

DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Development Variance Permits (DVPs) allow bylaw provisions to be varied as set out in the *Local Government Act*, Section 922. Within the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) typically these variances relate to Bylaw No. 500, 1987 and Bylaw No. 1285, 2002 (not involving a change in use or density).

Where the requested variance relates to proposed construction, for example relaxation of a setback or height provision, a building permit may only be issued, or construction started, once the development variance permit is in place.

It is strongly recommended that you contact Planning Staff to discuss your proposal prior to submitting an application. Upon submission of a DVP application, including all required information and application fee(s), planning staff will review the information and prepare a report to the Electoral Area Services Committee (EASC), which is a committee of the RDN Board. *Please note that planning staff or the Committee may require additional information in support of your application.* Following the EASC's review of the proposed development, public notification is completed in accordance with "Regional District of Nanaimo Development Application and Notification Procedures Bylaw No. 1776, 2018". The committee's recommendation is then forwarded the RDN Board for consideration.

A resolution by the Board must be passed to approve and authorize issuance of the DVP. *Please note that some DVPs may also require the approval of the Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure prior to issuance of the permit.* Once the permit is in place, the required building permits may be issued.

Board Policy

The RDN Board has adopted a policy (Policy B1.5) which sets out evaluation criteria to be used in the consideration of development variance permit applications. This policy is attached for information. Information required as a result of Board adoption or amendment of Policies and Bylaws may change from time to time. Please contact Planning staff prior to making an application.

Submission Requirements

To make an application for a development permit, the required fee and a completed application form must be submitted, along with any applicable additional information as listed in the application form.



Strategic & Community Development

6300 Hammond Bay Road Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2 (250) 390-6510 (Nanaimo) (250) 954-3798 (District 69) 1-877-607-4111 (within BC) Fax:(250) 390-7511 planning@rdn.bc.ca

Development Variance Permit Application Form

			OFFICE U	SE ONLY		
Application Fee: Re		Receipt No	File	File No		
SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY (AS INDICATED ON THE STATE OF TITLE CERTIFCATE)						
Lega	al Description	· 		,		
Civio	c Address					
Elec	toral Area			Parcel Identifier (P I D)		
SECTION 2: OWNER INFORMATION (ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGE IF MORE THAN TWO OWNERS)						
1)	Name		2)	Name		
	Mailing Address			Mailing Address		
	Town / Province	Postal Code		Town / Province	Postal Code	
	Telephone/ Cell	Fax		Telephone/ Cell	Fax	
	Email			Email		
				T INFORMATION PLICANT IS NOT THE OWNER)		
Name		Mailing Ad	dress	Town/Province		
Post	al Code	Telephone/ Cell	Fax	Email		
	*NOTE: IF THE	E APPLICANT IS NOT THE	REGISTERED OW	NER A LETTER OF AUTHORIZA	ATION WILL BE REQUIRED	
>		SECTI	ON 4: DESCRI	PTION OF PROPOSAL		
SECTION 4: DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL (PLEASE ATTACHED LETTER IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED)						
Proposed variances requested:						
Pur	pose of proposed var	iance:				

SECTION 5: APPLICATION COMPLETION CHECKLIST: ALL MEASUREMENTS TO BE IN METRIC A copy of state of title certificate(s) and corporate registry search (if applicable) dated within past 30 days A letter outlining the details of the Application including variance rationale Application fee as required by Bylaw No. 1259, 2002 Two (2) survey plans certified by a BC Land Surveyor to a maximum scale of 1:500, showing: location of existing and proposed buildings and structures and parts thereof, address, legal description, name of applicant, date, property lines, scale, north arrow, all easements and right of ways, restrictive covenant areas, location of all watercourses and associated setbacks, and building setbacks as per Zoning and Floodplain Bylaws Electronic copies of all plans **Property Declaration Form** Additional information may be required, such as:

Two (2) survey plans certified by a BC Land Surveyor including topographical information Professional Engineer's Report RDN Sustainable Development Checklist: Residential Commercial A letter of authorization (To be completed if the applicant is not the registered owner) Site Profile Form Riparian Area Assessment Other

Two (2) building elevation plans to a maximum scale of 1:100

SECTION 6: Applicant Signature					
I hereby declare that all the above noted statements and information contained in this application and supporting documents are true and correct.					
Applicant Signature	Date				
Applicant Name (Please Print)					
I would profer all correspondance viz-	□ omail □ requiar mail □ fav				

In order to process your application, please provide all necessary documentation with your application. Please retain a copy of the submitted application for your records. Contact the RDN Planning Department for assistance.

> Submit the completed application form, required fee, plans, and supporting material to the Regional District of Nanaimo. The fee is payable to the "Regional District of Nanaimo".



Cellular Phone

Planning Department 6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo BC V9T 6N2 Phone: (250) 390-6510 or (250) 954-3798 (in District 69) or 1-877-607-4111 toll free in BC Fax: (250) 390-7511 Email: planning@rdn.bc.ca web: www.rdn.bc.ca

Email

Date:

	LE	ETTE	R OF AUT	THORIZATION (Property of the Agent)	ON	
As the	registered owner(s) of the	ne follov	wing propert	y:		
Civic A	Address:					
₋egal l	Description:					
oertain deeme docum	hereby authorize the foing to the application for to know of and to ents. I / We acknowledgrk to be performed unde	develo under e the a	pment on the cuthority of the	ne above noted contents of the ne agent to bind	property e applic d me/us	and by doing so will be cations and associated in all matters relating to
	OCP Amendment Development Permit Temporary Use Permit		Rezoning Development Agricultural La	Variance Permit and Reserve		Subdivision Board of Variance Other
	Owner Name (please pri	int)		Ov	vner Name	(please print)
	Signature of Owner				Signature	of Owner
Agen	t's Information					
Agent's Name			Company Name			
			(Addres	es)		
City			Postal Code			
Telephone			Fax No.			



Property Declaration Form

for Riparian Areas, Nesting Trees, and Site Profiles

Subject Property Legal Description:
Subject Property Civic Address:
Subject Property PID:
Riparian Areas
I (we) acknowledge that the province of British Columbia enacted the <i>Riparian Areas Regulation</i> to protect the critical features, functions, and conditions required to sustain fish habitat. Furthermore, this legislation prohibits the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) from approving or allowing a development to proceed adjacent to a watercourse until it has received notice that a report prepared by a Qualified Environmental Professional has been received by the Ministry of Environment.
I (we) understand that a water feature includes any of the following:
a) any watercourse, whether it usually contains water or not;b) any pond, lake, river, creek or brook; and/or,c) any ditch, culvert, spring, or wetland.
I (we) declare that I (we) are familiar with the property and area, and have inspected the property and immediate area for the existence of any water features. I (we) declare that (<i>please check the</i> <u>one</u> that applies):
☐ that there are no water features located on the subject property; or
☐ there are water features located on the subject property.
I (we) declare that all proposed development including land alteration, vegetation removal, alteration or construction of a building or structure (please check the one that applies):
☐ is greater than 30.0 metres from a water feature; or
is less than 30.0 metres from a water feature.

Eagle and Heron Nesting Trees

I (we) acknowledge that the *Wildlife Act* protects Bald Eagles and Great Blue Herons, their eggs and nests. Bald Eagles and Great Blue Herons are sensitive to development near their nesting sites and may return to a nest that has been unused for a number of years.

While the provincial government and the RDN undertake mapping of nesting sites from time to time, not all are mapped. Some on private property may have been undetected, and new nests are continually being built. Typically, a 60 metre radius for an eagle nesting tree and depending on the level of development in an area, a 60 - 300 metre radius for a heron nesting tree (or trees) is considered to be sensitive to disturbance. Electoral Areas A, E, G and H require that a development permit be obtained prior to development near an eagle or heron nest.

Mapped eagle and heron nest trees can be found on the Community Mapping Network at www.cmnmaps.ca/WITS/ and www.cmnmaps.ca/GBHE/.

I (we) declare that I (we) are familiar with the property and area, and have inspected the property and immediate area

for the	•	ting this form. I (we) declare that (<i>please check the <u>one</u> that</i>		
	there are no eagle or heron nesting trees on or wi	thin 100 metres of the subject property; or		
	there is an eagle or heron nesting tree on or withi	n 100 metres of the subject property.		
<u>Site Pr</u>	o <u>file</u>			
Act rec being Contan Schedu remedi	quires that a Site Profile be completed when the a used or has been used for industrial or comm ninated Sites Regulation unless otherwise exempt alle 2 on the Government of BC website at www	the RDN, Section 40(1) of the <i>Environmental Management</i> pplicant knows, or reasonably should know, that the land is nercial purposes of the type listed in Schedule 2 of the under the Regulation. Please find the Site Profile Form and w2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/air-land-water/site-ing Department if any of the activities listed in Schedule 2		
I (we) o	declare that (<i>please check the one that applies):</i>			
	☐ I (we) have read the <i>Contaminated Sites Regulation</i> Schedule 2 document, and determined that the subj property has been used for purposes listed in Schedule 2, and filled out the Site Profile Form; or			
☐ I (we) have read the <i>Contaminated Sites Regulation</i> Schedule 2 document and am (are) not required to su a Site Profile Form as to my (our) knowledge, the subject property has not been used for any of the activities listed in Schedule 2; or				
	•	on Schedule 2 document and am (are) exempted from being ection 4 of the <i>Contaminated Sites Regulation</i> . I (we) have this exemption: (list Information below)		
DECLA	RATION SIGNATURE			
_	tered owners shown on the certificate of title must sign the es if required.	declaration. Please provide an additional page with owners' names and		
I (we) o	declare that I (we) have read and filled out the abov	ve form.		
Owner	/Agent 1	Signature		
Owner	2	Signature		
Owner	3	Signature		
Applica	ant Mailing Address:			
Email:		Phone:		
Date:				
Witnes	sed By Name:	Witnessed By Signature:		

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO

POLICY

Pe	evelopment Variance Permit, Development rmit with Variance & Floodplain Exemption oplication Evaluation	POLICY NO: CROSS REF.:	B1.5
EFFECTIVE DATE:	March 8, 1994	APPROVED BY:	Board
REVISION DATE:	October 28, 2014	PAGE:	1 of 5

PURPOSE

This policy is to provide staff with guidelines for reviewing and evaluating development variance permit applications, development permit applications that include bylaw variances, and site-specific exemptions to the Floodplain Bylaw.

PART A – DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT AND DEVELOPMENT PERMIT WITH VARIANCE APPLICATION EVALUATION

1. Demonstration of Land Use Justification

- a) An application should demonstrate that the proposed variance is necessary and is supported by an acceptable land use justification; such as:
 - i. the ability to use or develop the property is unreasonably constrained or hindered by having to comply with the bylaw requirement; or,
 - ii. there is a net benefit to the community or immediate area that would be achieved through the variance approval.
 - iii. the proposed variance would allow for more efficient and effective use and development of the subject property.
- b) Failure to provide an acceptable land use justification as outlined in Part A, Section 1(a) may be grounds for staff to recommend that the application be denied by the Board.
- c) If an acceptable land use justification is identified the applicant should demonstrate that a reasonable effort has been made to avoid the need for, or reduce the extent of, the requested variance. If such efforts are not made this may be grounds for staff to recommend that the application be denied by the Board.
- d) Examples of acceptable land use justifications are as follows:
 - i. A physical constraint such as a steep slope, watercourse, or rock outcrop results in an unreasonably small building site when setbacks are applied. In such a case a setback variance

may be recommended where the impact of the variance is considered acceptable by planning staff.

- ii. A man-made constraint such as an archaeological site, odd shaped lot, restrictive or conservation covenants, easement, or right-of-way results in an unreasonably small building site when setbacks are applied. In such a case a setback variance may be recommended where the impact of the variance is considered acceptable by planning staff.
- iii. A hazardous condition exists that requires that the underside of the floor joists be raised to meet floodplain elevations. This may result in an average designed building or structure exceeding the maximum height restrictions. In such a case a height variance may be recommended where the impact of the variance is considered acceptable by planning staff.
- iv. A topographical constraint such as a depression or sloped area results in an average designed building or structure exceeding maximum height restrictions. In such a case a height variance may be recommended where the impact of the variance is considered acceptable by planning staff.
- v. An environmentally significant feature such as a stand of Garry Oak trees, a watercourse, or sensitive ecosystem exists on site that the applicant is proposing to avoid, preserve, and/or enhance, which restricts potential building sites on a lot. In such a case a setback variance may be considered where the proposed variance will reduce the impact to the Environmentally Sensitive Area and any other impact considered acceptable by the reviewing planning staff member.
- vi. The only building site on a lot will block a significant view for area residents. In such a case a setback variance may be considered to allow the relocation of the building to allow the preservation of that view, where the impact of the variance is acceptable.
- vii. Where a longstanding existing building or structure does not conform to siting or height requirements a variance may be considered to legalize that structure where the impact of the variance is acceptable and the use of the building or structure conforms to the current zoning regulations.
- viii. The inclusion of a renewable solar or wind energy system, or a rainwater harvesting system proposed for the operation of a building or structure results in the building or structure exceeding maximum height restrictions, or encroaching into a setback area. In such a case, a height variance or setback variance may be recommended where the impacts of the variance are considered acceptable.
- e) Part A, Section 2(d) is not intended to be an exhaustive or definitive list of acceptable land use justifications for a variance application. Staff are to use their judgment in evaluating the specific circumstances involved in each application.

2. Impact Evaluation

a) Where a land use justification for a proposed variance has been demonstrated, the application shall then be evaluated based upon the impact(s) (positive or negative) of the variance. Impact(s) may be classified into the following three general categories:

- i. Aesthetic impact. This includes the impact of the proposed variance on the streetscape, the views from adjacent properties, compatibility with neighbourhood design standards, etc.
- ii. Functional impact. This includes the impact of the proposed variance on the function of the property for the permitted uses and the potential impact of the variance on the function of adjacent properties, or road right-of-ways.
- iii. Environmental impact. This includes the impact of the proposed variance on the long term sustainability of the natural environment or the direct impact on a specific feature of the natural environment.
- b) An unacceptable impact, as evaluated by planning staff, is grounds for staff to recommend that the application be denied by the Board.
- c) An applicant should demonstrate that a reasonable effort has been made to minimize any and all potential negative impacts associated with a variance. If such efforts are not made this would be grounds for staff to recommend that the application be denied by the Board.
- d) Part A, Section 2(a) is not intended to be an exhaustive or definitive list of potential impacts. Staff are to use their judgment in identifying and evaluating all potential impacts associated with the specific circumstances involved in each application.

3. Specific Impact Evaluation by Application Type

- a) Height variance requests for a residential use may not be supported where; in the opinion of planning staff:
 - i. the applicant is requesting a height variance to accommodate a third storey;
 - ii. the applicant has not made a reasonable effort to reduce the height of the proposed building or structure by reducing the roof pitch, reducing ceiling height, minimizing the crawl space, etc.;
 - iii. the appearance of the proposed structure from the street will appear out of character with the height of buildings in the immediate neighbourhood;
 - iv. the proposed height variance will result in a notable reduction in a neighbouring properties view of a significant viewscape; or
 - v. the proposed height variance will result in a notable shading of, or lack of privacy for, a neighbouring property.
- b) Lot line relaxation, ocean setback relaxation, and watercourse setback relaxation requests may not be supported where; in the opinion of Planning Staff:
 - vi. the applicant has not made a reasonable effort to reduce the need for a setback variance by amending the house design or finding an alternative building site;
 - vii. the proposed setback variance will result in an unreasonable reduction in a neighbouring properties view of a notable viewscape;

- viii. the proposed setback variance will result in the building or structure appearing to extend closer to the ocean or other watercourse than other houses in the immediate vicinity;
- ix. the proposed setback variance may result in a geotechnical or flooding hazard;
- x. the proposed setback variance may result in a negative impact on the natural environment;
- xi. the proposed setback variance may have a negative impact on an archaeological site; or
- xii. the proposed setback variance is contrary to senior government legislation (e.g. *Transportation Act, Fish Protection Act, Water Act, Land Title Act*, etc.).
- c) Parking Variance requests for Commercial, Industrial, or Institutional uses may not be supported where:
 - i. the proposed variance would interfere with internal traffic flow, loading and unloading, access and egress, pedestrian safety, etc.;
 - ii. the applicant is not proposing to provide adequate parking spaces constructed to Regional District of Nanaimo standards on a hard durable dust free surface; or
 - iii. the proposed variance, in staff's opinion, does not provide an adequate number of parking stalls for the intended use.
- d) Signage variance requests may not be supported where:
 - i. the proposed variance would result in an increased appearance of "sign clutter" on the subject property (sign consolidation should be encouraged);
 - ii. the proposed variance creates a visual obstruction which interferes with the safe movement of pedestrians and/or traffic on and off site; or
 - iii. the illumination of a proposed sign is not compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood or would create an unreasonable aesthetic impact on the adjacent properties.

PART B - FLOODPLAIN EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS

1. Demonstration of Land Use Justification

- a) An applicant must demonstrate that the proposed exemption is necessary and is supported by an acceptable land use justification; such as:
 - i. there are no other practical building sites located on the subject property;
 - ii. the applicant has exhausted all other options including amendments to zoning setback and height requirements; or
 - iii. it is not practical to develop the subject property without a site specific exemption.

2. Demonstration that the Exemption is Advisable

a) Where an acceptable land use justification has been demonstrated, the applicant must demonstrate that the proposal is in compliance with provincial guidelines and / or provide a report prepared by a professional engineer or geoscientist experienced in geotechnical

engineering that the land may be used safely for the use as proposed. Where the report contains restrictions, conditions, or warnings related to the safe use of the site that covenant shall be required to be registered on title.

- b) All reports identified in Part B, Section 2(a) must also discuss the land use justifications in identified in Part B, Section 1 of this policy.
- c) An application must be processed and evaluated in a manner consistent with the provincial Flood Hazard Area Land Use Management Guidelines, May 2004, as amended, and Floodplain Management Bylaw No. 1469, 2006.
- d) Failure to meet any of the above conditions is grounds for staff to recommend the Board deny a floodplain exemption application.

PART C - TERMS OF USE OF THIS POLICY

- 1. This policy is intended to apply to staff evaluation of development variance permits, development permit applications that include bylaw variances, and site specific exemptions to the Floodplain Bylaw.
- 2. The Board of the Regional District of Nanaimo is not in any way bound by this policy and is free to apply, or not apply, any evaluation criterion it deems appropriate in its consideration of applications.