zoning policies can influence the fire resilience of communities by setting rules for how development on each lot relates to the street and to neighbouring parcels. Buildings are provided with minimum setbacks by zone. This effectively establishes the distance between buildings and forest vegetation on many properties. Setbacks of no less than 10 m between buildings and the outer limit of forest vegetation enable homeowners to take action within this crucial area. Currently most commercial and residential zones in the Bylaw have minimum setbacks of between two and eight m. The minimum setback for residential buildings in most rural zones is eight m from property line. In areas of high wildfire threat guiding building siting away from adjacent forest vegetation can provide value to communities and support active landscape maintenance by homeowners.

Part 3 also regulates the landscaping or vegetation required within a zone. These provisions are limited, typically to reduce nuisance between different land uses by controlling the installation of vegetation buffers, such as between residential areas and adjacent highways or industry. The design of these buffers can influence wildfire risk, as common hedging species like cedar and yew are ignition hazards that should be kept at least 10 m away from building in interface areas.

Using Zoning to guide fire resilient community development can sometimes be inefficient. The geography of different zones may not align with an identifiable interface and is often specified more finely than guidelines for interface development need to be. This means that properties affected by a zoning amendment may not face an appreciable wildfire hazard. Even when appropriately targeted, using zoning to address community wildfire hazard can create a substantial burden of variance for non-conforming properties. This occurs where zoning amendments apply to existing developments. In new developments rezoning with new zones can reduce elements of unfairness but can lead to complicated bylaws.

### Development Permit Areas

Establishing a special Development Permit Area (DPA) can help set development patterns while reducing the impacts of poor targeting and regulatory burden of developing new zones for each land use in the interface. Development Permit Areas are areas within a community where a local government can establish additional information needs or regulatory requirements on new development applications. In these areas, construction projects must receive a Development Permit showing that the project will meet guidelines established for the area before they can be issued a Building Permit to begin construction. DPAs can be used for a variety of purposes established by the *Local Government Act*. To be

---

<sup>30</sup> Bylaw No. 500. (1987). Land Use and Subdivision Bylaw.

in effect, DPAs must be adopted as part of an Official Community Plan. The RDN has adopted several DPAs in the Official Community Plan for EA H and sets out guidelines for each in the Land and Subdivision Bylaw. DPAs exist in EA H for environmental protection, community design, and the protection of development from floodplain hazards. The RDN does not currently have a DPA for wildfire hazard. Considerations for such a tool are found in a following section regarding the Official Community Plan.

#### Subdivision Regulations

Subdivision Regulations (Part 4) are used to affect the number, size, and shape of parcels in a new development as well as control the standard for community services like fire hydrants. For this reason, subdivision control is a highly effective tool for ensuring new neighbourhoods or developments are set up to be FireSmart. The RDN's regulations are limited in scope to the regulation of minimum parcel sizes and shapes, establishing additional requirements to the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure's highway standards, and the delivery of community water and sewerage services. In areas with hydrants provided as part of a community water system, the delivery of sufficient fire flows is also regulated. Amending rules around subdivision may also be more acceptable to the public than zoning changes or development permit systems (discussed below), because subdivision approvals are typically tied to new multi-lot development and not all new construction.

Subdivision review is a secondary role for the RDN. In unincorporated areas, the provincial Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure is responsible for subdivision approvals, and reviews proposals in consultation with local governments. During this process, the RDN is able to provide comment on the suitability of subdivision applications and make recommendations for amendments to subdivision design to better meet community expectations from the Official Community Plan and legal requirements in the Land Use and Subdivision Bylaw. Applying FireSmart principles to subdivision design requires better advocacy between the RDN Emergency Services and Planning departments. Where possible, it may make sense to request the design of more effective fuel free areas on the edges of new developments. Having good communications with the development community is an important part of this advocacy. Improvement District fire departments are also consulted by the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure and provide separate comments on subdivision applications. Liaising with the improvement districts to present a consistent voice calling for more FireSmart design can help improve the level of hazard in neighbourhoods over time.

#### Development Information, Development Permits, and the Official Community Plan

##### Development Approval Information

The BC Building Code sets the minimum acceptable standards for structures. However, the code does not contain guidance special to the development of homes and buildings in the wildland-urban interface (WUI). For this reason, the *Local Government Act* provides that Official Community Plans can contain special areas where additional regulations on development apply. There are two mechanisms available to local government under these powers. The first is "development approval information" (S. 484-487), which allows local governments to delineate areas where applicants for rezoning, development permits, and temporary use permits may be required to provide supplementary information. This can include wildfire hazard information, such as a wildfire hazard assessment of the subject property. This is one way for local governments to collect information about wildfire hazard on private land which is not



available in this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan. The RDN has established all of EA H as a Development Approval Information Area, meaning that a development application may be required to provide an “impact report” containing information pertinent to the approval. Details on the contents of the impact report are provided in the Impact Assessment Bylaw (No. 1165, 1999). The RDN can request additional information relevant to any policy within the Official Community Plan or in accord with any adopted bylaw. This may enable the RDN to request information about wildfire threat or hazard on private property. This information can be extremely valuable to the RDN’s administrators in strategic planning for community wildfire resiliency across departments.

#### Development Permit Areas

The second mechanism goes beyond development approval information and allows local government to designate development permit areas within an Official Community Plan (S. 488-491). Because development permit areas are used to enforce special standards on design and construction, the purposes of the DPA must be justified. Many local governments in British Columbia have used these provisions to establish “Wildfire Hazard” development permit areas which rely on the accepted purpose of “protecti[ng] development from hazardous conditions” stated in the *Act*.

A development permit area has two core components: a map, indicating the properties to which the DPA applies, and guidelines which describe the requirements that must be met during development. Like development approval information areas, local government can also require wildfire hazard assessments on private land as a required submission for a development permit. This introduces an optional third component, professional reliance, whereby local government relies on professional hazard assessment and recommendations to adapt development permit area guidelines to the circumstances of a specific property.

The development permit area map will be adopted by amendment to the Official Community Plan and must show the properties within the DPA. Many communities with existing wildfire hazard development permit areas distinguish their mapping between areas of high and extreme risk, and provide guidance tailored to this risk. While this approach is made possible by the mapping prepared for the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, it is unlikely to provide fair or effective results in a landscape dominated by intermix conditions on private land. Another approach to mapping a wildfire hazard development permit area is to identify all properties within a buffer distance of the forest interface. Buffer distances of 100 or 200 m are commonly used to help address the risk of ember-spotting from a nearby wildfire into a neighbourhood. When designing a development permit area map for wildfire risk, it is important to recognize that the level of wildfire threat changes over time as forests grow and die or land uses change. For this reason, it is desirable to commission specific mapping that identifies the long-term interface of the community based on the vision in the Official Community Plan.

Guidelines for development in the DPA must be adopted as a schedule to the Official Community Plan. Local government can set basic requirements in relation to wildfire risk respecting the character of the development, including landscaping, and the siting, form, exterior design and finish of buildings and other structures as well as restrict the type and placement of trees and other vegetation in proximity to the development. Guidelines are often based on NFPA 1144, with elements of NFPA 1141 and FireSmart adapted as needed to address outstanding issues with subdivision design and vegetation management.



**Photo 8. Example of properties within a wildfire DPA.**

If desired, local governments may require a professional wildfire risk assessment that provides site context and advice to local government on appropriate standards for design and construction, which may vary the guidelines. Codified guidelines are less flexible to site circumstance but provide greater certainty to applicants within the DPA and may be administered without requiring a professional report. Risk assessments reports can help to establish wildfire threat on private land and may improve the public acceptance of any change to development control by allowing for more consideration of individual circumstances.

DPAs, unlike subdivision control, apply to new construction as well as subdivision application. While their purpose is the same – to improve the physical environment of homes in the community as it relates to wildfire risk – DPAs allow established neighbourhoods to be renewed with FireSmart construction over time. This strategy of gradually transitioning existing communities toward FireSmart through the permitting of new construction should be carefully explained if it is promoted as a solution to long-term fire risk. Any amendment to an Official Community Plan requires a broad base of public support.

### Initiatives to Consider

Provide FireSmart information as standard issue within all DP or building permit application packages.

The RDN can ensure homeowners in the interface have the information they need to develop a fire resilient design for their properties. The permit application process is the primary means for RDN to disseminate FireSmart information and occurs at a significant time in the design process. To maximize the effect of this information the RDN could prepare a 1-sheet handout explaining the WUI and the

importance of considering fire risk, with links to this report, the FireSmart homeowner's guide, and the contact information for the proposed RDN FireSmart Coordinator. Emergency Services should work with Planning staff to provide all materials needed for this initiative and could also provide basic internal training to planners and front-counter staff to promote FireSmart as an "all department" initiative.

Ensure a FireSmart perspective is applied to development referrals and review.

The RDN reviews building, zoning, and OCP amendment applications internally to determine the suitability of new development activity in different parts of the Electoral Area. While the RDN does not have the final responsibility for subdivision approvals, subdivision applications are referred to the RDN as part of the provincial approval process led by the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure. It is possible to include the local fire department and FireSmart personnel on the referral approval team. Increasing communications between fire professionals and the planning department will build awareness of wildfire resilient design and identify issues with the existing Land Development and Subdivision Bylaw, such as landscape review focused on vegetation as a land-use buffer rather than a WUI risk factor. Neighbourhood plans in nearby Electoral Area E for the Lakes and Schooner Cove areas contain guidance for wildfire resilience that can be adapted to development referrals across the RDN.

Investigate using Development Approval Information provisions to request wildfire threat assessment on private lands.

A key message of this CWRP is that wildfire threat does not stop at the border between public and private land. To improve the RDN's understanding of wildfire risk on private land, Development Approval Information provisions of the *Local Government Act* can be used in conjunction with the RDN's Impact Assessment Bylaw to begin requesting assessments of wildfire threat as key parts of the information required to approve major developments. There are concerns with a sudden increase in the number of reports required of development. These include ensuring there is sufficient capacity to review the resulting reports internally within reasonable timeframes, setting standards for a potential "wildfire hazard information report" such as desired content, methods, and professional qualifications, and working to promote the program as a reasonable and acceptable step to the development community and the general public. Development Approval Information provisions do not immediately allow the RDN to begin mandating FireSmart design on affected properties – this requires the creation of a DPA where additional guidelines for design and construction in the WUI apply. However, the "wildfire hazard information report" would symbolize the commitment of the RDN to increasing its understanding of wildfire risk on private land and could help build awareness of hazard mitigation for property owners in the interface. Requesting a "wildfire hazard information report" for any development proposed on forest land, particularly lands sold for development by private land forest companies, should be a standard requirement of the development approval process.

Consider how future introduction of a DPA for wildfire hazard could support community safety and resiliency.

Wildfire hazard is believed to be increasing in the RDN as climate change progresses. The widespread interface and intermix conditions in EA H place the community at an inherent risk of wildfire damage or loss. It would be valuable to adopt guidance for development in the WUI so that only resilient designs are permitted via the structure of a DPA for natural hazards. Moving to require new building materials, landscaping, and site servicing standards to address the natural hazard of wildfire is a multi-year process

that should involve comprehensive community engagement and be started before it is needed. Introducing a new DPA to address wildfire hazard requires public confidence and widespread awareness of the condition and sources of that hazard. This is why education and voluntary FireSmart initiatives are prioritized by this CWRP, along with initiatives to improve the RDN's understanding of wildfire risk on private property.

Some residents are motivated to live in unincorporated areas because they are attracted to a limited local government with fewer "rules". However, most residents are motivated simply by beautiful landscapes and vibrant communities; they may be more open to arguments for changing planning processes and approvals. A DPA for natural hazard should be seen as a tool that conserves a community's character by protecting it from catastrophic loss, rather than as an example of local government overreach. Attitudes toward new regulations may rapidly change as wildfire events alter the public perception of risk.

## Interagency Cooperation

The Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) is not the only actor in wildfire management within the project area; in fact, several agencies and authorities influence wildfire in the community and work to protect Electoral Area H (EA H) from catastrophic loss. It takes the collaborative efforts of multiple stakeholders working together to achieve a fire resilient community.

Actors include the range of local firefighters, representatives of the BC Wildfire Service (BCWS), First Nations, RDN departments in addition to Emergency Services, and land managers like BC Parks. In many cases, these organizations already have extensive contact with each other, though not in the frame of strategic planning to manage community wildfire risk. The RDN's role as the provider of emergency management and planning services to EA H means that it can act as an intermediary between different agencies and groups that together prepare EA H for wildfire.

### Factors for Success

#### Identifying Actors and Roles

Emergency response responsibilities are divided in BC. Recognizing who needs to be present during conversations about wildfire preparedness is critical. The following agencies and groups have important roles in preparing and responding to wildfire in EA H:

- **The RDN** – conducts emergency and evacuation planning, initiates and staffs Emergency Operations Centre during a wildfire, declares local states of emergency and exercises local emergency powers to direct residents out of a fire zone (under the emergency program act). The RDN also manages properties in the community and regional parks system.
- **BC Wildfire Service** – before a wildfire, supports wildfire risk reduction through projects on provincial crown land and joint training; collaborates with local fire departments and local governments on FireSmart projects. During a wildfire, acts as official first suppression response to a wildfire in areas of EA H without local fire protection districts.
- **Deep Bay, Bow Horn Bay, and Dashwood Volunteer Fire Departments** – provide first suppression response within their fire protection areas (encompassing all wildland-urban interface except around Horne Lake). Conduct outreach within their communities to promote responsible fire use and control.
- **Qualicum First Nation** – EA H is within their traditional territory and have members living both on- and off-reserve in the area. Provide strategic emergency planning to their Nation and reserves. Before and during a wildfire can consult BCWS and emergency responders on social, economic, and cultural values threatened by fire or suppression activities.
- **BC Parks** – before a wildfire, supports hazard assessment and abatement as appropriate in park properties, regulates sources of ignition associated with recreation. Maintains fire bans during periods of higher fire danger.
- **Emergency Management BC** – before, during, and after a wildfire supports local government response.
- **Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development** – provides review and issues tenures to fuel management projects located on crown land.



- **Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure** – maintain public roads in unincorporated areas including maintenance of flammable vegetation found roadside responsible for subdivision application approval.

Not all of these actors will be relevant to every discussion in a community about fire resiliency. The RDN should strive to maintain positive relationships with representatives of these organizations and more. Matching issues and interested parties can be part of any communications plan for FireSmart initiatives led by the RDN.

#### Regular Communications

While most of these organizations communicate with each other, there is not currently a schedule to these communications or a shared sense of strategic planning for wildfire among all organizations. FireSmart and the BCWS recommend the organization of a working group or committee for fire resiliency that means on at least an annual basis, such as in advance of the wildfire season. Establishing regularity to communications builds trust among organizations and helps promote momentum for fire preparedness planning.

#### Setting Expectations for Interagency Cooperation

With so many actors involved in emergency response, it is important to set goals, scope, and limitations on any regular communications. This is largely the goal of any regular committee or working group established to bring representatives of the different emergency responders and land managers together. A committee charter can be used to establish the rules and organization of a working group's activities and acts as contract between partners to build trust and promote coordination of emergency planning functions.

#### Initiatives to Consider

##### Advocate for an Interagency Fire Response and Preparedness Working Group

Currently, there is no event that brings together all the relevant authorities and organizations for planning fire response and preparedness. Because of the development of mutual aid agreements and good working relationships between the fire departments in the RDN, it may be valuable for the RDN to bring together departments with the BCWS and other key actors to discuss response needs in the different EAs and major preparedness activities, such as proposed or ongoing fuel management. This could also be a forum for the RDN and local fire departments to learn from one another about ongoing FireSmart initiatives. This would be an event primarily for designated first responders and organizations running FireSmart programming across the Region, and support strategic decision making by presenting a coordinated front on FireSmart and fuel management priorities. This group could also develop tabletop planning exercises for a major wildfire event.

The RDN is well positioned to coordinate such a meeting because of its existing relationships with the fire departments and role as the primary local government for unincorporated areas. Recommended timing for this event would be annually, with meetings taking place in November or April. These dates are suggested to correspond with the period after the typical funding application deadline for the Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) (October), when the RDN will have an idea of which fuel management projects and other initiatives it intends to pursue, or the period when CRI funding

announcements are generally made (March). These dates are also immediately before and after wildfire season, and are a forum to share preparedness strategies, and debrief the previous wildfire season.

Develop a Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee

A Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee, in contrast to a working group for fire response and preparedness, would be locally focused on Electoral Area H and bring together emergency planners, first responders, and with community representatives and the RDN to plan and implement FireSmart initiatives in areas of identified need. FireSmart BC proposes that these committees represent a missing link for fire preparedness in British Columbia between emergency planners and fire suppression staff and the communities they serve. The intent of developing a Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee is to ensure that planners and emergency staff are building resiliency in the community in line with local expectations and interests. The Community Resiliency Investment program has made funding available for this initiative through its FireSmart Community Funding and Supports stream.

Like the working group, the committee can be an annual or semi-annual body. Its purpose would be to act as a sounding board for the initiatives planned by the RDN with support from the BCWS and local fire departments. It may be valuable to have some or all representatives from the authorities identified in the [Factors for Success](#) (p.88) , as well as additional representatives of stakeholder groups as the RDN sees fit. Additional members could include representatives of specific neighbourhoods, industries or businesses, or demographic groups.

The tasks of such a committee could include:

- Adopt terms of reference for the committee.
- Provide review of the RDN's CRI funding applications
- Suggest initiatives for inclusion in the funding applications.
- Coordinate Community FireSmart Days and advocate for FireSmart planning in priority neighbourhoods.
- Research alternate funding sources for priority projects not supported by CRI.
- Advocate for FireSmart and proposed activities among members' communities and organizations
- Provide feedback on implementation of FireSmart initiatives in the spirit of continued learning.
- Represent the interests of a diverse community in advancing FireSmart locally.
- Liaise with the BC FireSmart Committee to provide learning and feedback on program design and availability.

The RDN's role would be to convene the committee and support its operation by providing start-up support (administration time and initial grant application) and to develop a draft Terms of Reference to be discussed during the first meeting. The structure of the committee may be served by having a multi-member executive to share responsibilities after the first meeting and avoid capacity issues in participating organizations. An executive composed of one representative from each of the RDN, DBVFD, BHBVFD, and DVFD fire departments may provide sufficient representation and distribution of effort. The scheduling of an annual meeting would logically occur in August, when applications for CRI funding are being prepared.

Provide cultural sensitivity training to better partner with Indigenous communities

Ensuring that all communities receive an equitable standard of service and care during a wildfire is an important public duty. 7.7% of the population of EA self-identified as indigenous (“aboriginal identity”) on the 2016 Census<sup>31</sup>. This rate exceeds the number of individuals expressing indigenous heritage in the RDN as a whole or the rest of British Columbia. The Qualicum Nation have a core interest in these lands and waters, which are part of their traditional territory and include their reserve at the mouth of the Big Qualicum River. As a discipline involving land management, wildfire prevention can affect indigenous cultural values, and Qualicum Nation should be involved in the planning of vegetation management. EA H contains several known sites of archaeological value and many dozens more of continuing cultural significance. Ensuring emergency responders are trained to provide culturally sensitive assistance to indigenous residents during a wildfire and to have positive proactive relationships with representatives of Indigenous nations is an important aspect of reconciliation.

For these reasons, CRI supports providing cultural safety and humility training to emergency management personnel involved in both wildfire prevention and suppression. The RDN could provide staff members in its Emergency Operations Centre with cultural training any time the services of the Emergency Operations Centre are required. Similarly, it is important that RDN’s representative to any community committee or working group have this training.

---

<sup>31</sup> Statistics Canada. 2017. Nanaimo H, RDA [Census subdivision], British Columbia and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released November 29, 2017. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed February 1, 2022).

## Cross-Training

This discipline is intended to develop the level of ability and knowledge among emergency managers and first responders in Electoral Area H (EA H) so that all actors can understand additional roles in wildfire management. Cross-training means bringing the knowledge of one role together with the knowledge of another and is a major component of facilitating FireSmart programming and interagency cooperation. The Community Resiliency Investment program recognizes the value of a variety of training for emergency responders but focuses investment on a set of selected courses dealing with suppression training and FireSmart implementation.

More broadly, the spirit of cross-training applies to parts of local government that are not directly responsible for emergency management or wildfire response. For example, the RDN is fortunate to have park operators with experience in wildland fire and fuel management. This facilitates implementation of FireSmart initiatives in parks because emergency managers and RDN parks staff can come to the table with a shared understanding of basic project goals and objectives. Identifying opportunities to increase the awareness of FireSmart and wildfire preparedness across RDN departments is an objective of this section.

Cross-training can also describe initiatives to extend FireSmart training and certifications to the backbone of community wildfire resiliency – community members. Residents are able, through FireSmart BC or FireSmart Canada programming, to attain training to become recognized Neighbourhood Champions. These are roles that are embedded in communities and provide advocacy for wildfire preparedness at a grassroots level.

### Factors for Success

#### Identify Needs

Because of the dedication of community members, EA H's communities have an existing base of knowledge and training in wildfire preparedness and response. The DBVFD, BHBVFD, and DVFD fire departments have robust training to meet provincial requirements for registered departments. These departments have also taken steps to train members to respond to wildfire interface events, and within EA there is knowledge of structural protection unit deployment and incident command system. Some members have served as structural firefighters in interface deployments with the BC Wildfire Service (BCWS). Having additional members access training and experience can help build resilience in EA H.

#### Identify Funding Eligibility

Local fire departments can refer to this document and the annual updated Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) program information to understand which courses or opportunities are covered by grant funding. Currently, direct funding opportunities for training within CRI are limited to certain courses and professional roles for enrolment. For example, only volunteers with Fire Departments registered with the Office of the Fire Commissioner can access the suite of courses related to fire suppression. The following courses can be funded for members of the DBVFD, BHBVFD, and DVFD fire departments.

- **SPP-WFF1 Wildland Firefighter Level 1** – This course, designed by the Office of the Fire Commissioner, provides training to structural firefighters in the specifics of wildland firefighting

and enables structural firefighters to participate in the province’s Structural Protection Program, or field deployments during the fire season. This course replaces S-100 and S-185 for structural firefighters participating in wildland deployments with the BCWS.

- **S-100 Basic Fire Suppression and Safety** – This course, designed by the BCWS, is the minimum basic standard for any person to participate as a wildland firefighter in British Columbia.
- **S-185 Fire Entrapment Avoidance and Safety** – This course, designed by the BCWS, provides basic knowledge of entrapment avoidance and survival techniques during a wildfire.
- **S-231 Engine Boss** – This course, designed by the BCWS, trains firefighters with wildland experience to lead an engine and crew during an interface event and allows contract firefighters to act in higher capacities while on deployment.
- **ICS 100 Incident Command System Level 100** – This course, offered by the Justice Institute, introduces the Canadian Incident Command System to emergency management staff and local first responders. This training is only available to members of volunteer fire departments and certain emergency management personnel.

Additional training opportunities are available for RDN staff complete This ensures that emergency responders and staff manning an Emergency Operations Centre understand the same language of site and scene control. Emergency management staff can also receive training in the province’s Wildfire Risk Reduction Basics Course, which supports interagency cooperation between local government and the BC Wildfire Service on fuel management projects outside the designated wildland-urban interface (WUI).

Emphasize community preparedness

Through CRI, community members have access to preparedness training within the FireSmart frame. Residents throughout the Electoral Area can access training as Neighbourhood Champions, which provides them the knowledge to engage with their communities on preparedness issues and potentially take a leading role in establishing a neighbourhood FireSmart committee for developing a FireSmart neighbourhood plan (discussed under [Education](#) (p.58)). To offer support to grassroots efforts, training local government staff as Local FireSmart Representatives is possible through the funding program. A FireSmart Coordinator hired by the RDN to implement wildfire resiliency initiatives should ideally have this training or Wildfire Mitigation Specialist training. Staff in the RDN’s Emergency Services Department currently include two certified Local FireSmart representatives, though staff have a limited capacity to run new programming. Internally, the RDN can also ensure its parks and planning departments receive basic training in FireSmart so that they are able to support resiliency initiatives.

### Initiatives to Consider

Collaborate with the fire departments to access additional training for members

Verify that all members have received S-100 and S-185 training or equivalent SPP-WFF1, and support the Fire Departments to nominate members for S-231 Engine Boss training. This would ensure all members, who will be the first responders to a wildfire in many parts of EA H, have the same knowledge of wildland suppression and enable all members to participate in structural protection deployments with the BCWS if desired. Engine Boss training supports higher roles for contract members in the province’s Structural Protection Program, valuable interface firefighting experience that can be brought back to EA H.



Support RDN Emergency Services staff to become Local FireSmart Representatives or facilitators

Currently, three staff members of the RDN's Emergency Services department are certified Local FireSmart Representatives. As staff are added to the department, or as outreach is conducted within communities, it could be valuable for a staff member to be a designated facilitator for the LFR workshop. This would enable RDN to use in-house talent to build up FireSmart awareness and capacity in its unincorporated communities. Alternately, the RDN could seek this qualification when hiring for additional emergency staff or the role of a regional FireSmart Coordinator.

Help interested community members access Neighbourhood/Community Champion training

Every member of the public who contacts local government or their local fire department looking for information about wildfire resiliency is a potential neighbourhood champion. This role is for self-directed leaders who want to learn more about the principles of FireSmart and the Neighbourhood Recognition process so that they can organize in their communities for fire preparedness. The RDN can help direct people to this program. One community in the RDN, Spider Lake (EA H) achieved Neighbourhood Recognition in 2019. There are opportunities for the RDN to connect interested residents of EA H with residents in Spider Lake, as well as support potential champions to participate in an introductory workshop. These workshops are given by Local FireSmart Representatives and could be held by the RDN if sufficient interest is present in several communities.

Assess future potential for a Home Partners Program approach to FireSmart assessment

The Home Partners Program is another means to provide homeowners with personalized property assessments. While Local FireSmart Representatives are trained to develop neighbourhood recognition, the Home Partners Program is focused on delivering targeted assessments to individual properties within an area, regardless of neighbourhood FireSmart activity. The focus on individual property rather than reducing neighbourhood level fire risk means delivery of the program depends on FireSmart certified "Wildfire Mitigation Specialists". Specialists conduct home assessments in the program, providing a personalized report to property owners that contains specific discussion about the features of their home and how the fuel environment on their property is likely to behave during a wildfire event. The Home Partners Program is a suitable alternative to neighbourhood level programming where there is widely distributed interest in FireSmart among property owners.

Training for the Wildfire Mitigation Specialist role can be funded through the Community Resiliency Investment program. Due to the limited application of this credential outside the Home Partners Program and high workshop fee, it may be reasonable to enhance the RDN's offerings of neighbourhood-focused FireSmart services before considering developing or hiring a qualified Wildfire Mitigation Specialist.

## Emergency Planning

This FireSmart discipline addresses the Regional District of Nanaimo's (RDN's) own preparedness and examines connections between the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan and the RDN's emergency planning mandate. The primary purpose of this section is to consider how wildfire can be incorporated into emergency planning conducted by the RDN and appropriate levels of readiness during the fire season. This discipline also includes related interagency actions such as coordinating joint training and scenario exercises.

Wildfires are complex and dynamic events that have the potential to combine multiple emergencies within communities. Wildfires rapidly change behavior depending on winds, weather, the fuel environment, and topography. Respecting the dynamism of wildfire is the first step to making a successful plan.

The RDN prepares emergency plans for its Electoral Areas (EAs), declares states of local emergency (SoLE) and issues evacuation alerts, orders and rescinds, and coordinates an Emergency Operations Centre during periods of need, bound by the Emergency Program Act. Emergency planning, including evacuation planning, by the RDN focuses on rapid situation assessment and an all-hazards approach, rather than prescriptive plans that may quickly be overcome by situational uncertainty. For example, the RDN's evacuation planning relies on remote sensing data and field assessments to understand all possible routes, rather than focusing on a single preferred route which may be non-functional in a large emergency. During a wildfire emergency, multiple routes and resources may quickly become unpassable or unsafe or need to be reserved for emergency responders.

Local emergency and evacuation plans cover the general initiation of an Emergency Operations Centre, the declaration of orders and alerts affecting residents and properties, and the RDN's relationship with supporting organizations like Emergency Management BC. The role of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan is to provide wildfire-related guidance for emergency planning by the RDN, pre-incident planning by local firefighters, and to propose levels of service for emergency readiness, called "Wildfire Preparedness Condition Level" by the province.

### Factors for Success

#### Wildfire Preparedness Condition Level

Within the RDN's Emergency Services department, adopting a guide to emergency preparedness levels in relation to wildfire danger can help prioritize limited departmental resources during fire season. This guide will associate RDN staffing and activities with the levels of fire danger, with more action to prepare for wildfire being appropriate whenever fire danger rises above low. Fire danger can be monitored daily on the BC Wildfire Service (BCWS) website. Developing an appropriate guide to Community Wildfire Response Condition Level has not been undertaken as part of this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, but the RDN can work towards implementing a level of service based on the example below, adapted from the BCWS's guidance.

**Table 17. Sample Guide to Wildfire Response Condition Level.**

| Preparedness Level/<br>Fire Danger Rating | Action Guidelines   |
|---|---|
| I Low                                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff monitor fire danger rating weekly</li> </ul>   |
| II Moderate                               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff monitor fire danger rating daily</li> </ul>  |
| III High                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff on normal shifts</li> <li>• Notify proposed Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee of preparedness level.</li> <li>• Establish weekly communications with local fire responders regarding preparedness concerns.</li> <li>• Promote wildfire awareness and reporting mechanisms on social media channels.</li> <li>• Publish fire danger rating on RDN website.</li> <li>• Consult with RDN Parks on potential area closures in zones of high and extreme wildfire threat.</li> </ul>  |
| IV Extreme                                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EOC and parks staff on standby.</li> <li>• Notify proposed Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee of preparedness level.</li> <li>• Weekly communications with local fire responders regarding preparedness concerns.</li> <li>• Weekly communications with parks department staff over internal preparedness.</li> <li>• Promote wildfire awareness and reporting mechanisms on social media channels.</li> <li>• Publish fire danger rating on RDN website.</li> <li>• Consider area closure notices in park zones of high and extreme wildfire threat. Prepare area closure notices.</li> </ul>                                     |
| V Ongoing fire(s)                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issue area closures in all parks and publicize with media release and RDN website.</li> <li>• Mobilize EOC if evacuation is needed, or if fire requires additional support from Emergency Management BC.</li> <li>• Issue Evacuation Alerts and Orders based on fire behavior prediction as appropriate in consultation with BCWS and publicize with media release and RDN website.</li> <li>• Assist evacuated residents with support access and emergency lodging.</li> <li>• Daily communications with local fire responders, BCWS, and parks department.</li> <li>• Daily public updates via social media and public release.</li> </ul> |

### Initiatives to Consider

Coordinate a tabletop scenario exercise with the members of the proposed Fire Response and Preparedness Working Group.

The RDN may be able to facilitate a joint training exercise between members of local fire departments, RDN emergency services staff, and the BCWS. This exercise would gather participants and present a wildfire scenario, which then allows all participants to confirm their roles and follow through a chain of action during the hypothetical wildfire event. Although the RDN is not responsible for fire suppression in Electoral Area H (EA H), it can take the lead in coordinating this exercise, which should include details

about the scenario's location, time, and context. This working group is an opportunity for the primary fire responders, the local fire departments, to share their knowledge and suppression capacity with emergency planners.

Conduct a community info session about emergency preparedness during a wildfire

The purpose of this event would be to introduce the community to emergency planning concepts based on the Emergency Management BC Wildfire Preparedness Guide. Promoting the guide is supported by the Community Resiliency Investment program. This event could be a way to encourage residents to prepare for a major wildfire event by having a household or family plan for communications, evacuation, and provisions. The event could naturally address questions about logistics or evacuation planning from the public.

Establish a guide for Emergency Services preparedness levels during wildfire season

The RDN can help allocate the limited resources of the Emergency Services department during fire season by developing and following a guide to Wildfire Response Condition Levels (Table 17), tied to fire danger reporting updated daily by the province. The table presented previously in this section can be used as a sample of the content and actions to be considered by the Emergency Services department in defining its level of service for different fire danger ratings. Actions should be refined in consultation with emergency response partners from EA H.

Identify "Clean Air Refuges" for use by vulnerable populations during periods of heavy smoke

While the focus of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan is on preparing for a wildfire within the boundaries of EA H, wildfire smoke impacts can range far and wide and have impacted EA H during past fire seasons. Sustained heavy smoke has adverse health effects and presents a different kind of wildfire emergency. The RDN can seek to identify potential partners in EA H, such as commercial centres, faith-based facilities, or community buildings with suitable HVAC systems that are open to the public. Identifying community partners for such a program and alerting them to expected smoke conditions could be incorporated into the wildfire emergency preparedness condition guide, along with guidelines for monitoring expected smoke weather.

Pre-Incident Planning

The pre-incident plan is a body of knowledge for wildfire response prepared by emergency responders in EA H, led by the local fire departments. During a wildfire, the fire departments will provide the suppression response and incident control while the RDN declares a state of emergency as necessary and directs communities to evacuate affected areas. All partners in fire suppression and emergency response should know where key fire suppression resources are located in the community, including water sources and resources, vehicles, structural protection units, and even stores of hand equipment. This section is mainly provided as guidance for local fire departments who lead the first response to a wildfire and provide incident control at the scene. The following issues should be addressed by a pre-incident plan:

**Command**

- First responder
- Incident command and delegation
- Management constraints
- Area closure procedures
- Interagency agreements

**Operations**

- Air and water access
- Control line locations
- Natural barriers
- Safety zone options
- Staging area locations
- GPS locations for key points

**Logistics**

- Alert/Order publication and notification
- Location of utilities and de-energization
- Communications protocols
- Roads, trails, and access
- Emergency Operation Centre location

**Planning**

- Topographic maps
- Vegetation and fuel maps
- Community base map
- Hazardous values
- Archaeological/cultural values
- Protected or rare environmental features
- Land ownership
- Access control

Preparing a pre-incident plan is logically an opportunity to simulate a wildfire response effort. Developing the plan can be part of joint training exercises coordinated between the RDN, BCWS, and local fire departments. Such a meeting would be within the scope and core purpose of a proposed Fire Response and Preparedness Working Group, as discussed in [Interagency Cooperation](#) (p.81).



## Vegetation Management

In the context of a wildfire, manipulating the fuel environment is often an effective way to reduce wildfire threat or risk. This is what vegetation (fuel) management does, from the small-scale of FireSmart on an individual property to the large-scale of fuel management on the forest land base.

This discipline addresses opportunities to mitigate wildfire risk by altering both natural forest vegetation and the cultivated landscaping around homes and critical infrastructure. Considerations for this section are divided into two categories corresponding to the common forms of implementation: FireSmart landscaping focused closely on homes and infrastructure, and broader fuel management in forest areas. This is done partly to reflect the real difference in approach between the two scales.

### Managing Vegetation through FireSmart

FireSmart vegetation management is intended to reduce the risk of ignition to a specific building or piece of infrastructure, assuming a fire has occurred in the area. Accordingly, FireSmart vegetation management focuses heavily on achieving guidelines in the Non-Combustible Zone (0-1.5m) and Zones 1 (1.5-10m), 2 (10-30m) and 3 (30-100m) around a home or piece of infrastructure. Goals for management vary with the distance from the structure. In the Non-Combustible Zone, the emphasis is placed on using non-combustible or fire-resistant building materials and covers to reduce the likelihood of ignition by direct flame. Within Zone 1, emphasis is placed on creating an area free of hazardous fuels like coniferous trees and woody debris and using fire-resistant landscape construction (decks and outbuildings), while goals for Zones 2 and 3 emphasize proper spacing, pruning, and removal of some underbrush. The goal is to prevent a structure ignition and create defensible space around the home which firefighters can work in. Vegetation management for FireSmart is often guided by an assessment report prepared by a Local FireSmart Representative or Wildfire Mitigation Specialist, though some homeowners may wish to undertake FireSmart treatments on their own. The expertise of a Registered Professional Forester is recommended for projects where management includes areas of native forest vegetation.

Supports are available through the Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) program for FireSmart activities on private land in residential areas and for publicly owned critical infrastructure. On private land, only planning and assessment program costs are covered, with the homeowner expected to cover the cost of implementing recommendations. While this can seem daunting, the Local FireSmart Representative can help homeowners understand which actions may be “quick starts” to improve resiliency, and which are appropriate to save until funding or time are available. To help, the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) can apply for funding to offer limited rebates to homeowners who complete activities. Piloting a rebate program with a limited request for funding may help assess the general level of interest for this in the community. Development of other FireSmart initiatives for private land are discussed in the [Education](#) (p.58) section and [Legislation and Planning](#) (p.68) Sections.

### A FireSmart Assessment Program for RDN Critical Infrastructure

Local government can apply for funding to implement the recommendations from a FireSmart assessment for publicly owned critical infrastructure up to a maximum of \$50,000 per eligible structure. This is available only for structures critical to wildfire response (such as a reception centre, water infrastructure, communications towers, electrical infrastructure) having a completed FireSmart assessment score card at the time of application. Reassessment with the appropriate score card following the mitigation works is also a covered cost for publicly owned critical infrastructure. Although none of the pieces of critical infrastructure identified in the RDN's Hazard Risk and Vulnerability Analysis are owned directly by the RDN, local government organizations are eligible to apply to CRI for money to conduct these assessments where identified as critical.

### Fuel Management for Forest Landscapes

Fuel management in the wider landscape is not as focused on preventing the ignition of specific homes or structures. Instead, fuel management is about strategically altering the characteristics of a forest to transition it towards lower wildfire threat and improve the effectiveness of the fire suppression techniques, thereby reducing the general risks to the community. Sometimes, fuel management is used to produce future fire suppression opportunities such as anchor points or safety zones, locations to initiate defensive back-burns, or improved access to a remote areas of a community. Directions for how many trees to remove and retain, as well as how to protect other values in the forest landscape, are contained in a fuel management prescription prepared by a Registered Professional Forester and reviewed by the BC Wildfire Service (BCWS). The intent of fuel management is generally to support healthy forest development while reducing wildfire risk. Fuel management is only supported through CRI on public land.

Fuel management is completed through three phases:

1. Identify areas for fuel treatment within a Community Wildfire Protection Plan or other high level strategic plan.
2. Develop a detailed Fuel Management Prescription which identifies objectives and strategies to reduce wildfire risk.
3. Operational implementation of the Fuel Management Prescription.

This Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan is the first step in identifying and prioritizing candidate areas for fuel management prescription development. Fuel management is a process of starting wide and narrowing down potential treatment areas as constraints are identified and areas are further ground verified. The areas shown on the map in this section form a discussion piece to be used in pursuing a fuel management program and do not compel or imply fuel management to occur. The process from initial identification of a treatment area to implementation on the ground typically takes several years.



Photo 9. Example of a forest prior to fuel management. (Example from outside the RDN)



Photo 10. In the same area as in the previous photo, post fuel treatment. (Example from outside the RDN)

#### Methods for identifying potential fuel treatment areas

Areas on public lands that were identified as having potentially high wildfire risk within 100 m of densely populated areas or critical infrastructure were visited in the field. Fuel plots were established in representative areas of the forest stands to determine wildfire threat. Assessments of the fuel condition were completed following the provincial assessment system using the 2020 Wildfire Threat Assessment Guide. This is the provincial standard for field assessments of fuel hazard in the wildland urban interface (WUI) and is used to plan fuel hazard mitigation works. Fuel types are scored under this system which is



used to help prioritise the areas for fuel hazard mitigation funding under the Community Resilience Investment Program.

The fuel component of wildfire threat is driven by the density and continuity of fuel on the forest floor, in the canopy, and the ladder fuels that connect the two. The highest threat fuel types are composed of dense coniferous trees with high vertical and horizontal continuity, with high fuel loading on the forest floor in the form of dead logs and branches.

Interface fuel treatments change the composition of a forest to reduce the wildfire threat, and thereby reducing the wildfire risk. This involves reducing the overall fuel load and disrupting both the vertical and horizontal continuity to create gaps between the fuel layers. The overall objective of the fuel treatment prescriptions is to change the fire behavior potential of forests from a crown fire to a surface fire under the most dangerous weather conditions (the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile weather conditions). Successful fuel management allows suppression resources to be able to act on the wildfire and defend the adjacent values. The detailed strategies for reducing fire behavior potential are detailed in a fuel management prescription, which is developed by a Registered Professional Forester with wildfire management experience. Potential strategies include tree thinning, spacing, pruning, surface debris removal, or creating fuel gaps. Treatment areas should be adjacent to the values at risk, a target of at least 100 m wide and located up against man made and natural fuel breaks when possible.



*Surface fire* is where only fuels in contact with ground are involved in a wildfire.

*Crown fire* is where tree crowns, including foliage and branches, are involved in a wildfire. Crown fire can be *passive*, meaning only single tree crowns or groups of trees are involved, or *active*, meaning fire is readily spreading between tree crowns.

Figure 17. Comparison of surface and crown fire behavior.

### Potential Treatment Areas

The opportunities for forest fuel management on public land in Electoral Area H (EA H) are limited. This is because of several factors, including:

- The small amount of public land in EA H.
- The limited area of contiguous forests with high or extreme wildfire threat.
- High environmental values of remaining forest cover, such as in provincial and regional parks.
- Low feasibility, primarily due to public land isolated by private land parcels and lack of roads into contiguous forested public land areas.

The RDN is a minor landowner in EA H. While all forms of public land ownership within the WUI are potential fuel treatment areas in this Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan, the RDN only has authority to advance treatments that occur solely on its own property. Fuel management on other forms of public land ownership must be advanced through partnership with the agency having authority and the BCWS.

Three areas were identified as potentially suitable for fuel management. One, near Oakdowne Road, contains RDN park land (Oakdowne Community Park). This area was the site of previous initiatives in vegetation management by RDN Parks in partnership with the BCWS but also includes additional crown land to form a buffer upwind of homes. The other two areas are located near Bowser and Deep Bay, and form a contiguous buffer between continuous forest land and built-up areas on Island Highway (Hwy 19A). Forest structure is expected to be the primary driver of fire behavior in all areas, which include portions of young forest with reduced horizontal and vertical separation. Much of the forest on public land near Deep Bay and Bowser is within the Coastal Douglas-fir Land Use Order, which may limit the feasibility of fuel management in this area.

Figure 18 shows the location of potential treatment areas identified by this CWRP. The areas are described in more detail in Table 18.






Figure 18. Map of potential fuel management areas in Electoral Areas A.

**Table 18. Areas with the highest potential for fuel management in Electoral Area H.**

| Treatment Polygon ID | General Location        | Jurisdiction         | Local Fuel Threat (Hectares) |      |         | Total Area (ha) | Priority | Treatment Rationale / Constraints  |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------|---------|-----------------|----------|--|
|                      |                         |                      | Moderate                     | High | Extreme |                 |          |  |
| OAKDWN               | Oakdowne Community Park | RDN/Crown Provincial | 26.3                         | 0    | 0       | 26.3            | Medium   | <p>This area contains dense coniferous forests and some mixed stands centered within Oakdowne Community Park. Stem exclusion is in process, leading to patches of concentrated surface and ladder fuels. Good access to the area from Hydro right of way. Portions of Oakdowne Park have previously been treated at the initiative of RDN Parks. Including this area allows monitoring and potential retreatment if necessary. The treatment is directly upwind (ESE) of suburban residential subdivision characterized by intermix conditions.</p> <p>Preliminary constraints: Area has level to gently rolling ground. Trails within the treatment area that would need to be rehabilitated or conserved during treatment. Treatment could present an opportunity to enhance the recreation potential of this property. There are no known archaeological or cultural sites within the treatment area. Fuel management prescription must consult with First Nations on cultural feature identification. Community engagement should be undertaken to ensure support for potential fuel management.</p>   |
| BOWS                 | Bowser (South)          | Crown Provincial     | 36.5                         | 57.6 | 0       | 94.1            | High     | <p>This area contains young, dense coniferous forests typed as C-3/C-6 where fuels have high vertical and horizontal continuity, leading to higher intensity potential wildfire behavior. Good access to the area is provided by forestry roads. The area is upwind (ESE) of residential development in Bowser and treatment may also help reduce fire spread potential in the vicinity of development on Cowland Rd.</p> <p>Preliminary constraints: Area has level to gently rolling ground. Area is within maps for the Coastal Douglas-fir Moist Maritime Order of the South Island Land Use Plan. The intent of this order is to conserve biodiversity values associated with remaining forests in the CDFmm zone. Activities may be limited in area or intensity, potentially reducing feasibility or usefulness. Engagement with the provincial forests ministry and BCWS should be undertaken to establish interest in this area. There are no known archaeological or cultural sites within the treatment area. Fuel management prescription must consult with First Nations on cultural feature identification. Community engagement should be undertaken to ensure support for potential fuel management.</p> |

| Treatment Polygon ID | General Location | Jurisdiction     | Local Fuel Threat (Hectares) |      |         | Total Area (ha) | Priority | Treatment Rationale / Constraints   |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------|---------|-----------------|----------|---|
|                      |                  |                  | Moderate                     | High | Extreme |                 |          |   |
| BOWDEEP              | Deep Bay—Bowser  | Crown Provincial | 191.6                        | 14.9 | 0       | 209.5           | High     | <p>This area contains a mixture of C-3 and C-5 forests where a strong component of pine is likely to support more aggressive fire behavior. Good access to the area is provided by forestry roads. The area forms an extended buffer of Island Highway and may help limit fire spread into interface communities northeast of the highway. The important cellular tower near Cowland Road is also within the treatment area.</p> <p>Preliminary constraints: Area has level to gently rolling ground. Area is within maps for the Coastal Douglas-fir Moist Maritime Order of the South Island Land Use Plan. The intent of this order is to conserve biodiversity values associated with remaining forests in the CDFmm zone. Activities may be limited in area or intensity, potentially reducing feasibility or usefulness. Engagement with the provincial forests ministry and BCWS should be undertaken to establish interest in this area. There are no known archaeological or cultural sites within the treatment area. Fuel management prescription must consult with First Nations on cultural feature identification. Community engagement should be undertaken to ensure support for potential fuel management.</p> |

## Action Plan & Implementation

This section takes discussion from the preceding sections on FireSmart Disciplines and summarizes recommendations for the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) to consider pursuing. Successful implementation of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan requires a strategy for implementation, tracking mechanisms for success, and a schedule for revisiting issues left unresolved. The Action Plan follows the SMART criteria for outlining potential initiatives:

- **Specific:** Target exactly what is to be achieved
- **Measurable:** quantify or suggest an indicator of progress
- **Assignable** – specify who will be responsible for implementation
- **Realistic:** state what results can reasonably be achieved
- **Time Bound:** state expected time for completion. Note that some recommendations must be implemented on a recurring basis annually or biannually. For example, an annual social media campaign to raise awareness.

### Plan monitoring and updates

While priorities and timelines are assigned below, the RDN may, with feedback from its community, decide some initiatives are of greater importance than others. The risk environment will continue to change beyond the completion of the plan and require adjusting expectations and resource allocation for building wildfire resiliency programming. This is a natural part of the implementation process of any plan. For these reasons, the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan and this Action Plan should be revisited from time-to-time to ensure they are meeting the needs of Electoral Area H (EA H). A formal review after five years is recommended, with at least annual reviews of the Action Plan.

### Tracking and Reporting

There are funding sources available to help implement many of these recommendations, subject to a competitive application program open to all local governments. UBCM manages the Community Resilience Investment (CRI) Program which offers up to 100% funding for a range of wildfire mitigation initiatives. Many of the recommendations made in this report are eligible for CRI funding. Estimated costs for implementing these recommendations are in addition to existing operating budgets.

Initiatives pursued by the RDN in the Action Plan should become part of the Emergency Service department's annual progress review. The Action Plan can be recreated and modified to add columns for noting whether items are in progress or have been completed, as well as capture specific measurable outcomes that can help justify the Region's wildfire resiliency initiative.

Following the Action Plan, Table 20 provides a sample tracking and reporting tool.

Table 19. Action Plan.

| Recommendation/Action   | Lead(s)  | Priority | Cost (Est.)   | Resources Required  | Metric for Success   | Notes                               |
|---|--|----------|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Renewing the Plan and Building Resiliency</b>  |  |          |   |   |  |                                     |
| <b>Objective: View the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan as a Living Document and incorporate wildfire resiliency into strategic decisions</b>             |  |          |   |   |  |                                     |
| 1. Conduct a formal review of the CWRP contents every 5 years. Review the Action Plan every year.   | RDN Emergency Services                                 | High     | \$30,000 per update   | Continuing program capacity for wildfire preparedness within the Emergency Services department.   | Maintain annual tracking and monitoring information on initiatives in the Action Plan                        | CRI funding eligible (CWRP updates) |
| 2. Advocate for wildfire resiliency to be incorporated in RDN's plans and processes   | RDN Emergency Services                                 | High     | Staff time  | Good relationships between Emergency Services and other units of the regional government.   | Acknowledgement of wildfire hazard and resiliency issues in new corporate documents                          |                                     |
| 3. Establish a FireSmart Coordinator position within RDN Emergency Services   | RDN Emergency Services                                 | High     | \$60,000-\$70,000 per year (one year contract)                | Develop a job plan (title, responsibilities, priority initiatives, manager, term) Funding for this position can be supported by CRI up to 100% of salary. | Fill this position and establish a job plan for the first contract year                                      | CRI funding eligible                |
| <b>Education</b>  |  |          |   |   |  |                                     |
| <b>Objective: Promote FireSmart as a strategy for wildfire preparedness and demonstrate the Region's commitment to wildfire resiliency in the community</b> |  |          |   |   |  |                                     |
| 4. Publish the CWRP, risk maps, and highlights on the RDN's website   | RDN Emergency Services                                 | High     | Staff time  | IT support  | Successful publication within one year after receipt by the Board of Directors                               |                                     |
| 5. Extend FireSmart assessment capacity outside of the fire protection areas.   | Local FireSmart Representative / FireSmart Coordinator | High     | See Item 3. Incidental expenses beyond FS Coordinator salary. | Coordination with local fire departments is recommended. All have existing FireSmart Coordinators LFR within RDN ES                                       | Offer an annual opportunity to residents to have their property assessed                                     | CRI funding eligible                |
| 6. Expand participation in Voyent Alert!  | RDN Emergency Services                                 | High     | Staff time  | IT Support Outreach with local organizations Community advertising  | Registrations as a percent of population.  |                                     |
| 7. Promote FireSmart Neighbourhood Planning in neighbourhoods at relative risk.   | Local FireSmart Representative / FireSmart Coordinator | Medium   | \$3,000-\$5,000   | Coordination with local fire departments encouraged. All have existing FireSmart Coordinators. Trained LFR within RDN.                                    | Number of households represented, participating Offer neighbourhood champion workshop to regional residents. | CRI funding eligible                |



| Recommendation/Action   | Lead(s)  | Priority | Cost (Est.)                           | Resources Required  | Metric for Success   | Notes                               |
|---|--|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <b>8. Host a Community Clean Up Day in Lighthouse Country Regional Trail, Horne Lake Regional Park, or another suitable park as a demonstration of FireSmart principles.</b>                | Local FireSmart Representative / FireSmart Coordinator<br>RDN Parks                    | Medium   | \$5,000                               | Coordination with RDN Parks to designate clean-up areas, desired outcomes                 | Number of participants<br>Weight of vegetation/debris removed<br>Reduce surface fuel loading near homes.           | CRI funding eligible                |
| <b>9. Collect feedback from the community on an ongoing basis via advertising the Wildfire Resiliency Initiative and Get Involved page</b>  | RDN Emergency Services   | Medium   | Staff time                            | IT support<br>Coordination with social media  | Number of questions asked<br>Number of contacts with residents<br>Number of survey responses (Get Involved)        |                                     |
| <b>Legislation and Planning</b>   |  |          |                                       |   |  |                                     |
| <b>Objective: Ensure Regional District by-laws and policies support wildfire resiliency</b>   |  |          |                                       |   |  |                                     |
| <b>10. Explore a program to reduce or eliminate green waste tipping fees for FireSmart projects at the Church Road Transfer Station (Electoral Area F) and regional landfill (Nanaimo)</b>  | RDN Engineering and Utilities<br>RDN Emergency Services                                | High     | Cost to be estimated by further study | Coordination between departments<br>Baseline studies<br>Operational capacity and training | Green waste by weight associated with a completed FireSmart assessment<br>Fees deferred                            | Tipping fees: CRI funding eligible. |
| <b>11. Conduct FireSmart Assessments of existing RDN critical infrastructure.</b>   | RDN Emergency Services<br>RDN Engineering and Utilities                                | High     | \$25,000-\$30,000                     | Coordination between departments  | Completed FireSmart Assessment Score Cards for all RDN-owned critical infrastructure.                              | CRI funding eligible.               |
| <b>12. Support authorities having jurisdiction to conduct FireSmart Assessments of non-RDN critical public infrastructure, such as by completing joint CRI application for this purpose</b> | RDN Emergency Services<br>Improvement Districts<br>School District<br>Local fire depts | Medium   | Incidental                            | Coordination between RDN ES and authorities having jurisdiction.                          | Completed FireSmart Assessment Score Cards for all critical infrastructure identified by HRVA in Electoral Area G. | CRI funding eligible.               |

| Recommendation/Action  | Lead(s)  | Priority | Cost (Est.) | Resources Required   | Metric for Success  | Notes  |
|--|--|----------|-------------|--|---|--|
| <b>13. Harmonize the existing Wood First and Green Building policies with FireSmart principles, such as supporting fire-rated wood products.</b> | RDN<br>Engineering and Utilities<br>RDN Planning and Development<br>RDN Emergency Services | Medium   | Staff time  | Coordination between departments   | Adapted policies reflect recognition of FireSmart building design and principles and recognize that fire-rated wood building elements have their place in FireSmart design.   | Review and revision may be CRI funding eligible depending on scope.                        |
| <b>14. Adopt a target level of service for wildfire hazard assessment in regional and community parks</b>  | RDN Parks  | Medium   | Staff time  | Coordination between Parks and Emergency Services<br>Operational capacity (qualified individual) | Level of service for wildfire hazard assessment of park lands established in new Parks and Trails Strategy  |  |
| <b>15. Begin recording wildfire threat information on park service request records and calls.</b>  | RDN Parks  | Medium   | Staff time  | Emergency Services to provide wildfire threat mapping. Parks to orient staff to mapping.         | >90% of relevant service requests on park land attached to a wildfire threat rating for the area.   |  |
| <b>Development Considerations</b>  |  |          |             |  |   |  |
| <b>Objective: Consider FireSmart design principles in planning and development bylaws.</b>   |  |          |             |  |   |  |
| <b>16. Provide FireSmart information (bulletins, brochures, web resources) with development application materials</b>                            | RDN Planning   | High     | Staff time  | Coordination between Emergency Services and Planning Department                                  | FireSmart information is provided with all development permit application templates.  | CRI funding eligible, to a pre-determined maximum (physical materials); web resources free |
| <b>17. Work with RDN Planning Department to consider FireSmart principles during development review and referral</b>                             | RDN Emergency Services<br>RDN Planning   | High     | Staff time  | Coordination between Emergency Services and Planning Department                                  | Host an interdepartmental workshop to go over FireSmart principles and design elements respecting land subdivision, including fuel setbacks and potential vegetation management. Develop a working protocol for FS Coordinator or NVFD rep involvement in development review. | Protocol: potentially CRI funding eligible depending on scope.                             |

| Recommendation/Action   | Lead(s)  | Priority      | Cost (Est.)            | Resources Required  | Metric for Success   | Notes   |
|---|--|---------------|------------------------|---|--|---|
| <p><b>18. Investigate use of the Development Approval Information provisions of the OCP (Electoral Area H) to request and collect information on wildfire hazard on private property, particularly during land subdivision.</b></p> | <p>RDN Planning<br/>RDN Legal<br/>RDN Emergency Services</p> | <p>Medium</p> | <p>Staff time</p>      | <p>Capacity in RDN planning for administration and ES/Fire Services for review. Coordination between departments.</p> | <p>Bring a workable pathway to request wildfire hazard assessment reports for development applications on private land to the RDN Electoral Area Services Committee.</p> | <p>CRI funding eligible, subject to scope limitations around the bylaw review.</p> <p>Pursuing wildfire hazard information on private land through the DAI mechanism helps establish wildfire risk on private land in the Electoral Area. This information need not be requested from every application. Designating a DAI zone for wildfire hazard can be part of the investigation process. Currently, the Official Community Plan for Electoral Area F mentions wildfire as a potential natural hazard that can be addressed by an OCP but contains no policy to direct development regarding this hazard.</p> |
| <p><b>19. Consider preparing mapping of a designated wildfire hazard area for a future OCP update.</b></p>  | <p>RDN Planning</p>  | <p>High</p>   | <p>\$10,000-15,000</p> | <p>Potentially significant investment in methodology, mapping, and community engagement if DPA pursued.</p>           | <p>Map community-supported zones for wildfire interface hazard. Incorporate mapping at next OCP renewal.</p>   | <p>CRI funding eligible, subject to scope limitations.</p> <p>If incorporated into the OCP, mapping can be used for future DPA mechanisms to gather information on wildfire hazard on private land and/or guide development toward FireSmart principles.</p>  |

| Recommendation/Action  | Lead(s)                                       | Priority | Cost (Est.)                   | Resources Required  | Metric for Success  | Notes  |
|--|---|----------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>Interagency Cooperation</b>   |   |          |                               |   |   |  |
| <b>Objective: Ensuring wildfire response is effective</b>  |   |          |                               |   |   |  |
| <b>20. Initiate a region-wide Interagency Fire Response and Preparedness Working Group</b>   | RDN Emergency Services<br>BC Wildfire Service | High     | \$2,000 per meeting           | Participation of local fire depts, BCWS, regional emergency responders  | Host initial meeting<br>Host tabletop exercise for incident planning                              | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>21. Develop an Electoral Area H-specific Community FireSmart and Resiliency Committee</b>   | FireSmart Coordinator                         | High     | \$2,000 per meeting           | Develop terms of reference, network participants, support participation<br>Cooperation of local fire departments.           | Host initial meeting<br>Approve draft terms of reference  | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>22. Provide cultural sensitivity training to Emergency Services staff to support positive partnerships with indigenous people and communities</b> | RDN Emergency Services                        | Medium   | Staff time & facilitation fee |   | 1 on duty or on call EOC staffer with cultural sensitivity training at all times during emergency | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>Cross Training</b>  |   |          |                               |   |   |  |
| <b>Objective: Ensuring emergency responders have a variety of training and experience</b>  |   |          |                               |   |   |  |
| <b>23. Support local fire departments to access additional training on future CRI funding applications.</b>  | FireSmart Coordinator                         | Medium   | Incidental                    | Identify training needs for new recruits, transfers<br>Local depts to identify candidates for training and desired courses. | Full participation in training by members who want it   | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>24. Support additional RDN staff to become Local FireSmart Representatives</b>  | RDN Emergency Services                        | Medium   | Staff time                    |   | At least 2 persons in RDN Emergency Services to have active LFR certification at all times.       | CRI funding eligible.<br>Support this training for new FireSmart Coordinator or hire with credential |
| <b>25. Host a neighbourhood champion training workshop for interested community members</b>  | RDN Emergency Services                        | Medium   | \$5,000 per event             | Successful fostering of a FireSmart neighbourhood planning program  | 4 new neighbourhood champions trained in priority identified areas.                               | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>26. Train RDN staff or Local FireSmart Representatives to be Wildfire Mitigation Specialists</b>  | RDN Emergency Services                        | Low      | \$8,500 - \$10,000            | Expression of interest in WMS programming from local fire depts or general public.  | Certification of a WMS within the Regional District ES department.                                | CRI funding eligible   |

| Recommendation/Action   | Lead(s)  | Priority | Cost (Est.)                                     | Resources Required  | Metric for Success  | Notes  |
|---|--|----------|---|---|---|--|
| <b>Emergency Planning</b>   |  |          |   |   |   |  |
| <b>Objective: Enhance emergency response capacity</b>   |  |          |   |   |   |  |
| <b>27. Establish a guide for Emergency Services EOC preparedness levels during wildfire season</b>  | RDN Emergency Services                                     | High     | Staff time                                      | Administrative capacity in ES.  | Adopt as policy a Guide to Wildfire Preparedness Condition Levels   | Sample for development provided in Emergency Planning section  |
| <b>28. Contribute towards a pre-incident plan for wildfire events with local suppression experts</b>  | Regional Fire Response and Preparedness Working Group      | High     | Staff time.                                     | Participation of emergency responders and suppression authorities   | Complete annual pre-incident plan and discuss with regional working group for fire response in pre-season meeting | CRI funding eligible when developed as part of a working group of Community FireSmart and Resiliency committee |
| <b>29. Conduct a community information session about emergency preparedness and evacuation during a wildfire</b>  | RDN Emergency Services                                     | Medium   | \$5,000 per event                               | Administrative capacity in RDN Emergency Services   | Host event<br>Number of attendees   | CRI funding eligible   |
| <b>30. Identify “Clean Air Refuges” for use by the public during smoke events</b>   | RDN Emergency Services                                     | Low      | Staff time                                      | Partnership with community businesses and facilities. Identification of suitable buildings.                         | Identify locations that the public can visit for clean air during periods of heavy smoke                          |  |
| <b>Vegetation Management</b>  |  |          |   |   |   |  |
| <b>Objective: Modify fuel environments to reduce risk around infrastructure and communities</b>   |  |          |   |   |   |  |
| <b>31. Work to implement FireSmart Assessment recommendations for critical infrastructure, such as by supporting joint applications to CRI for infrastructure designated in the HRVA.</b> | RDN Emergency Services                                     | High     | TBD based on assessment outcomes.               | Administrative capacity in ES.<br>Partnership with Engineering to allocate and prioritize assets for implementation | Completed FireSmart activities and updated score cards  | CRI funding eligible, when initial FireSmart assessment has been completed. Up to \$50,000 per structure.      |
| <b>32. Consider potential fuel treatment areas and liaise with the BCWS to register interest.</b>   | RDN Emergency Services<br>RDN Parks<br>BC Wildfire Service | Medium   | Up to \$400/ha for fuel management prescription | Coordination with BC Wildfire Service   | Maintain options to pursue fuel treatment in identified areas.  |  |





## Appendices

### Appendix A: Glossary of Terms

| Term                               | Definition  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Area of Interest (AOI)             | The geographic study area for a Community Wildfire Protection Plan, within which the extent of the boundaries of the wildland-urban interface are determined.   |
| Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan | A plan adopted by a local government or First Nation to identify wildfire threat and risk throughout the study area, examine policy and planning responses, and assess emergency response capacity while providing action item recommendations for building community resilience, supported by the provincial government through the Community Resiliency Investment Program. |
| Critical Infrastructure            | Assets, structures, or features that underpin the health and safety of the community and allow governance to take place   |
| Crown fuels                        | Forest fuels occurring in the above the level of the ground, on tree stems or in tree canopies, including live and dead branches attached to trees, bark, and foliage.  |
| Fire Return Interval               | The time between fires in a defined area, typically measured at the landscape scale.  |
| FireSmart                          | A term for that describes living with the risk of wildfire while reducing the adverse affects of wildfire. Also refers to a program of disciplines for mitigating the risks of wildfire   |
| Fuels                              | Those elements of a forest that can burn, including organic material on the forest floor, logs, dead branches and needles, shrubs and herbs, and the bark, wood, and foliage of live trees.   |
| Fuel management                    | Coordinated action to reduce wildfire risk by modifying the structure and density of forest fuels.  |
| Fuel management prescription       | A document that identifies fuel management strategies to reduce wildfire risk in a defined area, while also ensuring other values are protected.  |
| Fuel treatment                     | The implementation of a fuel management prescription, which may involve the physical modification of fuels by heavy machinery or ground workers.  |
| Interface                          | A pattern of urban development where contiguous development directly abuts native vegetation.   |
| Intermix                           | A pattern of urban development where buildings are closely placed within and among trees.   |

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Landscape Unit Plan            | A plan prepared by the provincial government that provides objectives for resource management within a defined area, including policies related to forest biodiversity and wildlife habitat.   |
| Official Community Plan        | A local government plan for an electoral area(s) or municipality, mandated by provincial legislation, that shows how land use will be planned and how local government will meet other provincial policy objectives. Official Community Plans may also include additional policies based on local needs and interests. |
| Suppression                    | Actions taken in response to fire to control the spread of the fire or reduce it in area or severity.  |
| Surface fuels                  | Forest fuels found on top of the organic layer of the soil and below the crowns of trees, typically including understory vegetation, dead branches, needles, and logs.   |
| Wildfire                       | A form of natural landscape disturbance involving the combustion of vegetation.  |
| Wildfire risk                  | The probability of a wildfire occurring combined with the consequences or impacts it would cause.  |
| Wildfire season                | The period of the year during which wildfires generally take place due to weather and fuel conditions. In BC, this is typically April – September.   |
| Wildfire threat                | A classification of potential fire behavior based on fuel conditions, weather conditions, slope, aspect, and other biophysical factors.  |
| wildland-urban interface (WUI) | The geographic area where homes and buildings meet continuous areas of natural vegetation.   |


## Appendix B: Public Engagement

Public engagement for the plan consisted of information and feedback solicited via the RDN’s Wildfire Resiliency Initiative Get Involved webpage, as well as two community events hosted online via Zoom on August 26<sup>th</sup> 2021 and November 19<sup>th</sup> 2021. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, engagement for the plan was strictly online only. Webinar presentations included an open-ended Q & A with attendees. Presentations were recorded and published on the RDN’s Get Involved page for the project via YouTube. An interactive web-based “StoryMap” was also prepared and shared via the Get Involved page to introduce community members to the project.

Advertisements for the Get Involved page and the web events were prepared and published by the RDN several times during the life of the project. Web events were also advertised in community newspapers and radio in the Oceanside area.

Engagement with the public revealed several common concerns across the RDN’s electoral areas, particularly regarding the implementation of FireSmart landscaping. Several recommendations regarding the development of FireSmart programming for neighbourhoods of higher relative risk, reducing barriers to green waste disposal, and providing more capacity for FireSmart assessment programs reflect this feedback.

The following images are sample presentation slides from the web event of November 19, 2021, which provided an “interim update” to the community on findings of the local wildfire threat assessment.






**Forest Fuels Glossary**


- 1. Surface fuel
- 2. Litter/fuel
- 3. Downed fuel
- 4. Downed coarse
- 5. Fine woody fuel
- 6. Grasses/straw
- 7. Brush/forage
- 8. Sparse dry forest
- 9. Coniferous
- 10. Deciduous

**11. Fuel type**


**Overhead view**



**Side view**







## 7 Disciplines of FireSmart

- Education
- Community Planning
- Development Considerations
- Interagency Co-operation
- Emergency Planning
- FireSmart and Cross Training
- Fuel Management

**FireSmart BC Homeowner's Manual**  
Reduce the potential impacts of wildfire on your home  
[Download](#)  
Download this as a PDF

*The CWRP is a tool for building a FireSmart community*

## Appendix C: Local Wildfire Threat and Risk Process

This section provides a summary of the local wildfire threat and risk assessment, including field reviewed fuel characteristics, local fire spread patterns, topographical considerations, and proximity of fuel to the community. This appendix describes the methodology used to determine wildfire risk. The findings of this analysis have been integrated into the main body of the report in the Wildfire Risk Assessment section.

The local wildfire risk assessment process involves:

1. Verification of local fuel types to develop a fuel type map
2. Assessment of fire spread patterns
3. Consideration of topography
4. Stratification of the WUI based on relative wildfire threat
5. Classification of wildfire risk areas

### Fuel Type Attribute Assessment

Fuel typing falls into sixteen national benchmark fuel types that are used by the Canadian Fire Behaviour Prediction System (Canada, 2018). This system divides fuels into five major groups and 16 more specific fuel types. These groups are used to describe fuels according to stand structure, species composition, surface, and ladder fuels, and the organic (duff) layer. The current Canadian Forest Fire Behavior Prediction (FBP) System does not include coastal forests in their fuel type descriptions (Perrakis & Eade, 2015), therefore the fuel type that most closely represents forest stand structure was identified.

Different fuel types are associated with different levels of wildfire threat (wildfire behaviour potential). Therefore, accurate fuel typing is a critical input to the wildfire behaviour and threat assessment mapping. Conifer fuel types typically have the highest wildfire behaviour potential and are the most likely to support continuous crown fire and spotting potential. Different conifer fuel types have different crown fire and spot fire potential.

### C-3 and C-5 - Conifer Fuel Types

There are 7 possible conifer dominated fuel types (Figure 19), only five of which are typically encountered in British Columbia. Two of these fuel types, C-3 and C-5, are commonly found in the AOI. Both characterize second growth conifer stands. C-3 includes a higher density stand with lower crown heights, while C-5 is lower in density and has higher crown heights.




Figure 19. Characteristics of the seven conifer fuel types. C-3 and C-5 are prevalent within the AOI.





Photo 11. Example of a stand classified as C-3 fuel type.



Photo 12. Example of a stand classified as C-5 fuel type.

*Fuel type M-1/2 – Mixed stands*

This fuel type is found throughout the study area, often around riparian areas or areas historically disturbed. They are characterized by stands comprised of a mix of coniferous and deciduous species. The conifer component in these stands is mostly a mix of Douglas-fir, western redcedar and western hemlock. The deciduous component varies and includes bigleaf maple and red alder. In a few locations, the broad-leaved evergreen tree arbutus contributes to the deciduous component of the stand. Fire behaviour potential in these stands increases with and is highly dependent on the number of coniferous trees present.



Photo 13. Example of a stand classified as M-2 fuel type



*Fuel type D-1/2 - Deciduous*

This fuel type consists of stands that are generally moderately stocked and dominated by deciduous trees. Within the AOI, there is little area classified as this fuel type. These stands occur primarily in areas that have historically been disturbed. They can include a small amount of conifer trees, usually in patches or as single trees. Dead and down round wood fuels are a minor component of this fuel complex. During the summer months, the principal fire-carrying surface fuel consists chiefly of deciduous leaf litter and cured herbaceous material. Areas dominated by shrubs are also included in this type. These are dense plant communities with few trees and a variety of shrub species. These deciduous stand and shrub communities will all have a relatively low fire behavior potential.



Photo 14. Example of a stand classified as D-1/2 fuel type

*Fuel type O-1 a/b– Grass*

This fuel type consists of grass cover with minimal tree cover. This fuel type is applied to unmaintained, native grasses, rather than large maintained lawns or irrigated crops which are much less flammable. Grass fuels are dominated by fine fuels and are very responsive to moisture inputs or deficits. As such, wildfire behaviour varies widely based on recent weather conditions. Under dry and windy conditions, grass fuels can support extreme rates of spread and fire intensity. However, small amounts of moisture can drastically limit wildfire spread or behaviour. Therefore, the wildfire behaviour potential in these areas is dependent on the degree of curing, which is typically quite high during the wildfire season.



Photo 15. O-1 a/b fuels in foreground. Note the contrast with irrigated, maintained grass in rear.

Fuel types were provided in the Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) dataset. The PSTA fuels layer is conducted at a landscape level, and typically appears coarse when viewed at a small scale. The PSTA fuels data is derived from existing provincial data and algorithmic interpretation of orthophotos. When examined at a local scale for a CWPP, errors are evident. These are often due to recent disturbance, such as logging or land clearing for development. Another source of error is very fine differences in fuel types that are difficult to capture in a large scale analysis, such as selection cut harvesting, or tree mortality from disturbance.

An updated fuel types layer is required to provide an accurate fire behaviour and wildfire threat map. The following process was used to update the fuel type layer, which has been developed in consultation with the BCWS fuels specialist (Dana Hicks, pers communication):

1. DHC reviewed the fuel type layer with latest ortho imagery. Identified obvious errors at this scale. This included areas identified as forest but have recently been cleared. In some areas the VRI-derived fuel type was classified as grass or slash, but the polygon in the aerial imagery is clearly treed. These were classified using air photo interpretation and referencing the nearest treed polygons.
2. Areas were identified for ground truthing. This focuses on areas adjacent values and communities as priorities.
3. Field work was conducted to ground truth the fuels layers. Polygons adjacent to values were visited by forester and the accuracy of fuel typing layer confirmed. Where errors were encountered, the fuel layer was updated and representative photos were taken.
4. Finalize the spatial fuels layer.

## Fire Spread Patterns

Initial Spread Index (ISI) is a rating of the expected rate of spread of a fire. ISI is derived from combining wind speed with the Fine Fuel Moisture Index (FFMC), which measures the moisture content of the most easily ignited fuels. High winds, FFMC, and ISI will result in increased rate of spread and wildfire intensity and are therefore reviewed together. Data for FFMC and ISI is recorded at local BCWS weather stations. In addition, local weather stations record wind speed and direction. This data is then assessed under typical wildfire conditions to determine rates of spread potential, potential wildfire intensity, and spread direction.

## Topography

Steep slopes significantly increase wildfire spread through increasing radiant and convective heat. Aspect on steep slopes will also affect wildfire spread, as south facing slopes will be much warmer and drier than other aspects. Areas with steep, vegetated slopes below them are at higher risk than flat areas with similar fuel loading.

**Table 21 Slope percentage and fire behaviour implications.**

| Slope Percent Class | Fire Behaviour Implications  |
|---------------------|--|
| <20%                | Very little flame and fuel interaction caused by slope, normal rate of spread.             |
| 21-30%              | Flame tilt begins to preheat fuel, increase rate of spread.                                |
| 31-45%              | Flame tilt preheats fuel and begins to bathe flames into fuel, high rate of spread.        |
| 46-60%              | Flame tilt preheats fuel and bathes flames into fuel, very high rate of spread.            |
| >60%                | Flame tilt preheats fuel and bathes flames into fuel well upslope, extreme rate of spread. |

**Table 22 Slope position of value and fire behaviour implications.**

| Slope Position of Value        | Fire Behaviour Implications   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Bottom of Slope/ Valley Bottom | Impacted by normal rates of spread.   |
| Mid Slope - Bench              | Impacted by increase rates of spread. Position on a bench may reduce the preheating near the value. (Value is offset from the slope).     |
| Mid slope – continuous         | Impacted by fast rates of spread. No break in terrain features affected by preheating and flames bathing into the fuel ahead of the fire. |
| Upper 1/3 of slope             | Impacted by extreme rates of spread. At risk to large continuous fire run, preheating and flames bathing into the fuel.                   |



### Local Wildfire Threat Classification

Integrating fuels, fire spread patterns, and topography provides an assessment of local wildfire threat, or the wildfire behaviour potential under severe wildfire conditions. Severe wildfire conditions are defined as the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile weather conditions over the last 10 years. These are the times when wildfire is most likely, and suppression conditions are most challenging. This analysis highlights the locations most likely to support high or extreme wildfire behaviour that may be beyond the suppression capability of BCWS or local fire departments.

### Proximity of Fuel to the Community

Fuel closest to the community usually represents the highest hazard. To capture the importance of fuel proximity, the wildland urban interface (WUI) is weighted more heavily from the value or structure outwards. Fuels adjacent to the values and/or structures at risk receive the highest rating followed by progressively lower ratings moving out.

The local wildfire threat assessment process subdivides the WUI into 3 areas (Table 23):

1. Areas within 100 m of the WUI (WUI 100)
2. Areas from 101 to 500 m from the WUI (the WUI 500)
3. Areas 501 to 2000 m from the WUI (the WUI 2000).

**Table 23 Proximity to the Interface.**

| Proximity to the Interface | Descriptor*  | Explanation   |
|----------------------------|--------------|---|
| WUI 100                    | (0-100 m)    | This Zone is always located adjacent to the value at risk. Treatment would modify the wildfire behaviour near or adjacent to the value. Treatment effectiveness would be increased when the value is FireSmart.                       |
| WUI 500                    | (101-500 m)  | Treatment would affect wildfire behaviour approaching a value, as well as the wildfire's ability to impact the value with short- to medium- range spotting; should also provide suppression opportunities near a value.               |
| WUI 2000                   | (501-2000 m) | Treatment would be effective in limiting long - range spotting but short- range spotting may fall short of the value and cause a new ignition that could affect a value.  |
|                            | >2 000 m     | This should form part of a landscape assessment and is generally not part of the zoning process. Treatment is relatively ineffective for threat mitigation to a value, unless used to form a part of a larger fuel break / treatment. |

\* Distances are based on spotting distances of high and moderate fuel type spotting potential and threshold to break crown fire potential (100m). These distances can be varied with appropriate rationale, to address areas with low or extreme fuel hazards.

WUI threat classes of High or Extreme are depicted in Figure 13. These are identified through a combination of both wildfire behaviour and proximity to communities or values. High WUI Threat Class areas are those with High or Extreme wildfire behaviour and are within 500 m of a value or community. Extreme WUI Threat Class areas are those with High or Extreme wildfire behaviour and are directly adjacent a value or community.



### Local Wildfire Risk Classification

The 2012 wildfire risk methodology was used to determine wildfire risk. This method intersects the updated wildfire threat with the proximity to values to determine wildland urban interface threat class, which represents wildfire risk. This highlights areas of high or extreme wildfire threat, and classifies their risk based on stratified distances. Areas of very low, low, or moderate wildfire threat are dropped from this analysis, as these areas have a high likelihood of successful suppression by the BCWS and/or local fire department. Areas of high wildfire risk are within 500 m of a value and pose a high or extreme wildfire threat. Areas of extreme risk are directly adjacent a value and pose a high or extreme wildfire threat. Area summaries and maps are provided in the Wildfire Risk Assessment section of this document.

Appendix D: CRI Map Submissions



# ELECTORAL AREA H

## Map 1: CWRP Area of Interest (AOI)

- Legend**
- CWRP AOI
  - Electoral Areas
  - Land Ownership**
  - Municipal
  - Crown Provincial
  - Private
  - Federal
  - Mixed Ownership
  - Unknown
  - Indian Reserve

- Provincial Parks, Eco Reserves and Protected Areas
- Forest Tenure Managed Licence
- Fire Protection Areas
- Proposed Treatment Area
- Critical Infrastructure
- Community Watersheds - Current
- Roads
- Transmission Lines (BC Hydro)


Coordinate System: NAD83  
 Projection: BC Environmental Albers

Date: April 11, 2022

1 Kilometers



CRI Project Number: 280  
 Proponent Name: Regional District of Nanaimo  
 Consultant: Diamond Head Consulting  
 GIS: Geographica Group / Diamond Head Consulting






# ELECTORAL AREA H

## Map 2: Local Fire Risk

- Legend**
- Transmission\_Lines
  - Roads
  - ElectoralAreas
  - FCFS WUI 1-km buffer
  - CWRP AOI
  - Local Wildfire Risk Rating
  - Extreme
  - High
  - Local Wildfire Threat Rating
  - Very Low
  - Low
  - Moderate
  - High
  - Extreme
  - NO DATA

Coordinate System: NAD83  
 Projection: BC Environmental Albers  
 Date: April 11, 2022



| Wildfire Threat Rating | Area (ha) | Wildfire Risk Rating | Area (ha) |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Extreme                | 4.5       | Extreme              | 8.7       |
| High                   | 209       | High                 | 121.1     |
| Moderate               | 1511.8    |                      |           |
| Low                    | 209.9     |                      |           |
| Very Low               | 2726.9    |                      |           |
| No Data                | 5515.9    | No Data              | 5515.9    |

CRI Project Number: 280  
 Proponent Name: Regional District of Nanaimo  
 Consultant: Diamond Head Consulting  
 GIS: Geographica Group / Diamond Head Consulting



# ELECTORAL AREA H

## Map 3: Proposed Fuel Treatment Units

- CWRP AOI
- Wildland Urban Interface
- Electoral Areas
- Proposed Treatment Area

### Legend

- Land Ownership**
  - Municipal
  - Crown Provincial
  - Private
  - Federal
  - Mixed Ownership
  - Unknown
  - Indian Reserve
  - Provincial Parks, Eco Reserves and Protected Areas
- Managed Licence Polygon Outlines Forest Tenure Managed Licence
- Transmission\_Lines
- Roads
- PRPTRTable

Coordinate System: NAD83  
Projection: BC Environmental Albers

Date: April 11, 2022

0.85 Kilometers



| PROPOSED_TREATMENT_ID | AREAHA |
|-----------------------|--------|
| OAKDWN                | 26.3   |
| BOWS                  | 94.1   |
| BOWDEEP               | 209.5  |

CRI Project Number: 280  
Proponent Name: Regional District of Nanaimo  
Consultant: Diamond Head Consulting  
GIS: Geographica Group / Diamond Head Consulting

